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1. Calculation of the apparent excess partial molar volumes

For binary systems of ionic liquid (IL) and water, the molar volume are divided into the ideal part, ${ }^{V_{m}^{\text {ideal }}}$, and the excess part, $V_{m}^{E}$, as,

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{m}=V_{m}^{\text {ideal }}+V_{m}^{E}=\left\{V_{I L}^{*} x_{I L}+V_{W}^{*}\left(1-x_{I L}\right)\right\}+V_{m}^{E} . \tag{S-1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$V_{m}^{\text {ideal }}$ can be calculated using the molar volumes of pure liquid IL, $V_{I L}^{*}$, and water, $V_{W}^{*}$, and the mole fraction of IL, ${ }^{x}$, and it is linear to ${ }^{x_{I L}}$.

However, for the aqueous solution of $\left[\mathrm{P}_{4,4,4,4}\right] \mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{COO}$, pure $\left[\mathrm{P}_{4,4,4,4}\right] \mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{COO}$ is a solid at room temperature and it is not possible to obtain $V_{I L}^{*}$. We, therefore, define the "apparent" ideal molar volume of the present system, $V_{m, a p}^{\text {ideal }}$, using the data set at ${ }^{\prime}$ IL= 0.000 and at 0.079 , assuming that $V_{m, a p}^{\text {ideal }}$ passes through the $V_{m}$ value at the uppermost
data point, ${ }^{X} I L=0.079$. Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{m, a p}^{i d e a l}\left(x_{I L}\right)=x_{I L} \cdot \frac{V_{m\left(x_{I L}=0.079\right)}-V_{W}^{*}}{0.079}+V_{W}^{*}, \tag{S-2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the "apparent" molar volume of "pure liquid $\left[\mathrm{P}_{4,4,4,4}\right] \mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{COO}^{\prime}$ at ${ }^{x}$ IL=1 is expressed as,

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{I L, a p}^{*}=\frac{V_{m\left(x_{I L}=0.079\right.}-V_{W}^{*}}{0.079}+V_{W}^{*} . \tag{S-3}
\end{equation*}
$$

According to the equation (S-1), the "apparent" excess molar volume is then written as,

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{m, a p}^{E}\left(x_{I L}\right)=V_{m}\left(x_{I L}\right)-V_{m, a p}^{i d e a l}\left(x_{I L}\right) . \tag{S-4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Figure S1-1 shows the apparent excess molar volumes, $V_{m, a p}^{E}$, at $25{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. In this concentration region $V_{m, a p}^{E}$ shows negative value and concave downward. The smooth curve was drawn through all the data points using a flexible ruler as the solid line in the figure.

Then the apparent excess partial molar volume of IL, ${ }_{I L, a p}^{E}$, is calculated as,

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{I L, a p}^{E}=\left(1-x_{I L}\right)\left(\frac{\partial V_{m, a p}^{E}}{\partial x_{I L}}\right)+V_{m, a p}^{E} . \tag{S-5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We differentiated $V_{m, a p}^{E}$ graphically using the smooth curve by reading the values off the smooth curve at every 0.001 mole fraction of $\left[\mathrm{P}_{4,4,4,4}\right] \mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{COO}$ and calculate partial molar volume of $\left[\mathrm{P}_{4,4,4,4}\right] \mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{COO}$ as,

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{I L, a p}^{E}=\left(1-x_{I L}\right)\left(\frac{\Delta V_{m, a p}^{E}}{\Delta x_{I L}}\right)+V_{m, a p}^{E} \tag{S-6}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\Delta V_{m, a p}^{E}$ indicates the amount of change of $V_{m, a p}^{E}$ at $\Delta x_{I L=0}=0.01$ intervals. The apparent
excess partial molar volume of water, $V_{W, a p}^{E}$, is calculated by the same manner.

## 2. Graphical differentiation using a flexible ruler

To obtain the next higher order derivative quantities, graphical differentiation using a flexible ruler was applied on the measured data as shown in Figure S1-1. The advantage of graphical differentiation for the differential thermodynamics in dilute region was discussed extensively elesewhere. ${ }^{1-3}$ The fact that B-spline method is not able to reflect inflection points of data has been discribed. ${ }^{2,3}$

Conventionally, such a fitting function as the Redlich-Kister polynomial ${ }^{4}$ is used to raise the order of derivative by a step. It is known as one of the popular fitting functions of excess quantities for binary systems written as,

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{m}^{E}(x)=x(1-x) \sum_{i=0}^{n} A_{i}(2 x-1)^{i} \tag{S-7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where ${ }^{x}$ is mole fraction of a solute, ${ }_{i}$ 's are the fitted polynomial coefficients and $n$ is its degree. Figure S1-2 shows the obtained fitting curves by a flexible ruler (dotted line) and the Redlich-Kister polynomial of $n=2$ (solid line). On increasing to $n=3$, the fitting curve became wavy, and the case of $\mathrm{n}=2$ seems most appropriate. As shown in Figure S1-2, the inflection point apparent around at $x_{I L=} 0.03$ along the dotted curve is not reproduced on the solid curve obtained by latter. Thus, equation (S-7) is not suitable for the present mole fraction range.

Numerical differentiation is another method which reflects the experimental fact perfectly and model-free. For this purpose, the quality of all the data points with small increments ought to be very high with at the least 4 significant figures. In the previous study ${ }^{5}$, we successfully obtained the third derivative quantities with at least 3 significant
figures by numerical differentiation. When the data contain a sporadic error as for the present case apparent at about $x_{\mathrm{IL}}=0.055$ in Fig. S1-1, the numerical method cannot be used, otherwise devastating error will be introduced. In such cases, we have to use human judgement and draw a smooth curve through all the data points by the aid of a flexible ruler.
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Figure S1-1 The apparent excess molar volume of the aqueous solution of $\left[\mathrm{P}_{4,4,4,4}\right] \mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{COO},{ }^{V}{ }_{m, a p}^{E}$, at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ against ${ }^{\prime} x_{I L}$. The uncertainty is estimated as $\pm 0.002 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ $\mathrm{mol}^{-1}$. There is a sporadically bad point at about $x_{\mathrm{IL}}=0.06$, which was ignored. The solid curve is a smooth curve drawn with a flexible ruler.


Figure S1-2 The smooth curves fitted on the plots of the measured apparent excess
partial molar volume, ${ }^{V} \underset{m, a p}{E}$, at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The uncertainty for these data points is estimated as $\pm 0.002 \mathrm{~cm}^{3} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$. The solid curve was obtained by Redlich-Kister polynomial with $\mathrm{n}=2$ and the dotted line was drawn manually using a flexible ruler.

