#### **Supplementary Information**

# Structural Changes of Water Molecules during Photoelectrochemical Water Oxidation on TiO<sub>2</sub> Thin Film Electrodes

Chandana Sampath Kumara Ranasinghe<sup>a</sup> and Akira Yamakata<sup>a,b\*</sup>

<sup>*a*</sup> Graduate School of Engineering, Toyota Technological Institute, 2-12-1 Hisakata, Tempaku, Nagoya 468-8511, Japan

<sup>b</sup> Cooperative Research Fellow, Institute for Catalysis, Hokkaido University, Hokkaido 001-0021, Japan

## 1. XRD patterns of FTO/TiO<sub>2</sub> thin film used in this experiment.

FTO/TiO<sub>2</sub> thin film was fabricated on the sapphire prism as described in section 2.1. To confirm the structure of the TiO<sub>2</sub> deposited by this technique, a similar thin film was fabricated on flat glass substrate by following the same experimental methods for XRD measurements. The XRD patterns were measured by using Rigaku, Ultima IV X-ray diffractometer (Cu K $\alpha$  radiation,  $\lambda = 0.154$  nm). The XRD pattern of the thin film is shown in Fig. S1. The formation of anatase TiO<sub>2</sub> (JCPDS card no. 21-1272) was confirmed by the clear peaks of (101), (200), and (211).<sup>1</sup>



Fig. S1. XRD pattern of the FTO/TiO<sub>2</sub> thin film used in this work.

#### 2. Scanning electron microscopic images of FTO/TiO<sub>2</sub> thin film.

FTO/TiO<sub>2</sub> thin film was fabricated on the sapphire prism. For the SEM measurements, we fabricated the TiO<sub>2</sub> thin film on FTO flat glass substrate (Thickness-2 mm, Area- 2 cm x 2 cm). SEM images of the TiO<sub>2</sub> thin film are shown in Fig. S2 (A~C). The cross-sectional image of the TiO<sub>2</sub> layer is also shown in Fig. S2 (D). The average thickness of the TiO<sub>2</sub> layer was estimated to be ~750 nm. These results are well agreed with our previous reports.<sup>2, 3</sup>



Fig. S2. Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) images of the surface morphology of  $FTO/TiO_2$  thin film (A~C) and the cross section image (D).

# **3.** UV-Vis transmission spectra of TiO<sub>2</sub> thin film used in this work and that fabricated from anatase TiO<sub>2</sub> powder.

We have compared the UV-Vis absorption spectra of the  $TiO_2$  thin films used in this work and that fabricated by spray pyrolysis deposition (SPD) from anatase  $TiO_2$  powder (TIO-1, Catalyst Society of Japan: particle size 21 nm).<sup>2</sup>.



Fig. S3. Transmission spectra of FTO layer,  $TiO_2$  thin films, and  $TiO_2$  anatase powder based electrodes fabricated on glass substrate.

#### 4. Irradiated UV-power dependence of photocurrent.

Photocurrent of  $TiO_2$  thin film electrode was measured under different powers of UV light (Fig. S4 (A)). The photocurrent density recorded at 1.0 V was plotted vs. UV power density (Fig. S4 (B)). The photocurrent density was linearly increased with the irradiated UV power.



Fig. S4. (A) Photocurrent response of the  $TiO_2$  thin film under different power densities of LED light (375 nm, 0.1 Hz) measured in 0.1 M Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> aqueous solution (H<sub>2</sub>O) at positive potential sweep -0.5 to 1.0 V and (B) Plot of photocurrent density at 1.0 V vs. different illumination power densities of LED light.

#### 5. Laser power dependence of the decay kinetics of photogenerated electrons.

We fabricate the same TiO<sub>2</sub> thin film on a CaF<sub>2</sub> plate, and the laser power dependence of the lifetime of photogenerated charge carriers was investigated in a vacuum. According to Fig. S5 (A), the absolute intensity of the transient absorption at 2500 cm<sup>-1</sup> gradually increased with increasing the laser power, but these decay curves are almost parallel after 2  $\mu$ s. Fig. S5 (B) shows that the absorption intensity at 2  $\mu$ s. The non-linear dependence to the laser power is due to the 2nd-order recombination kinetics of photogenerated charge carriers proceeding within 2  $\mu$ s.



Fig. S5. (A) Decay curves of photogenerated electrons (2500 cm<sup>-1</sup>) in the TiO<sub>2</sub> thin film measured in a vacuum. The film was photoexcited by 355 nm laser pulses with different power (repetition rate: 0.05 Hz). (B) The intensity of transient absorption measured at 2  $\mu$ s vs. power of the laser (355 nm).

#### 6. Stability of the photocurrent of TiO<sub>2</sub> thin film fused in this work.

The stability of the  $TiO_2$  thin film under UV light irradiation (375 nm, 0.1 Hz) was examined (Fig. S6). The photocurrent did not degrade even after 1000 s, suggesting this  $TIO_2$  electrode is very stable as reported in our previous studies.<sup>2</sup>



Fig. S6. Photocurrent response (at 1.0 V) of the TiO<sub>2</sub> thin film under illumination of LED light (375 nm, 0.1 Hz) measured in 0.1 M Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> aqueous solution (H<sub>2</sub>O) with the time.

#### 7. Effects of laser pulse irradiation on FTO layer.

Transient absorption spectra of fluorine doped tin oxide (FTO) after UV laser pulse irradiation was measured as shown in Fig. S7. However, no signal was observed. Therefore, the effects of photoirradiation of FTO can be neglected.



Fig. S7. Transient absorption spectra of FTO thin film in 0.1 M  $Na_2SO_4$  (aq) measured without applying a bias potential. Spectra were recorded at 5-1000 µs after irradiation by 355 nm laser pulses (0.2 mJ pulse<sup>-1</sup> with the repetition rate of 5 Hz).

#### 8. Expansion of Figures 3 and 4 for the detailed comparison with Figure 7.

In order to compare Fig. 3 and 4 with Fig. 7, the x-axis of Fig. 3 and 4 were expanded and re-plotted as Fig. S8 and S9, respectively. However, the spectral shape is almost identical: the new positive peak appeared at  $3600 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ , and negative peak appeared at  $\sim 3200 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ .

sa



Fig. S8. Expansion of x-axis of Figure 3 ( $4500 - 2500 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ ). See Figure caption of Fig. 3.



Fig. S9. Expansion of x-axis of Figure 4 (4500 - 2500 cm<sup>-1</sup>). See Figure caption of Fig. 4.

## References

- 1. J. Yu and J. Zhang, *Dalton Trans*, 2010, **39**, 5860-5867.
- 2. C. S. K. Ranasinghe, J. J. M. Vequizo and A. Yamakata, *J. Photochem. Photobiol. A: Chem.*, 2017, DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jphotochem.2017.09.006.
- 3. G. R. A. Kumara, C. S. K. Ranasinghe, E. N. Jayaweera, H. M. N. Bandara, M. Okuya and R. M. G. Rajapakse, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2014, **118**, 16479-16485.