Role of intermolecular charge delocalization and its dimensionality in efficient band-like electron transport in crystalline 2,5-difluoro-7,7,8,8-tetracyanoquinodimethane (F$_2$-TCNQ)

Andrey Yu. Sosorev*

Supporting information

1. Transfer integrals within two calculation approaches

To verify our realization of the dimer projection (DIPRO) approach for the calculation of electron transfer integrals, J, the latter were also computed using energy-splitting-in-dimer (ESID) method, which is rigorous for symmetric dimers (i.e. in which the molecules are in equivalent positions). Table S1 collates the J values calculated using DIPRO and ESID approaches for symmetric dimers of TCNQ, F$_2$-TCNQ and F$_4$-TCNQ. The difference between the corresponding values obtained by the two methods does not exceed 7 meV (11%) for TCNQ, 4 meV (8%) for F$_2$-TCNQ and 8 meV (30%) for F$_4$-TCNQ. Reasonable coincidence of the values obtained by the two methods confirms the validity of the DIPRO-based approach for J calculation and its implementation in the current study.

Compound		TCNQ			F$_2$-TCNQ							F$_4$-TCNQ
Dimer type		*P1*	*P2*	*S*	*PL1*	*PL2*	*P1*	*P2*	*S1*	*L*	*S2*	*P*
J, meV	DIPRO	66	15	9	68	67	51	49	11	4	0	27
	ESID	59	12	1.5	64	63	46	45	11	4	0	19

Table S1. Electron transfer integrals J calculated using dimer projection (DIPRO) and energy-splitting-in-dimer (ESID) methods for F$_2$-TCNQ

2. Impact of basis set on transfer integrals and reorganization energy

2.1. Transfer integrals

Calculation of J in our current implementation of DIPRO method is not straightforward for large basis sets with diffuse functions; therefore, basis set 6-31g(d) was used for this task in the current study. The effect of basis set expansion on J values can be seen from Table S2 that presents the J values for the F_2-TCNQ crystal calculated using ESID method and different basis sets. The variation of J does not exceed 4 meV, and we conclude that the basis set used in this study, 6-31g(d), provides sufficiently accurate estimation of J.

Dimer type	J, meV			
	6-31g(d)	*6-31g(d,p)*	*6-31+g(d)*	*6-311+g(d,p)*
PL	62.5	62.5	61	61
P1,P2	45	45	45	46
S1	11	11	15	15
L	4	4	5	5
S2	0	0	1.5	0

Table S2. Values of electron transfer integrals for F_2-TCNQ crystal calculated using different basis sets.

2.2. Reorganization energy for isolated molecules

Basis set	λ, meV	
	TCNQ	*F_2-TCNQ*
6-31g	237	230
6-31g(d)	248	253
6-31g(d,p)	248	254
6-31+g(d)	250	258
6-31g+(d,p)	250	258
6-31g++(d,p)	250	258
6-311g(d,p)	257	265
6-311+g(d,p)	260	269
6-311g++(d,p)	260	269

Table S3. Reorganization energy for isolated molecules of TCNQ and F_2-TCNQ in different basis sets

Table S3 illustrates the effect of the basis set on the reorganization energy λ of isolated TCNQ and F_2-TCNQ molecules. The difference in λ between basis sets 6-31g and 6-311++g(dp) amounts ca 15%. This variation can not alter the conclusions of the current study.

2.3. Reorganization energy considering crystal environment

The effect of the basis set on λ values calculated considering crystal environment is illustrated in Table S4 for crystalline F_2-TCNQ. In both the 6-31g and 6-31+g(d) basis sets, λ in the selected PL dimer (see Chart 1 of the main text) amounts ca. 68% of that in single molecule. Similar variation of λ value for the two basis sets allow us to suggest that the qualitative results obtained in this study using relatively small 6-31g basis set are reliable.

Molecular system	λ, meV	
	6-31g	6-31+g(d)
Isolated molecule	230	258
Single molecule in crystal	240	263
Dimer	164	180

Table S4. Reorganization energy for F_2-TCNQ single molecule and dimer in different basis sets.