#### **Supporting Information**

## CoN<sub>3</sub> embedded graphene, a potential catalyst for oxygen reduction reaction from theoretical perspective

Xiaoxu Sun,<sup>a, b</sup> Kai Li,<sup>a</sup> Cong Yin,<sup>c</sup> Ying Wang,<sup>a</sup> Feng He,<sup>a</sup> Hao Tang,<sup>\*c</sup> and Zhijian Wu<sup>\*a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>State Key Laboratory of Rare Earth Resource Utilization, Changchun Institute of Applied Chemistry, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Changchun 130022, P. R. China

<sup>b</sup>University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, Anhui 230026, P. R. China

<sup>c</sup>Energy Conversion R&D Center, Central Academy of Dongfang Electric Corporation, Chengdu 611731, P. R. China

\* Corresponding authors. E-mail: <u>tanghao@dongfang.com</u> (HT); <u>zjwu@ciac.ac.cn</u> (ZJW).



E<sub>ads</sub>= -0.14 eV

**Optimization structures—O** 



**Optimization structures—OH** 



# Optimization structures—H



## **Optimization structures—OOH**





**Fig. S1.** Possible configurations for each adsorbed species (end-on  $O_2$ , side-on  $O_2$ , O, H, OH, OOH, H<sub>2</sub>O) involved in the ORR on CoN<sub>3</sub> embedded graphene. E<sub>ads</sub> represents the adsorption energy (eV). The gray, blue, dark blue, red, and white balls represent C, Co, N, O, and H atoms, respectively.





**Fig. S2.** Atomic structures of the designed initial state (left panel) and optimized final state (right panel) for HOOH species on CoN<sub>3</sub> embedded graphene.

#### 2. Quantum Chemical Molecular Dynamics Simulations

To further confirm that whether the HOOH species could stably exist on the surface of  $CoN_3$ -Gra catalyst, we performed the Quantum Chemical Molecular Dynamics (QM/MD) simulations on the decomposition of non-optimized HOOH species. The QM/MD was implemented based on the self-consistent charge density functional tight-binding (SCC-DFTB) method [1]. This method consists of the integration of classical equations of motion, in conjunction with a quantum chemical potential. The equations of motion were integrated by employing the Velociy–Verlet algorithm [2] with a time step of 0.5 fs for the time propagation. The decomposition reaction temperature ( $T_n$ ) was held constant at 300 K in the NVT ensemble via a Nosé–Hoover chain thermostat [3].

The standard trans3d-0-1 [4] and mio-0-1 [1] parameter sets were employed in the simulation process. The orbital occupation in Fermi–Dirac distribution was employed with an electronic temperature ( $T_e$ ) [5] of 2000 K.

We chose six different initial configurations. In a, b and c configurations, the OOH configurations are the same but the orientation of H atoms are left-facing, down-facing and right-facing, respectively. This also applys to d, e and f configurations. During the simulations, we performed three decomposed trails for each configuration at different initial velocities. (For instance, a-1, a-2 and a-3 represent three decomposed trails for a configuration). As illustrated in Fig. S3, the O-O bond of non-optimized HOOH configuration cleavages immediately, forming either OH+OH or O+H<sub>2</sub>O species. As a result, the HOOH species could not exist on the surface of CoN<sub>3</sub>-Gra catalyst. As a consequence, the ORR on CoN<sub>3</sub>-Gra catalyst is a direct four-electron process.

[1] Elstner M, Porezag D, Jungnickel G, Elsner J, Haugk M, Frauenheim T, et al. Self-consistent-charge density-functional tight-binding method for simulations of complex materials properties. Phys. Rev. B 1998;58:7260-8.

[2] Swope WC, Andersen HC, Berens PH, Wilson KR. A computer simulation

method for the calculation of equilibrium constants for the formation of physical clusters of molecules: Application to small water clusters. J. Chem. Phys. 1982;76:64-49.

[3] Martyna GJ, Klein ML, Tuckerman M. Nosé–Hoover chains: The canonical ensemble via continuous dynamics. J. Chem. Phys. 1992;97:262-43.

[4] Zheng GS, Witek HA, Bobadova-Parvanova P, Irle S, Musaev DG, Prabhakar R, et al. Parameter calibration of transition-metal elements for the spin-polarized self-consistent-charge density-functional tight-binding (DFTB) method: Sc, Ti, Fe, Co, and Ni. J. Chem. Theory. Comput. 2007;3:119-67.

[5] Weinert M, Davenport JW. Fractional occupations and density-functional energies and forces. Phys. Rev. B 1992;45:1409-12.











Fig. S3. Molecular dynamic simulations of HOOH species adsorbed on  $CoN_3$  embedded graphene at 300 K.



Fig. S4. Free energy diagrams for the reaction pathway  $b\rightarrow c\rightarrow d\rightarrow e$  on  $CoN_3$  embedded graphene at different electrode potentials.

#### 4. Microkinetic Modeling [1] of ORR mechanism on CoN<sub>3</sub> embedded graphene in acid environment

On the basis of the calculated optimum reaction mechanism, i.e.,  $b \rightarrow f \rightarrow e$  in Fig. 3, we deduced the ORR Tafel kinetics by using microkinetics.

We obtain the following reaction equations with rate constant  $k_x$  and  $k_{-x}$  for the corresponding reverse reaction step:

 $O_2 + H^+ + e^- \xrightarrow{k_1} OOH$  $OOH \xrightarrow{k_1} O_2 + H^+ + e^-$ 

 $OOH + H^+ + e^- \xrightarrow{k_2} O + H_2O$  $O + H_2O \xrightarrow{k_2} OOH + H^+ + e^-$ 

 $\begin{array}{c} O+H^{+}+e^{-} \xrightarrow{k_{3}} OH \\ OH \xrightarrow{k_{-3}} O+H^{+}+e^{-} \end{array}$ 

 $OH+ H^{+}+e^{-} \xrightarrow{k_{4}} H_{2}O$  $H_{2}O \xrightarrow{k_{4}} OH+ H^{+}+e^{-}$ 

The reaction rate r for product formation is obtained by the equation:

$$r_{1} = \frac{da(O_{2})}{dt} = k_{1} \cdot a(H^{+}) \cdot a(e^{-}) \cdot \theta_{O2} - k_{1}^{-1} \cdot \theta_{OOH}$$

$$r_{2} = \frac{da(OOH)}{dt} = k_{2} \cdot a(H^{+}) \cdot a(e^{-}) \cdot \theta_{OOH} - k_{2}^{-1} \cdot \theta_{O} \cdot a(H_{2}O)$$

$$da(O)$$

$$r_{3} = \frac{aa(O)}{dt} = k_{3} \cdot a(H^{+}) \cdot a(e^{-1}) \cdot \theta_{O} - k_{3}^{-1} \cdot \theta_{OH}$$

$$r_{4} = \frac{du(OH)}{dt} = k_{4} \cdot a(H^{+}) \cdot a(e^{-}) \cdot \theta_{OH} - k_{4}^{-1} \cdot a(H_{2}O)$$

The activities of hydrion, electrons and H<sub>2</sub>O are assumed to be constant, i.e.,  $a(H^+) = a(e^-) = a(H_2O) = 1$ . The coverage of surface species ( $\theta_{O2}$ ,  $\theta_{OOH}$ ,  $\theta_O$  and  $\theta_{OH}$ ) are obtained from steady state approximation [2]. For CoN<sub>3</sub>-Gra, the coverage $\theta_{O2}$ ,  $\theta_{OOH}$ ,  $\theta_O$  and  $\theta_{OH}$  of the precursors can be obtained as solutions of the following differential equation:

$$\frac{d\theta_{OOH}}{dt} = k_1 \cdot a\left(H^+\right) \cdot a\left(e^-\right) \cdot \theta_{O_2} - k_1^{-1} \cdot \theta_{OOH} - k_2 \cdot a\left(H^+\right) \cdot a\left(e^-\right) \cdot \theta_{OOH} + k_2^{-1} \cdot a\left(H_2O\right) \cdot \theta_O = 0 \quad (1)$$

$$\frac{d\theta_O}{dt} = k_2 \cdot a\left(H^+\right) \cdot a\left(e^-\right) \cdot \theta_{OOH} - k_2^{-1} \cdot a\left(H_2O\right) \cdot \theta_O - k_3 \cdot a\left(H^+\right) \cdot a\left(e^-\right) \cdot \theta_O + k_3^{-1}\theta_{OH} = 0 \quad (2)$$

$$\frac{d\theta_{OH}}{dt} = k_3 \cdot a\left(H^+\right) \cdot a\left(e^-\right) \cdot \theta_O - k_3^{-1} \cdot \theta_{OH} - k_4 \cdot a\left(H^+\right) \cdot a\left(e^-\right) \cdot \theta_{OH} + k_4^{-1} \cdot a\left(H_2O\right) = 0 \quad (3)$$

Taking into account the relation:

$$\theta_{O_2} + \theta_{OOH} + \theta_O + \theta_{OH} = 1$$
 (4)

The rate constant  $k_1$  and  $k_1^{-1}$  were calculated employing transition state theory summarized in eqns. (5) to (6):

$$k_{1} = \frac{k_{B} \cdot T}{h} \cdot e^{-\frac{\Delta G_{1}^{a}}{k_{B} \cdot T}} \cdot e^{\frac{a_{1} \cdot F \cdot \eta}{R \cdot T}}$$
(5)  
$$k_{1}^{-1} = \frac{k_{B} \cdot T}{h} \cdot e^{-\frac{\Delta G_{1}^{a}}{k_{B} \cdot T}} \cdot e^{-\frac{(1-a_{1}) \cdot F \cdot \eta}{R \cdot T}}$$
(6)

where  $\Delta G_1^a$  and  $\Delta G_{-1}^a$  represent the calculated free energy barriers of elementary reactions in the ORR process.  $a_1$  is the assuming symmetry factor which is set to be 1/2.  $k_B$ , h, F and R represent Boltzmann constant, Planck constant, Faraday constant and the universal gas constant, respectively. The temperature (T) is fixed to be 298.15

K. The same situation applies to  $k_2$ ,  $k_2^{-1}$ ,  $k_3$ ,  $k_3^{-1}$ ,  $k_4$  and  $k_4^{-1}$ .

By Faraday's law, the reaction rate r is transformed into the current density j:

$$j = e \cdot z \cdot r \cdot N_{cat}$$

where *e* denotes the elementary charge, *z* is the number of transferred electrons, which equals 1 for the elementary reaction of ORR and  $N_{cat}$  is the number of active places per cm<sup>2</sup> area on the catalyst's surface.

The  $j_{tot}$  can be calculated according to:

$$j_{tot} = j_{O_2} \cdot \theta_O + j_{OOH} \cdot \theta_{OOH} + j_O \cdot \theta_O + j_{OH} \cdot \theta_{OH}$$
(7)

The overpotential  $\eta$ =1.23 eV-U (U denotes the external potential) as a function of the calculated decade logarithm of the total current density log ( $j_{tot}$ ) establishes a so-called Tafel plot [ $\eta$  vs. log ( $j_{tot}$ ) relation], which is depicted in Fig. 6 of the manuscript.

 Exner KS, Anton J, Jacob T, Over H. Full Kinetics from First Principles of the Chlorine Evolution Reaction over a RuO<sub>2</sub>(110) Model Electrode. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2016;55(26):7501-04.

[2] Bukoski A, Abbott HL, Harrison I. Microcanonical unimolecular rate theory at surfaces. III. Thermal dissociative chemisorption of methane on Pt(111) and detailed balance. J. Chem. Phys. 2005;123:1-18.