Electronic Supporting Information

A detailed experimental and theoretical investigation of the role of cyano group in the π -bridged acceptor of sensitizers for use in dye-sensitized solar cells (DSCs)

Qinqin Xu,^a Guang Yang,^a Yu Ren,^a Futai Lu,^a Nuonuo Zhang,^b Muhammad Qamar,^a Manlin Yang,^a Bao Zhang, ^{* a} and Yaqing Feng^{ac}

^a School of Chemical Engineering and Technology, Tianjin University, Tianjin 300350, China

^b Key laboratory of inorganic nonmetallic crystalline and energy conversion materials, China Three Gorges University, Yichang 443000, China

^c Collaborative Innovative Centre of Chemical Science and Engineering, Tianjin 300072, China

*Corresponding Author: Bao Zhang Telephone number: (86)-22-85356265

E-mail address: <u>baozhang@tju.edu.cn</u>

Synthesis



Scheme S1 Synthesis of LX1, LX2 and LX3

Firstly, the free base porphyrin **1** containing ester group at the para position of the meso benzo ring was obtained via MacDonald [2+2] porphyrin synthesis. Bromination and base hydrolysis afforded porphyrin **3**, which was used to introduce the long alkyl chain via a well-known procedure and zinc metal leading to compound **4**.^{S1} The following heck coupling afforded **5**, and subsequent hydrolysis furnished the final sensitizer **LX1** with a meso acrylic acid group as the π -bridged acceptor in 90% yield. Reduction of the ester group in **5** with DIBAL-H led to compound **6** which was oxidized to aldehyde **7** by using MnO₂. The subsequent Knoevenagel condensation with cyanoacetic acid afforded sensitizer **LX3** containing the meso α cyanopentadienoic acid acceptor with a yield of 84%.^{S2}

Synthesis of LX2 started with the free base porphyrin 1. The base hydrolysis followed by O-butylation and copper complexation resulting in copper porphyrin 9. Vilsmeier reaction furnished aldehyde functional group at the porphyrin meso

position.^{S3} De-metalation with a strong sulfuric acid removed copper and the following zinc complexation with $Zn(OAc)_2$ afforded porphyrin 10. The sensitizer LX2 containing the meso acrylic acid acceptor was then obtained via a Knoevenagel condensation of 10 with the cyano acetic acid in 84% yield.

Compound 1

4-(Di(1H-pyrrol-2-yl)methyl)phenyl acetate⁴ (280 mg, 1 mmol), dipyrromethane (146 mg, 1 mmol) and benzaldehyde (212 mg, 2 mmol) were dissolved in 150 mL dichloromethane. Trifluoroacetic acid (90 μ L, 1.2 mmol) was quickly added by syringe and the solution was stirred at room temperature for 3 h. After that, DDQ (680 mg, 3 mmol) was added and the solution was stirred for another 4 hours. The solvent was evaporated and the crude product was eluted through a short pad of silica gel by dichloromethane to remove most of the tar. The mixture was further purified by column chromatography using PE/CH₂Cl₂ (2/1) as eluent to give pure product **1** (65mg, 11 %).

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3) δ 10.25 (s, 1H), 9.37 (d, J = 4.6 Hz, 2H), 9.05 (d, J = 4.6 Hz, 2H), 8.93 (q, J = 4.7 Hz, 4H), 8.25 (dd, J = 11.2, 8.0 Hz, 6H), 7.81 (d, J = 6.0 Hz, 6H), 7.52 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 2H), 2.52 (s, 3H), -2.99 (s, 2H). MS (MALDI-TOF, m/z): (M+H)⁺ calcd for C₄₀H₂₈N₄O₂, 596.22; found, 596.38.

Compound 2

A mixture of compound **1** (59.6 mg, 0.1 mmol) in dry CHCl₃ (20 mL) and pyridine (3 drops) was cooled to 0°C for 15 min, and then NBS (26.69 mg, 0.15 mmol) was added to the mixture. The solution was stirred at room temperature for 1 h. The solvent was removed in vacuum. The residue was purified by column chromatography using CH₂Cl₂/PE (1/2) as eluent to give product **2** (21.69 mg, 91%).

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3) δ 9.69 (d, J = 4.8 Hz, 2H), 8.92 (d, J = 4.3 Hz, 2H), 8.83 (d, J = 3.0 Hz, 4H), 8.25 – 8.17 (m, 6H), 7.80 (d, J = 7.3 Hz, 6H), 7.51 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 2H), 2.51 (s, 3H), -2.74 (s, 2H). MS (MALDI-TOF, m/z): (M+H)+ calcd for C₄₀H₂₇BrN₄O₂, 674.13; found, 674.19.

Compound 3

Compound 2 (33.7 mg, 0.05 mmol) and KOH (57.7 mg, 1.00 mmol) were dissolved in THF/EtOH/H₂O (2:2:1, 30 mL) and the solution was refluxed for 1 hour. The reaction mixture was then cooled to room temperature and then acidified with aqueous HCl (pH \approx 3) and extracted with CH₂Cl₂. The organic phase was washed with water several times and dried with anhydrous Na₂SO₄. The residue was purified by column chromatography using CH₂Cl₂/PE (2/1) as eluent to give **3** (56.8 mg, 90 %) as a purple solid.

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3) δ 9.69 (d, J = 4.6 Hz, 2H), 8.92 (d, J = 4.1 Hz, 2H), 8.90 - 8.77 (m, 4H), 8.22 (d, J = 6.4 Hz, 4H), 8.06 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 2H), 7.80 (d, J = 7.1 Hz, 6H), 7.22 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 2H), 5.22 (s, 1H), -2.72 (s, 2H). MS (MALDI-TOF, m/z): (M+H)+ calcd for C₃₈H₂₅BrN₄O, 632.12; found, 632.20.

Compound 4

A mixture of compound 3 (63.2 mg, 0.1 mmol), K_2CO_3 (27.6 mg, 0.2 mmol) and n-butyl bromide (17 mg, 0.12 mmol) in dry DMF (20 mL) was heated at 80°C for 8 h. Then the reaction was quenched with water (100 mL), and the mixture was extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (3 × 50mL). The solvent was removed in vacuum. The residue was then dissolved in the mixed solution of CH_2Cl_2 /methanol (2:1, 30mL). After that, zinc acetate dihydrate (88mg, 0.4mmol) was added. The solution was refluxed for 1 hour. The solvent was evaporated and the crude product was extracted with CH_2Cl_2 . The organic layer was washed with brine several times and dried with anhydrous Na₂SO₄. The filtrate was evaporated under vacuum to give **4** (54 mg, 72 %).

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3) δ 9.79 (d, J = 4.6 Hz, 2H), 9.01 (d, J = 4.5 Hz, 2H), 8.97 (d, J = 4.6 Hz, 2H), 8.92 (d, J = 4.5 Hz, 2H), 8.22 (d, J = 6.4 Hz, 4H), 8.09 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 2H), 7.79 (d, J = 7.1 Hz, 6H), 7.30 (s, 2H), 4.28 (t, J = 6.3 Hz, 2H), 2.10 – 1.92 (m, 2H), 1.69 (m, 2H), 1.13 (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 3H). MS (MALDI-TOF, m/z): (M+H)+ calcd for C₄₂H₃₁BrN₄OZn, 750.10; found, 749.87.

Compound **5**

A flask was charged with a mixture of **4** (75.0 mg, 0.1 mmol) and methyl acrylate (27.2 μ L, 0.3 mmol), Pd(OAc)₂ (1.1 mg, 0.005 mmol), PPh3 (8.3 mg, 0.027 mmol), DMF (5 mL), anhydrous toluene(5 mL) and K₂CO₃ (20.7 mg, 0.15 mmol). The flask was degassed and purged with Ar. The mixture was heated at 130 °C for 4 h under Ar. Then the reaction was quenched with water (100 mL), and the mixture was extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (3 × 20 mL). The combined extracts were washed with water and dried with anhydrous Na₂SO₄. The solvent was removed in vacuum. The residue was purified by column chromatograph using CH₂Cl₂/PE (2/1) as eluent. Recrystallization from CH₂Cl₂/methanol gave **5** (49%).

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3) δ 10.29 (d, J = 15.7 Hz, 1H), 9.56 (d, J = 4.7 Hz, 2H), 9.02 (d, J = 4.7 Hz, 2H), 8.96 (d, J = 4.6 Hz, 2H), 8.89 (d, J = 4.6 Hz, 2H), 8.21 (d, J = 6.3 Hz, 4H), 8.08 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 7.85 – 7.72 (m, 6H), 7.27 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 6.76 (d, J = 15.7 Hz, 1H), 4.26 (t, J = 6.5 Hz, 2H), 4.01 (s, 3H), 2.04 – 1.92 (m, 2H), 1.68 (dt, J = 14.8, 7.2 Hz, 2H), 1.10 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 3H). MS (MALDI-TOF, m/z): (M+H)⁺ calcd for C₄₆H₃₆N₄O₃Zn, 756.21; found, 756.64

Compound LX1

Compound **5** (37.8 mg, 0.05 mmol) and KOH (57.7 mg, 1.00 mmol) were dissolved in THF/EtOH/H₂O (2:2:1, 30 mL) and the solution was refluxed for 1 hour. The reaction mixture was then cooled to room temperature and then acidified with aqueous HCl(pH \approx 3) and extracted with CH₂Cl₂. The organic phase was washed with water several times and dried with anhydrous Na₂SO₄. The residue was purified by column chromatography using CH₂Cl₂/MeOH (100/1) as eluent to give **LX1** (90%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO) δ 10.19 (d, J = 15.7 Hz, 1H), 9.55 (d, J = 4.7 Hz, 2H), 8.83 (d, J = 4.7 Hz, 2H), 8.79 (d, J = 4.6 Hz, 2H), 8.71 (d, J = 4.6 Hz, 2H), 8.21 – 8.13 (m, 4H), 8.04 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 7.81 (d, J = 5.7 Hz, 6H), 7.32 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 4.25 (t, J = 6.3 Hz, 2H), 1.94 – 1.84 (m, 2H), 1.60 (dq, J = 14.7, 7.5 Hz, 2H), 1.05 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 3H). MS (MALDI-TOF, m/z): (M+H)⁺ calcd for C₄₅H₃₄N₄O₃Zn, 742.19; found, 742.50.

Compound 6

A solution of DIBAL-H (1.5 M in toluene, 0.2 mL, 0.30 mmol) was added dropwise to a solution of compound **5** (75.6 mg, 0.10mmol) in anhydrous toluene (30 mL) under argon atmosphere. The reaction mixture was stirred in ice-bath for 0.5 h and then the reaction was allowed to warm to room temperature. After another 30 min, the reaction was quenched with water. After the aqueous layer was removed, the organic layers were dried with anhydrous Na₂SO₄. The filtrate was then evaporated under vacuum and purified by column chromatography using CH_2Cl_2 as eluent to give compound **6** (61.1 mg, 84%) as a red solid.

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3) δ 9.42 (d, J = 4.7 Hz, 2H), 8.96 (dd, J = 9.0, 4.5 Hz, 5H), 8.90 (d, J = 4.6 Hz, 2H), 8.21 (d, J = 6.5 Hz, 4H), 8.08 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 7.83 – 7.69 (m, 6H), 7.25 (d, J = 10.8 Hz, 2H), 6.28 (dt, J = 15.7, 5.4 Hz, 1H), 4.50 (d, J = 5.0 Hz, 2H), 4.24 (t, J = 6.5 Hz, 2H), 3.35 (d, J = 5.1 Hz, 1H), 2.02 – 1.91 (m, 2H), 1.67 (dq, J = 147.5 Hz, 2H), 1.10 (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 3H). MS (MALDI-TOF, m/z): (M+H)⁺ calcd for C₄₅H₃₆N₄O₂Zn, 728.21; found, 728.48

Compound 7

Activated MnO_2 (87 mg, 1 mmol) was added to a solution of 6 (72.8 mg, 0.1 mmol) in dry CH_2Cl_2 (4.0 mL) and stirred at room temperature for 19 h. The solvent was removed under vacuum and the residue was purified by column chromatography using $CH_2Cl_2/PE(4:1)$ as eluent to give compound 7 (64.6 mg, 89%) as a green solid.

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 10.02 (dd, J = 11.7, 6.3 Hz, 2H), 9.42 (d, J = 4.7 Hz, 2H), 8.98 (d, J = 4.7 Hz, 2H), 8.95 (d, J = 4.6 Hz, 2H), 8.87 (d, J = 4.6 Hz, 2H), 8.19 (d, J = 6.6 Hz, 4H), 8.07 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 7.78 (dq, J = 13.9, 7.0 Hz, 6H), 7.30 – 7.22 (m, 2H), 6.83 (dd, J = 15.6, 7.9 Hz, 1H), 4.25 (t, J = 6.5 Hz, 2H), 2.02 – 1.91 (m, 2H), 1.67 (dq, J = 14.7, 7.4 Hz, 2H), 1.10 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 3H). MS (MALDI-TOF, m/z): (M+H)⁺ calcd for C₄₅H₃₄N₄O₂Zn, 726.20; found, 726.11.

Compound LX3

A solution of 7 (72.6 mg, 0.1 mmol), cyanoacetic acid (42.5 mg, 0.5 mmol), and

piperidine (235 uL, 2.4 mmol) in methanol (15 mL) was heated at reflux temperature for 50 min under Ar. While the solution was cooled to room temperature, CH_2Cl_2 (50 mL) and H_2O (100 mL) were added, and the solution was shaken vigorously, adjusting the pH of the aqueous layer to pH= 2 with H_3PO_4 (1.0 mL). The organic phase was washed with water several times and dried with anhydrous Na₂SO₄. The filtrate was concentrated in vacuum to give **LX-3** (66.6 mg, 84%) as a green solid.

¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO) δ 10.47 (d, J = 14.6 Hz, 1H), 9.72 (d, J = 4.7 Hz, 2H), 8.90 – 8.84 (m, 3H), 8.79 (d, J = 4.6 Hz, 2H), 8.70 (d, J = 4.6 Hz, 2H), 8.24 – 8.17 (m, 4H), 8.06 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 7.90 – 7.81 (m, 6H), 7.46 (dd, J = 14.5, 11.8 Hz, 1H), 7.46(t, J = 8.3 Hz, 2H), 7.34 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 2H), 4.26 (s, 3H), 1.90 (dd, J = 14.0, 6.7 Hz, 2H), 1.62 (dq, J = 14.4, 7.3 Hz, 2H), 1.08 (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 3H). MS (MALDI-TOF, m/z): (M+H)⁺ calcd for C₄₈H₃₅N₅O₃Zn, 793.20; found, 793.21.

Compound 8

The preparation method was the same with that of compound **3** from **2**. The residue was purified by column chromatography using CH_2Cl_2/PE (2/1) as eluent to give 8 in 96% yield.

Compound 9

The preparation method was the same with that of compound 4 from 3 except that $Cu(OAc)_2$ was employed in place of $Zn(OAc)_2$. The yield is 92% from 8.

Compound 10

POCl₃ (1 mL, 0.011 mmol) was added very slowly to dry DMF (1.25 mL, 0.016 mmol) at 0 °C within 4 hours. To that mixture, a solution of compound **9** (67.0 mg, 0.1 mmol) in ClCH₂CH₂Cl (30mL) was added dropwise within 1 hour. Then the bath was heated to 90 °C and maintained for 4 h. After the reaction cooled to the room temperature, added 2 mL H₂SO₄ under the ice bath and stirred strongly for 30 min, then acidified with aqueous NaOH (pH \approx 7) and extracted with CH₂Cl₂. The organic phase was washed with water several times and dried with anhydrous Na₂SO₄.

solvent was removed under vacuum. The residue was purified by column chromatograph using CH₂Cl₂/PE (2/1) as eluent. Recrystallization from CH₂Cl₂ /methanol. The purified product was then dissolved in the mixed solution of CH₂Cl₂/methanol (2:1, 30mL). After that, zinc acetate dihydrate (88mg, 0.4mmol) was added. The solution was refluxed for 1 hour. The solvent was evaporated and the crude product was extracted with CH₂Cl₂. The organic layer was washed with brine several times and dried with anhydrous Na₂SO₄. The filtrate was evaporated under vacuum to give **10** (43 mg, 62%).

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 12.40 (s, 1H), 10.00 (d, J = 4.9 Hz, 2H), 9.02 (d, J = 4.9 Hz, 2H), 8.90 (d, J = 4.5 Hz, 2H), 8.78 (d, J = 4.6 Hz, 2H), 8.16 (d, J = 6.4 Hz, 4H), 8.06 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 7.77 (dd, J = 15.8, 8.6 Hz, 6H), 7.29 (d, J = 9.6 Hz, 2H), 4.25 (t, J = 6.6 Hz, 3H), 2.01 – 1.92 (m, 2H), 1.66 (dd, J = 14.9, 7.4 Hz, 2H), 1.10 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 3H). MS (MALDI-TOF, m/z): (M+H)⁺ calcd for C₄₃H₃₂N₄O₂Zn, 700.12; found, 700.08.

Compound LX2

The preparation method was the same with that of **LX-3**. The yield is 84% from **10**.

¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO) δ 10.84 (s, 1H), 9.50 (d, J = 4.7 Hz, 2H), 8.86 (d, J = 4.7 Hz, 2H), 8.83 (d, J = 4.6 Hz, 2H), 8.73 (d, J = 4.6 Hz, 2H), 8.25 – 8.18 (m, 4H), 8.09 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 7.86 (d, J = 5.9 Hz, 6H), 7.37 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 4.29 (t, J = 6.4 Hz, 2H), 1.97 – 1.87 (m, 2H), 1.64 (dq, J = 14.6, 7.4 Hz, 2H), 1.09 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 3H). MS (MALDI-TOF, m/z): (M+H)⁺ calcd for C₄₆H₃₃N₅O₃Zn, 767.19; found, 767.16.



Fig. S1 The UV-vis of isolated **LX1-3** dyes simulated at TD-CAM-B3LYP/6-311G(d) level in the ethanol solution.



Fig. S2 Molecular orbital distributions for LX1-3.

Table S1. The calculated excited energy (EEXC), absorption wavelength (λ max), oscillator strengths (*f*) and transition contributions for **LX1-3** calculated at TD-CAM-B3LYP/6-311G(d) in ethanol.

Dye	States	$E_{EXC}(eV)$	λmax (nm)	f	Transitions
LX1	S1	2.14	581	0.1098	H-0->L-0 (67%), H-1->L+1 (32%)
	S2	2.17	572	0.0005	H-0->L+1 (50%), H-1->L-0 (49%)
	S3	3.14	395	1.6938	H-1->L-0 (30%), H-0->L+1 (30%),
					H-1->L+1 (26%), H-0->L-0 (13%)
	S4	3.15	393	1.8300	H-1->L+1 (40%), H-0->L+1 (20%),
					H-1->L-0 (20%), H-0->L-0 (20%)
LX2	S 1	2.12	584	0.2078	H-0->L-0 (71%), H-1->L+1 (24%)
	S2	2.14	580	0.0265	H-1->L-0 (61%), H-0->L+1 (34%)
	S 3	3.04	408	1.6112	H-1->L+1 (58%), H-0->L-0 (20%)
	S4	3.10	400	1.4317	H-0->L+1 (54%), H-1->L-0 (32%)
LX3	S 1	2.00	619	0.5487	H-0->L-0 (80%), H-1->L+1 (17%)
	S2	2.10	591	0.0146	H-1->L-0 (59%), H-0->L+1 (37%)
	S3	2.88	430	1.7452	H-1->L+1 (63%), H-0->L+2 (17%),
					H-0->L-0 (15%)
	S4	3.00	414	1.2369	H-0->L+1 (58%), H-1->L-0 (40%)



Fig. S3 DOS of bare TiO_2 in vacuo and ethanol simulated at B3LYP/6-311G(d) level.



Fig. S4 ¹H- NMR spectra of LX2 in DMSO-d6.



Fig. S5 ¹H- NMR spectra of LX3 in DMSO-d6.





Notes and References

S1. F. Cheng and A. Adronov, *Chem. - Eur. J.*, 2006, **12**, 5053-5059.

S2. F. Effenberger and H. Stobel, *Chem. Ber.*, 1993, **126**, 1683-1691.

S3. E. E. Bonfantini, A. K. Burrell, W. M. Campbell, M. J. Crossley, J. J. Gosper, M. M. Harding, D. L. Officer and D. C. W. Reid, *J. Porphyrins Phthalocyanines*, 2002, **6**, 708-719.

S4. H. R. A. Golf, A. M. Oltmanns, D. H. Trieu, H.-U. Reissig and A. Wiehe, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.*, 2015, 2015, 4224-4237.