

Supporting Information

The Crystalline Sponge Method: A Solvent-Based Strategy to Facilitate Noncovalent Ordered Trapping of Solid and Liquid Organic Compounds

Timothy R. Ramadhar,^{a,*} Shao-Liang Zheng,^b Yu-Sheng Chen,^c and Jon Clardy^{a,*}

^a Department of Biological Chemistry and Molecular Pharmacology, Harvard Medical School,
240 Longwood Avenue, Boston, Massachusetts, 02115, United States of America

^b Department of Chemistry and Chemical Biology, Harvard University, 12 Oxford Street, Cambridge,
Massachusetts, 02138, United States of America

^c ChemMatCARS, Center for Advanced Radiation Sources, The University of Chicago c/o
Advanced Photon Source, Argonne National Laboratory, 9700 South Cass Avenue, Argonne,
Illinois, 60439, United States of America

E-mail: timothy_ramadhar@hms.harvard.edu; jon_clardy@hms.harvard.edu

Table of contents

Table S1. Crystallographic details for 1·2	S2
Table S2. Crystallographic details for 1·3	S3
Table S3. Crystallographic details for 1·4	S4
Table S4. Crystallographic details for 1·5	S5
Void Analysis	S6

Table S1. Crystallographic details for **1·2**

	tim73-transstilbene-mtbe_a	CCDC 1545812
Crystal data		
Chemical formula	C ₃₆ H ₂₄ I ₆ N ₁₂ Zn ₃ ·2.04(C ₁₄ H ₁₂)	
M _r	1949.83	
Crystal system, space group	Monoclinic, C2/c	
Temperature (K)	100	
a, b, c (Å)	34.9049 (14), 14.9409 (6), 34.5319 (14)	
β (°)	107.5655 (8)	
V (Å ³)	17169.0 (12)	
Z	8	
Radiation type	Synchrotron, λ = 0.41328 Å	
μ (mm ⁻¹)	0.70	
Crystal size (mm)	0.24 × 0.07 × 0.05	
Data collection		
Diffractometer	Huber three-circle goniometer with free kappa	
Absorption correction	Multi-scan SADABS2014/5 (Bruker, 2014/5) was used for absorption correction. wR ₂ (int) was 0.0716 before and 0.0555 after correction. The ratio of minimum to maximum transmission is 0.9295. The λ/2 correction factor is 0.00150.	
T _{min} , T _{max}	0.884, 0.952	
No. of measured, independent and observed [I > 2σ(I)] reflections	176286, 15424, 13344	
R _{int}	0.047	
(sin θ/λ) _{max} (Å ⁻¹)	0.601	
Refinement		
R[F ² > 2σ(F ²)], wR(F ²), S	0.052, 0.181, 1.03	
No. of reflections	15424	
No. of parameters	965	
No. of restraints	573	
H-atom treatment	H-atom parameters constrained	
	w = 1/[σ ² (F _o ²) + (0.1106P) ² + 110.0348P] where P = (F _o ² + 2F _c ²)/3	
Δρ _{max} , Δρ _{min} (e Å ⁻³)	1.97, -1.69	

Table S2. Crystallographic details for **1·3**

	tim70c-vanillin_a	CCDC 1545813
Crystal data		
Chemical formula	C ₇₂ H ₄₈ I ₁₂ N ₂₄ Zn ₆ ·2.07(C ₈ H ₈ O ₃)	
M _r	3479.51	
Crystal system, space group	Triclinic, P ⁻ 1	
Temperature (K)	100	
a, b, c (Å)	14.9223 (8), 18.9078 (11), 32.5910 (18)	
α, β, γ (°)	102.7281 (10), 91.7744 (10), 110.7963 (9)	
V (Å ³)	8324.8 (8)	
Z	2	
Radiation type	Synchrotron, λ = 0.41328 Å	
μ (mm ⁻¹)	0.72	
Crystal size (mm)	0.3 × 0.07 × 0.04	
Data collection		
Diffractometer	Huber three-circle goniometer with free kappa	
Absorption correction	Multi-scan SADABS2014/5 (Bruker, 2014/5) was used for absorption correction. wR ₂ (int) was 0.0635 before and 0.0569 after correction. The ratio of minimum to maximum transmission is 0.8962. The λ/2 correction factor is 0.00150.	
T _{min} , T _{max}	0.853, 0.951	
No. of measured, independent and observed [I > 2σ(I)] reflections	175431, 29411, 23438	
R _{int}	0.051	
(sin θ/λ) _{max} (Å ⁻¹)	0.606	
Refinement		
R[F ² > 2σ(F ²)], wR(F ²), S	0.072, 0.245, 1.06	
No. of reflections	29411	
No. of parameters	1471	
No. of restraints	392	
H-atom treatment	H-atom parameters constrained	
	w = 1/[σ ² (F _o ²) + (0.1312P) ² + 50.2672P] where P = (F _o ² + 2F _c ²)/3	
Δρ _{max} , Δρ _{min} (e Å ⁻³)	2.18, -1.42	

Table S3. Crystallographic details for **1·4**

	zni2mof-cf3phenylazide_a	CCDC 1545814
Crystal data		
Chemical formula	C ₃₆ H ₂₄ I ₆ N ₁₂ Zn ₃ ·0.68(C ₅ H ₁₂ O)·0.66(C ₇ H ₄ F ₃ N ₃)	
M _r	1765.98	
Crystal system, space group	Monoclinic, C2/c	
Temperature (K)	100	
a, b, c (Å)	35.2912 (17), 14.8832 (7), 30.9415 (15)	
β (°)	101.827 (1)	
V (Å ³)	15906.9 (13)	
Z	8	
Radiation type	Synchrotron, λ = 0.41328 Å	
μ (mm ⁻¹)	0.75	
Crystal size (mm)	0.28 × 0.1 × 0.06	
Data collection		
Diffractometer	Bruker D8 goniometer	
Absorption correction	Multi-scan SADABS2014/5 (Bruker, 2014/5) was used for absorption correction. wR ₂ (int) was 0.1317 before and 0.0730 after correction. The ratio of minimum to maximum transmission is 0.8854. The λ/2 correction factor is 0.00150.	
T _{min} , T _{max}	0.828, 0.936	
No. of measured, independent and observed [I > 2σ(I)] reflections	113242, 14007, 11742	
R _{int}	0.057	
(sin θ/λ) _{max} (Å ⁻¹)	0.596	
Refinement		
R[F ² > 2σ(F ²)], wR(F ²), S	0.058, 0.227, 1.16	
No. of reflections	14007	
No. of parameters	711	
No. of restraints	73	
H-atom treatment	H-atom parameters constrained	
	w = 1/[σ ² (F _o ²) + (0.1337P) ² + 128.1977P] where P = (F _o ² + 2F _c ²)/3	
Δρ _{max} , Δρ _{min} (e Å ⁻³)	2.61, -2.10	

Table S4. Crystallographic details for **1·5**

	tim83-artemisinin-c2_c	CCDC 1545815
Crystal data		
Chemical formula	C ₇₂ H ₄₈ I ₁₂ N ₂₄ Zn ₆ ·0.93(C ₁₅ H ₂₂ O ₅)·0.62(C ₅ H ₁₂ O)	
M _r	3482.07	
Crystal system, space group	Monoclinic, C2	
Temperature (K)	100	
a, b, c (Å)	35.252 (2), 14.8612 (8), 35.233 (2)	
β (°)	108.1167 (11)	
V (Å ³)	17543.1 (17)	
Z	4	
Radiation type	Synchrotron, λ = 0.41328 Å	
μ (mm ⁻¹)	0.68	
Crystal size (mm)	0.16 × 0.07 × 0.05	
Data collection		
Diffractometer	Huber three-circle goniometer with free kappa	
Absorption correction	Multi-scan SADABS2014/5 (Bruker, 2014/5) was used for absorption correction. wR ₂ (int) was 0.1028 before and 0.0728 after correction. The ratio of minimum to maximum transmission is 0.8555. The λ/2 correction factor is 0.00150.	
T _{min} , T _{max}	0.822, 0.961	
No. of measured, independent and observed [I > 2σ(I)] reflections	181019, 30760, 25384	
R _{int}	0.071	
(sin θ/λ) _{max} (Å ⁻¹)	0.597	
Refinement		
R[F ² > 2σ(F ²)], wR(F ²), S	0.070, 0.234, 1.07	
No. of reflections	30760	
No. of parameters	1310	
No. of restraints	156	
H-atom treatment	H-atom parameters constrained	
	w = 1/[σ ² (F _o ²) + (0.1379P) ² + 110.1P] where P = (F _o ² + 2F _c ²)/3	
Δρ _{max} , Δρ _{min} (e Å ⁻³)	2.62, -1.22	
Absolute structure	Flack x determined using 10229 quotients [(I+)-(I-)]/[(I+)+(I-)] (Parsons, Flack and Wagner, <i>Acta Cryst. B</i> 69 (2013) 249-259). Hooft y (Hooft, Straver and Spek, <i>J. Appl. Cryst.</i> (2008) 96-103.). A three-hypothesis model based on Bayesian statistical analysis on Bijvoet pairs using a Student's t-distribution was employed to determine absolute configuration. p3(true) = 1.000, p3(false) = 0.0E+00, p3(rac-twin) = 0.3E-37	
Absolute structure parameter	Flack x: 0.18(3); Hooft y: 0.15(2); p3(true) = 1.000, p3(false) = 0.0E+00, p3(rac-twin) = 0.3E-37	

Void Analysis

Void volume and total void percentage (relative to unit cell volume) for the soaked crystalline sponges were determined using PLATON/SQUEEZE (A. L. Spek, *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. C.: Struct. Chem.*, 2015, **71**, 9) (note: all structures reported in this article are UNSQUEEZED and have not been treated with other residual electron density attenuation programs).

1·2: Void Volume: 2987 Å³, Void Percentage: 17%

1·3: Void Volume: 2590 Å³, Void Percentage: 31%

1·4: Void Volume: 4420 Å³, Void Percentage: 28%

1·5: Void Volume: 7300 Å³, Void Percentage: 42%