ELECTRONIC SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

Characterization of Secondary Phosphine Oxide Ligands on the Surface of Iridium Nanoparticles

Israel Cano,*a Luis M. Martínez-Prieto,^a Pier F. Fazzini,^a Yannick Coppel,^b Bruno Chaudret,^a and Piet W. N. M. van Leeuwen*^a

 ^aLaboratoire de Physique et Chimie des Nano Objets, LPCNO, UMR5215 INSA-UPS-CNRS, Institut National des Sciences Appliquées, 135 Avenue de Rangueil, 31077 Toulouse, France
^bCNRS, LCC (Laboratoire de Chimie de Coordination) 205 Route de Narbonne, BP44099, F-31077 Toulouse Cedex 04, France; Université de Toulouse, UPS, INPT, F-31077 Toulouse Cedex 04, France

Contents

1.	General procedures	S2
2.	Synthetic procedures	S4
3.	Catalytic hydrogenation	S6
4.	Wide-Angle X-ray Scattering (WAXS)	S 7
5.	ATR FT-IR spectra	S8
6.	TEM images	S13
7.	HRTEM images	S16
8.	NMR spectra	S16
9.	References	S29

1. General procedures

All oxygen and moisture sensitive operations were carried out under an argon atmosphere using standard vacuum-line and Schlenk techniques. Solvents were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich as HPLC grade and dried by means of an MBraun MB SPS800 purification system. Bis(1,5-cyclooctadiene)diµ-methoxydiiridium(I), chlorodicyclohexylphosphine 97%, chloro(*tert*-butyl)phenylphosphine, and diphenylphosphine oxide 97% (**L2**) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. THF-d₈ was dried by distillation over Na/benzophenone under Ar and degassed by three freeze, pump, and thaw cycles. Chemical shifts of ¹H, ¹³C and ³¹P-NMR are reported in ppm, the solvent was used as internal standard. Signals are quoted as s (singlet), d (doublet), m (multiplet), br (broad), dd (double doublet), dt (double triplet), ddd (double doublet of doublets), dtd (double triplet of doublets), dm (double multiplet). Elemental analyses were performed by Kolbe (Mülheim, Germany).

Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM)

TEM analyses were performed at the "Toulouse characterization platform UMS-Castaing" on a JEOL JEM 1400 CX-T electron microscope operating at 120 kV. TEM grids were prepared by drop-casting of the crude colloidal solution in THF on a holey carbon-coated copper grid. Size histograms have been built with the help of the software ImageJ.

High Resolution Transmission Electron Microscopy (HRTEM)

HRTEM observations were performed at the "Toulouse characterization platform UMS-Castaing" on a JEOL JEM 2010 electron microscope working at 200 kV with a resolution point of 2.35 Å. FFT treatments have been carried out with Digital Micrograph Version 3.7.4. TEM grids were prepared by drop-casting of the crude colloidal solution in THF on a holey carbon-coated copper grid.

Energy Dispersive X-ray Spectroscopy (EDX)

EDX analyses were performed "Toulouse characterization platform UMS-Castaing" on a JEOL JEM 2010 electron microscope working at 200 kV with a resolution point of 2.35 Å. TEM grids were prepared by drop-casting of the crude colloidal solution in THF on a holey carbon-coated copper grid.

Wide-Angle X-ray Scattering (WAXS)

WAXS analyses were performed at CEMES-CNRS. Samples were sealed in 1.5 mm diameter Lindemann glass capillaries. The samples were irradiated with graphite monochromatized molybdenum K_{α} (0.071069 nm) radiation and the X-ray intensity scattered measurements were performed using a dedicated two-axis diffractometer. Radial distribution functions (RDF) were obtained after Fourier transformation of the reduced intensity functions.

Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy (FT-IR)

FT-IR measurements were carried out at the LPCNO on a Thermo Scientific Nicolet 6700 spectrometer in the range 4000–600 cm⁻¹.

Nuclear Magnetic Resonance (NMR)

¹H, ¹³C and ³¹P spectra were recorded at the LCC-Toulouse on Bruker Avance 400 and 300 spectrometers.

Magic Angle Spinning Solid State NMR (MAS-NMR)

Solid-state NMR experiments were recorded at the LCC (Toulouse) on a Bruker Avance 400 spectrometer equipped with 3.2 mm probes. Samples were spun between 16 to 20 kHz at the magic angle using ZrO₂ rotors. ³¹P MAS experiments were performed with Hahn-echo synchronized with the spinning rate (20 kHz) and a recycle delay of 20 s. ³¹P CP/MAS spectra were recorded with a recycle delay of 3 s and a contact time of 2 ms. ³¹P CPMG were acquired with 56 echoes, a delay between train of 180° pulse of 6 rotor periods and a recycle delay of 2s. ³¹P HETCOR-CPMG were recorded with 40 echoes, a delay between train of 180° pulse of 20 rotor periods, a recycle delay of 2s and a contact time of 0.3 ms. ³¹P T₁ were measured with saturation recovery method associated with Hahn-echo or CPMG schemes.

¹³C MAS experiments were performed with Hahn-echo synchronized with the spinning rate (18 kHz) and a recycle delay of 100 s. ¹³C CP/MAS spectra were recorded with a recycle delay of 3 s and a contact time of 2 ms. The ¹³C CP kinetics were obtained by varying the contact time between 0.5 ms to 30 ms. ¹³C T_{1}^{c} and $T_{1\rho}^{c}$ were measured with the bruker pulse program cpxT1 and cpxT1rho. For the CP

kinetics and the T_1^c and $T_{1\rho}^c$ measurements, the ¹³C spectra were first deconvoluted with the Dmfit software.¹ The CO area evolutions were then fitted with the GOSA-fit software.²

All the ³¹P and ¹³C spectra were recorded under high-power proton decoupling conditions. Chemical shifts for ¹H and ¹³C are relative to TMS. ³¹P chemical shifts were referenced to an external 85% H_3PO_4 sample.

2. Synthetic procedures

tert-Butyl(phenyl)phosphine oxide (L1)

A rapidly stirred solution of chloro(*tert*-butyl)phenylphosphine (200.6 mg, 1 mmol) in THF (5 mL) was treated with degassed water (*ca*. 0.5 mL) at room temperature and the progress of the reaction was followed by ³¹P{¹H} NMR (*ca*. 5 h, > 99 %, no solvent, 202 MHz). The solvent was removed completely and the residue left under vacuum overnight and then dried by azeotropic distillation with toluene (2 x 10 mL). The residue was washed with hexane (2 x 2 mL) and then dried under high vacuum giving the product as a free flowing white powder. Yield: 161 mg, 0.88 mmol, 88 %. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.71 – 7.61 (m, 2H, \underline{H}_{Ar}), 7.57 – 7.63 (m, 1H, \underline{H}_{Ar}), 7.51 – 7.40 (m, 2H, \underline{H}_{Ar}), 7.01 (d, ¹*J*_{HP} = 453.1 Hz, 1H), 1.14 (d, ³*J*_{PH} = 16.6 Hz, 9H, C(C<u>H</u>₃)₃). ³¹P{¹H} NMR (202 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 50.33 (s).

¹H, ³¹P, ³¹P {¹H} and ¹³C {¹H} NMR data were consistent with those previously reported.^{3,4}

Dicyclohexylphosphine oxide (L3)

This substrate was prepared as described above for *tert*-butyl(phenyl)phosphine oxide (**L1**), starting from chlorodicyclohexylphosphine (233 mg, 1 mmol). Yield: 185.2 mg, 0.86 mmol, 86 %.

¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 6.41 (dt, J_{PH} = 453.1 Hz, J_{HH} = 3.4 Hz, 1H, P–<u>H</u>), 2.06 – 1.68 (m, 12H, <u>H</u>_{cyclo}), 1.57 – 1.40 (m, 4H, <u>H</u>_{cyclo}), 1.38 – 1.19 (m, 6H, <u>H</u>_{cyclo}). ³¹P {¹H} NMR (202 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 52.72 (s).

¹H, ³¹P{¹H} and ¹³C{¹H} NMR data were consistent with those previously reported.^{3,5}

Synthesis of stabilized Ir nanoparticles 1

Procedure analogous to that previously described for RuNPs.⁶ L1 (18.2 mg, 0.1 mmol) was dissolved in THF (10 mL), and the solution was transferred *via* cannula to a Fischer–Porter reactor containing a solution of bis(1,5-cyclooctadiene)di- μ -methoxydiiridium(I) (66.2 mg, 0.1 mmol) in THF (60 mL). The reactor was pressurized with 3 bar of H₂ and vented twice. The reactor was then pressurized with 5 bar of H₂ and the reaction mixture was vigorously stirred overnight at room temperature. The color of the solution turned from yellow to black. The hydrogen pressure was eliminated and the solvent was removed under vacuum. The IrNPs were precipitated and washed with methanol (2 x 40 mL) and dried under vacuum.

³¹P MAS NMR: δ 68 ppm.

Elemental analysis (%): Ir, 47.86; P, 4.17.

EDX (%): Ir, 45.72; P, 4.27.

Synthesis of stabilized Ir nanoparticles 2

The nanoparticles 2 were prepared in an identical manner to 1 except L2 (20.2 mg, 0.1 mmol) was used in place of L1.

³¹P MAS NMR: δ 29 ppm.

Elemental analysis (%): Ir, 50.44; P, 4.89.

Synthesis of stabilized Ir nanoparticles 3

The nanoparticles **3** were prepared in an identical manner to **1** except **L3** (21.4 mg, 0.1 mmol) was used in place of **L1**.

 ^{31}P MAS NMR: δ 94 ppm.

Elemental analysis (%): Ir, 48.65; P, 4.69.

3. Catalytic hydrogenation

Catalytic experiments were performed in a HEL 24–multireactor (volume of the tubes 1.5 mL). In a typical experiment, 1 mg of IrNPs (0.0025 mmol of Ir assuming % of Ir from elemental analysis) in 0.75 mL of THF (as a standard solution, freshly prepared prior to use) was mixed with 1 mmol of substrate in 1.5 mL vials and the reactor was sealed under argon atmosphere in a glove box. The reactor was then pressurized with 5 bar of hydrogen and depressurized three times to purge and finally pressurized to the required pressure (10 or 20 bar). The reactor was stirred overnight at room temperature. After 18 h, the reactor was slowly depressurized and samples from each reaction were filtered through a silica plug and evaporated to dryness at 150 mbar using a rotary evaporator at room temperature. The residue was dissolved in CDCl₃ and analyzed by ¹H NMR to determine the conversion and selectivity.

4. Wide-Angle X-ray Scattering (WAXS) spectra



Figure S1. WAXS analysis of 1 (blue), 2 (green) and 3 (red).



Figure S2. RDF analysis of 1 (blue), 2 (green) and 3 (red).

5. ATR FT-IR spectra



Figure S3. ATR FT-IR spectrum of L1.



Figure S4. ATR FT-IR spectrum of 1.



Figure S6. ATR FT-IR spectrum of 2.



Figure S7. Overlay of ATR-IR spectra of **2** before and after bubbling with CO in the region 1000–2400 cm⁻¹.



Figure S8. ATR FT-IR spectrum of L3.



Figure S10. Overlay of ATR-IR spectra of **3** before and after bubbling with CO in the region 1000–2400 cm⁻¹



Figure S11. Overlay of ATR-IR spectra of **1** (blue), **2** (black) and **3** (red) before bubbling with CO in the region 1500–2300 cm⁻¹.

6. TEM images



Figures S12 and S13. Selected TEM images for 1.



Figures S14 and S15. Selected TEM images for 2.



Figures S16 and S17. Selected TEM images for 3.

7. HRTEM images



Figure S18. HRTEM micrographs and Fast Fourier Transform of a single particle for IrNPs 1–3.

8. NMR spectra



Figure S19. ³¹P Hahn-echo MAS NMR spectra of IrNPs 1 and L1. * denote spinning side bands.



Figure S20. ³¹P Hahn-echo MAS NMR spectra of IrNPs 2 and L2. * denote spinning side bands.



Figure S21. ³¹P Hahn-echo MAS NMR spectra of IrNPs **3** and **L3**. * denote spinning side bands. + unknown impurity.



Figure S22. ³¹P Hahn-echo MAS NMR spectra of IrNPs 1–3. * denote spinning side bands.



Figure S23. ³¹P MAS CPMG NMR spectra of IrNPs **1–3**. The recovery time was fixed to a low value (2s) in order to emphasize the high frequency signal of Knight-shifted ³¹P resonances (see below).



Figure S24. ³¹P CPMG-HETCOR MAS NMR spectrum of IrNPs 1. Lines are given as guide to the eyes.



Figure S25. ³¹P CPMG-HETCOR MAS NMR spectrum of IrNPs 2. Lines are given as guide to the eyes.



Figure S26. ³¹P Hahn-echo MAS NMR spectra of IrNPs 1 after saturation and recovery time of 100 ms (red) and 100s (blue).



Figure S27. ¹³C MAS NMR spectra of IrNPs 1 and L1. ⁺ denotes grease.



Figure S28. ¹³C MAS NMR spectra of IrNPs 2 and L2. ⁺ denotes grease.



Figure S29. ¹³C MAS NMR spectra of IrNPs 3 and L3.



Figure S30. ¹³C Hahn-echo MAS NMR spectra (recovery time 100s) of **1–3** after exposure to ¹³CO (1 bar; R.T.; 20 h). * denote spinning side bands.



Figure S31. Example of CO signal deconvolution. ¹³C Hahn-echo MAS NMR spectrum of IrNPs **2** (dotted line, blue) compared to simulated spectrum (in red).



Contact time (ms)

Figure S32. Example of CP kinetic study. ¹³C CP/MAS NMR spectra of **2** with contact time fixed between 0.5 to 30 ms.

tert-Butyl(phenyl)phosphine oxide (L1)



Dicyclohexylphosphine oxide (L3)





9. References

- ¹ D. Massiot, F. Fayon, M. Capron, I. King, S. Le Calve, B. Alonso, J. O. Durand, B. Bujoli, Z. Gan and G. Hoatson, *Magn. Reson. Chem.*, 2002, **40**, 70–76.
- ² http://www.gosa-fit.com-about.com
- ³ C. A. Busacca, J. C. Lorenz, N. Grinberg, N. Haddad, M. Hrapchak, B. Latli, H. Lee, P. Sabila, A. Saha, M.
- Sarvestani, S. Shen, R. Varsolona, X. Wei and C. H. Senanayake, Org. Lett., 2005, 7, 4277-4280.
- ⁴ I. Cano, L. M. Martínez-Prieto, B. Chaudret and P. W. N. M. van Leeuwen, *Chem. Eur. J.*, 2017, **23**, 1444–1450.
- ⁵ I. Cano, M. A. Huertos, A. M. Chapman, G. Buntkowsky, T. Gutmann, P. B. Groszewicz and P. W. N. M. van Leeuwen, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2015, **137**, 7718–7727.
- ⁶ (a) C. Pan, K. Pelzer, K. Philippot, B. Chaudret, F. Dassenoy, P. Lecante and M. J. Casanove, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2001, 123, 7584–7593; (b) K. Philippot and B. Chaudret, Oil Gas Sci. Technol. Rev. IFP, 2007, 62, 799–817; (c) D. González-Gálvez, P. Nolis, K. Philippot, B. Chaudret and P. W. N. M. van Leeuwen, ACS Catal., 2012, 2, 317–321; (d) E. Rafter, T. Gutmann, F. Low, G. Buntkowsky, K. Philippot, B. Chaudret and P. W. N. M. van Leeuwen, Catal. Sci. Technol., 2013, 3, 595–599.