# Pumping and probing vibrational modulated coupled electronic coherence in HCN using short UV fs laser pulses:

## a 2D quantum nuclear dynamical study

S. van den Wildenberg<sup>\*</sup>, B. Mignolet<sup>\*</sup>, R. D. Levine<sup>+,\$</sup>, F. Remacle<sup>\*</sup>

\* Theoretical Physical Chemistry, University of Liege, B4000 Liege, Belgium
+ The Fritz Haber Research Center for Molecular Dynamics and Institute of Chemistry, The Hebrew University of Jerusalem, Jerusalem 91904, Israel
\$. Crump Institute for Molecular Imaging and Department of Molecular and Medical Pharmacology, David Geffen School of Medicine and Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of California, Los Angeles, CA 90095

## **Supplementary materials**

Table S1 : Computed values of the energy difference between HCN and HNC and

isomerization barrier from HCN to HNC on the GS and comparison with experimental and

other computational values.

Figure S1 : Isocontours of the natural orbitals of the active space used at the CASSCF(10,12)

level using a cc-pVTZ basis-set.

Table S2 : Main configurations in the 6 lowest excited states of the HCN isomer.

Figure S2 : Surface of the y component of the transition dipole between the GS (1 A') and

1A".

Figure S3 : Surface of the y component of the transition dipole between 1 A' and 3 A".

Figure S4 : Potential energy surface of the ground electronic state GS(1A') in the region of the

HCN well in polar coordinates.

Figure S5 : Potential energy surface of the first excited electronic state 1ES (1A") in the

region of the HCN well in polar coordinates.

Figure S6 : Potential energy surface of the 2A' state in the region of the HCN isomer.

Reference	Level of	E <sub>HNC</sub>	$E_{TS}$
	theory/experimental		
Rendell <i>et al.</i> <sup>1</sup>	CCSD(T)	0.64 eV	2.08 eV
Bowman <i>et al.</i> <sup>2</sup>	CCSD	0.65 eV	2.11 eV
Bowman <i>et al.</i> <sup>3</sup>	MR-SDCI-CASSCF	0.66 eV	1.98 eV
Knowles <i>et al.</i> <sup>4</sup>	MRCI	0.60 eV	1.76 eV
Nguyen <i>et al.<sup>5</sup></i>	HEAT-456QP	0.65 eV	_
Hehre <i>et al.</i> <sup>6</sup>	Expt.	0.63± 0.09 eV	_
Hansel <i>et al.</i> <sup>7</sup>	Expt.	$0.65 \pm 0.04 \text{ eV}$	_
This work	SA-CASSCF(10,12)	0.61 eV	2.21 eV
	cc-pVTZ, 8 states		

Table S1: Comparison of the relative energies of HNC and of the TS for the isomerization HCN-HNC with selected theoretical and experimental works.

Computed energies are expressed relative to the energy of HCN at the GS equilibrium geometry.

Natural molecular orbitals of the active space



Figure S1 : Natural molecular orbitals included in the active space for the computation at the CASSCF(10,12) level using a cc-pVTZ basis-set. The lower 1*a*' and 2*a*' MO's are closed with an occupation number restricted to 2. The symmetry of the MO's is given in the  $C_s$  group.

### Main configurations of the 6 lowest excited states

State		Main configurations	Vertical excitation energy (eV)	Correlation with CN
Cs	$C_{_{\infty v}}$			
$1^1A'$	$^{1}\Sigma^{+}$	$(3a')^{2}(4a')^{2}(5a')^{2}(6a')^{2}(1a'')^{2}$	0.00	${ ilde X}^2 {\Sigma}^+$
$1^{1}A''$	$^{1}\Sigma^{-}$	$(3a')^{2}(4a')^{2}(5a')^{2}(6a')^{1}(1a'')^{2}(2a'')^{1}$ $(3a')^{2}(4a')^{2}(5a')^{2}(6a')^{2}(1a''')^{1}(7a'')^{1}$	8.69	$ ilde{A}^2\Pi$
$2^{1}A'$	$^{1}\Delta$	(3a') (4a') (5a') (6a') (1a'') (7a'') (3a') (4a') (5a') (6a') (1a'') (2a'') (3a') (4a') (5a') (6a') (1a'') (7a')	8.97	$ ilde{A}^2\Pi$
$2^{1}A''$	$^{1}\Delta$	$(3a')^{(4a')}(5a')^{(6a')}(1a')^{(7a')}(7a')^{(7a')}$ $(3a')^{(4a')}^{(5a')}(5a')^{(6a')}(1a'')^{(7a'')}(2a'')^{(1a'')}$	8.97	
$3^{1}A'$	$^{1}\Pi$	$(3a') (4a') (5a') (6a') (1a'') (7a')$ $(3a')^{2} (4a')^{2} (5a')^{1} (6a')^{2} (1a'')^{2} (7a')^{1}$	9.68	
$3^{1}A''$	$^{1}\Pi$	$(3a')^{2}(4a')^{2}(5a')^{1}(6a')^{2}(1a'')^{2}(2a'')^{1}$	9.68	

Table S2: Main configurations in the 6 lowest electronic states of HCN.

The correlation with the electronic states of CN is shown for the 3 lowest electronic states. The vertical excitation energy for each state is computed at the equilibrium energy of the GS.

#### **Transition dipoles**

The sign of the transition dipoles along the PES depends on the phase between the adiabatic eigenvectors of the electronic states. During the CASSCF optimization, this phase is not controlled, leading to changes in the sign of the transition dipoles along the PES. We corrected the sign of the dipole along the PES by computing the overlap between the eigenvectors along the PES and switching the sign of the transition dipoles when the phase of the electronic states changed along the PES. A similar procedure for correcting the sign of the transition dipoles was used in ref.<sup>8</sup>.



Figure S2 : Surface of the y component of the transition dipole moment between 1A' and 1A". In order to ensure a smooth variation of the transition dipole moments as a function of *R* and  $\theta$ , we checked for changes of sign in the phase of the adiabatic eigenvectors of the electronic states by computing their overlap.



Figure S3 : Surface of the y component of transition dipole moment between 1A' and 3A". In order to ensure a smooth variation of the transition dipole moments as a function of R and  $\theta$ , we checked for changes of sign in the phase of the adiabatic eigenvectors of the electronic states by computing their overlap.

#### Potential energy surfaces in polar coordinates



Figure S4 : Potential energy surface of the ground electronic state GS(1A') in the region of the HCN well in polar coordinates. The *x* axis is the projection of the position of the H atom on the CN axis and the *y* axis is the projection on the axis perpendicular to CN.



Figure S5: Potential energy surface of the first excited electronic state 1ES (1A") in the region of the HCN well in polar coordinates. The x axis is the projection on the CN axis and the y axis is the projection on the axis perpendicular to CN, of the position of the H atom.



Figure S6: PES of the 2ES(2A') in the region of the HCN well.

References

- 1. T. J. Lee and A. P. Rendell, Chemical Physics Letters **177** (6), 491-497 (1991).
- 2. J. A. Bentley, J. M. Bowman, B. Gazdy, T. J. Lee and C. E. Dateo, Chemical Physics Letters **198** (6), 563-569 (1992).

3. B. Gazdy, D. G. Musaev, J. M. Bowman and K. Morokuma, Chemical Physics Letters **237** (1), 27-32 (1995).

4. T. van Mourik, G. J. Harris, O. L. Polyansky, J. Tennyson, A. G. Császár and P. J. Knowles, The Journal of Chemical Physics **115** (8), 3706-3718 (2001).

5. T. L. Nguyen, J. H. Baraban, B. Ruscic and J. F. Stanton, The Journal of Physical Chemistry A **119** (44), 10929-10934 (2015).

6. C. F. Pau and W. J. Hehre, The Journal of Physical Chemistry 86 (3), 321-322 (1982).

7. A. Hansel, C. Scheiring, M. Glantschnig, W. Lindinger and E. E. Ferguson, The Journal of Chemical Physics **109** (5), 1748-1750 (1998).

8. A. Chenel, O. Roncero, A. Aguado, M. Agúndez and J. Cernicharo, J. Chem. Phys. **144** (14), 144306 (2016).