Supporting information

## 4-Cyanopyridine, a versatile mono- and bidentate ligand. Crystal structures of related coordination polymers determined by X-ray powder diffraction.

Haishuang Zhao,<sup>a, b</sup> Alexander Bodach,<sup>a</sup> Miriam Heine,<sup>a</sup> Yasar Krysiak,<sup>a, b</sup> Jürgen Glinnemann<sup>a</sup>, Edith Alig<sup>a</sup> Lothar Fink,<sup>\*a</sup> and Martin U. Schmidt<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Institute of Inorganic and Analytical Chemistry, Goethe-University, Max-von-Laue-Str. 7, 60438 Frankfurt am Main, Germany. E-Mail: fink@chemie.uni-frankfurt.de; Fax: +49 69798 29235; Tel: +49 69798 29123

<sup>b</sup> Institute of Inorganic Chemistry and Analytical Chemistry, Johannes Gutenberg-University, Jakob-Welder-Weg 11, 55128 Mainz, Germany.

## Figures

Fig. S1 DTA/TG curves of [NiCl <sub>2</sub> (4-CNpy) <sub>2</sub> ] <sub>n</sub> (3a)	. 2
Fig. S2 DTA/TG curves of [CoBr <sub>2</sub> (4-CNpy) <sub>2</sub> ] <sub>n</sub> ( <b>4a</b> )	. 2
Fig. S3 DTA/TG curves of [NiBr <sub>2</sub> (4-CNpy) <sub>2</sub> ] <sub>n</sub> (5a)	. 3
Fig. S4 Combined Rietveld refinement of [CuCl <sub>2</sub> (4-CNpy)] <sub>n</sub> (1b sample 2)	. 3
Fig. S5 Fragment of one layer in [NiCl <sub>2</sub> (4-CNpy)] <sub>n</sub> ( <b>3b</b> )	. 4
Fig. S6 IR spectrum of $[CuCl_2(4-CNpy)_2]_n$ (1a).	. 4
Fig. S7 Schematic representation for preparation of [CuCl <sub>2</sub> (4-CNpy)] <sub>n</sub> ( <b>1b</b> )	. 5
Fig. S8 IR spectrums of $[CuCl_2(4-CNpy)]_n$ (1b).	. 5
Fig. S9 IR spectrum of [MnCl <sub>2</sub> (4-CNpy) <sub>2</sub> ] <sub>n</sub> ( <b>2a</b> ).	. 6
Fig. S10 IR spectrum of [MnCl <sub>2</sub> (4-CNpy)] <sub>n</sub> ( <b>2b</b> ).	. 6
Fig. S11 IR spectrum of [NiCl <sub>2</sub> (4-CNpy) <sub>2</sub> ] <sub>n</sub> ( <b>3a</b> )	. 7
Fig. S12 IR spectrum of [NiCl <sub>2</sub> (4-CNpy)] <sub>n</sub> ( <b>3b</b> ).	. 7
Fig. S13 IR spectrum of [CoCl <sub>2</sub> (4-CNpy) <sub>2</sub> ] <sub>n</sub> (4a).	. 8
Fig. S 14 Schematic representation for preparation of [CoBr <sub>2</sub> (4-CNpy)] <sub>n</sub> (4b)	. 8
Fig. S 15 IR spectrum of [CoCl <sub>2</sub> (4-CNpy)] <sub>n</sub> (4b).	. 9
Fig. S16 IR spectrum of [NiBr <sub>2</sub> (4-CNpy) <sub>2</sub> ] <sub>n</sub> (5a)	. 9
Fig. S17 IR spectrum of [NiBr <sub>2</sub> (4-CNpy)] <sub>n</sub> . (5b)	10
Fig. S18 Molecular structure model for structure solutions.	10

## Tables

Table S1 Results of DTA/TG measurements.	11
<b>Table S2</b> Results of quantitative Rietveld analysis for the three samples of $[CuCl_2(4-CNpy)]_n$ (1b).	11
Table S3 The mean bond lengths and bond angles in the given models in CSD.	11



**Fig. S1** DTA/TG curves of  $[NiCl_2(4-CNpy)_2]_n$  (**3a**). Weight of starting compounds: 31.92 mg, Heating rate: 5 K/min, N<sub>2</sub> atmosphere, Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> crucible, Tp: peak temperature.



**Fig. S2** DTA/TG curves of  $[CoBr_2(4-CNpy)_2]_n$  (**4a**). Weight of starting compounds: 19.72 mg, Heating rate: 5 K/min, N<sub>2</sub> atmosphere, Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> crucible, T<sub>p</sub>: peak temperature.

Supporting information



**Fig. S3** DTA/TG curves of  $[NiBr_2(4-CNpy)_2]_n$  (**5a**). Weight of starting compounds: 16.89 mg, Heating rate: 5 K/min, N<sub>2</sub> atmosphere, Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> crucible, Tp: peak temperature.



**Fig. S4** Plot of combined Rietveld refinement of  $[CuCl_2(4-CNpy)]_n$  **1b** (sample **2**). Observed powder diagram (black points), simulated powder diagram (red solid line), difference profiles (grey solid line), and reflection positions (blue (*a*-**1b** and black (*β*-**1b**) vertical lines). Change of the scale at 60° is with a factor of 10. Radiation type: Cu  $K\alpha_1$  ( $\lambda$ = 1.54056 Å).

Supporting information



Fig. S5 Fragment of one layer in [NiCl<sub>2</sub>(4-CNpy)]<sub>n</sub> (3b). H atoms have been removed for clarity.



**Fig. S6** IR spectrum of  $[CuCl_2(4-CNpy)_2]_n$  (**1a**).



**Fig. S7** Schematic representation for preparation of  $[CuCl_2(4-CNpy)]_n$  (1b). According to the DTA/TG-curves of  $[CuCl_2(4-CNpy)_2]_n$  (1a), the preparation of pure  $[CuCl_2(4-CNpy)]_n$  (1b) was carried out using a snap cap vial as sample carrier under a controlled nitrogen flow.



**Fig. S8** IR spectrums of [CuCl<sub>2</sub>(4-CNpy)]<sub>*n*</sub> (**1b**).

Supporting information



**Fig. S9** IR spectrum of  $[MnCl_2(4-CNpy)_2]_n$  (2a).



**Fig. S10** IR spectrum of [MnCl<sub>2</sub>(4-CNpy)]<sub>*n*</sub> (**2b**).

Supporting information



**Fig. S11** IR spectrum of [NiCl<sub>2</sub>(4-CNpy)<sub>2</sub>]<sub>*n*</sub> (**3a**).



**Fig. S12** IR spectrum of  $[NiCl_2(4-CNpy)]_n$  (**3b**).

Supporting information



**Fig. S13** IR spectrum of  $[CoCl_2(4-CNpy)_2]_n$  (4a).



Fig. S 14 Schematic representation for preparation of [CoBr<sub>2</sub>(4-CNpy)]<sub>n</sub> (4b).

Supporting information



**Fig. S16** IR spectrum of  $[NiBr_2(4-CNpy)_2]_n$  (**5a**).

Supporting information



**Fig. S17** IR spectrum of [NiBr<sub>2</sub>(4-CNpy)]<sub>*n*</sub>. (5b).



**Fig. S18** Molecular structure model for structure solution. Note: model for compound **1b** - **4b**:  $MX_2$ (4-CNpy); for **3a** - **5a**: MX(4-CNpy) (M = Cu(II), Mn(II), Ni(II), Co(II), X = Cl, Br).

Compound	T/°C	$m_0/\mathrm{mg}$	$\Delta m_{exp}/\mathrm{mg}$	$\Delta m_{exp}/m_0$ /%	$\Delta m_{cal}/m_0$ /%
CuCl <sub>2</sub> (4-CNpy) <sub>2</sub>	195	12.49	0	0	0
CuCl <sub>2</sub> (4-CNpy)	267		3.63	29.1	30.38
CuCl <sub>2</sub>	314		8.13	65.1	60.76
$MnCl_2(4-CNpy)_2$	190	17.18	0	0	0
$MnCl_2(4-CNpy)$	255		5.05 9.54	29.4	51.10
$M_{12}(4-CNPy)_{1/3}$	318		8.54	49.7	51.94
MINCI <sub>2</sub>	393		10.20	59.8	02.32
NiCl <sub>2</sub> (4-CNpy) <sub>2</sub>	200	31.92	0	0	0
NiCl <sub>2</sub> (4-CNpy)	259		9.13	28.6	30.82
NiCl <sub>2</sub>	374		18.79	58.9	61.63
CoBr <sub>2</sub> (4-CNpy) <sub>2</sub>	175	19.72	0	0	0
$CoBr_2(4-CNpy)$	241		4.51	22.9	24.38
CoBr <sub>2</sub> (4-CNpy) 1/3	339		7.66	38.8	40.64
CoBr <sub>2</sub>	370		9.20	46.7	48.77
NiBr <sub>2</sub> (4-CNpy) <sub>2</sub>	203	16.89	0	0	0
NiBr <sub>2</sub> (4-CNpy)	325		3.81	22.58	24.37
NiBr <sub>2</sub>	360		7.72	29.93	32.22

**Table S1** Results of DTA/TG measurements of  $[M(II)X_2(4-CNpy)_2]_n (M(II) = Cu, Mn, Ni, Co; X = Cl, Br). T: DTA peak temperatures, <math>m_0$ : weight of starting compound,  $\Delta m_{exp}$ : relative experimental weight loss, experimental  $\Delta m_{exp}/m_0$ , calculated  $\Delta m_{cal}/m_0$ .

Table S2 Results of quantitative Rietveld analysis for the three samples of compound 1b.

	<b>α-1b</b> /w%	<b>β-1b</b> /w%	$R_{wp}$ /%	$R_{exp}$ /%	gof	
Sample 1	72.76(18)	27.24(18)	4.41	2.53	1.74	
Sample 2	48.21(11)	51.79(11)	5.17	2.65	1.95	
Sample 3	14.87(20)	85.13(20)	5.89	2.82	2.09	

**Table S3** The mean bond lengths and bond angles in the given models after a statistic in CSD. d1 = d8, d2 = d7, d3 = d6; a2 = a8, a3 = a7, a5 = a6.

	1	2	3	4	5
bond angle <i>a</i> (°)	118.64	122.45	118.65	119.35	120.30
bond length $d$ (Å)	1.338	1.377	1.381	1.447	1.138