Supplementary material for

"Single Pd atomic catalyst on Mo₂CO₂ monolayer (MXene): unusual activity to CO oxidation by trimolecular Eley-Rideal mechanism"

Cheng Cheng ^a, Xilin Zhang ^a, Mingyang Wang ^a, Shiyan Wang ^a, Zongxian Yang ^{a,b,c,*}

^a College of Physics and Materials Science, Henan Normal University, Xinxiang, Henan 453007,

China

^b National Demonstration Center for Experimental Physics Education (Henan Normal University),

Xinxiang, Henan 453007, China

^c Collaborative Innovation Center of Nano Functional Materials and Applications, Henan, China



Fig. S1. Diffusion pathways of single Pd atom on the pristine Mo₂CO₂ monolayer.

^{*}Corresponding author at: College of Physics and Materials Science, Henan Normal University, Xinxiang, 453007, China.

E-mail address: yzx@henannu.edu.cn (Z. Yang).



Fig. S2. The density of states of pristine Mo_2CO_2 . The dashed line at energy zero represents the Fermi level.

Table S1. The test with various force thresholds for the total energies of the $Pd/O_V-Mo_2CO_2$ substrate and that with CO adsorbate. The structures were found to have almost no changes for the different values for the force thresholds.

Force threshold	Substrate	CO adsorbed on substrate	
(Ha/Å)	(Ha)	(Ha)	
0.002	-3505.7177426	-3618.9931082	
0.0007 (~ 0.019 eV)	-3505.7177519	-3618.9931137	
0.00037 (~ 0.010 eV)	-3505.7177519	-3618.9931137	

Table S2. The adsorption energies of CO, O_2 , CO_2 , 2CO in the 3x3 and 4x4 supercells on Pd/O_V-Mo₂CO₂. All the adsorption energies are for the most favored adsorption configurations. The negative values represent the exothermic adsorption.

Supercell	3x3	4x4
E _{co}	-1.20 eV	-1.19 eV
E_{O_2}	-0.36 eV	-0.37 eV
E_{CO_2}	-0.30 eV	-0.30 eV
E_{2CO}	-2.10 eV	-2.11 eV

Table S3. The most favored adsorption energies for CO and O_2 on Au(111), Ag(111), Pt(111) and Pd(111); the adsorption energy and dissociation adsorption energies for CO and O on Ru(0001) and Rh(111) and the activation barriers involved in the CO oxidation reaction.

Catalysts	$E_{CO}(\mathrm{eV})$	$E_{O_2} / E_O({\rm eV})$	$E_a(eV)$
Au(111) ²	-0.30	-0.04	1.97
Ag(111) ²	-0.29	-0.16	1.03
Pt(111) ³	-1.58	-0.72	0.46
Pd(111) ⁴	-1.47	-2.39	0.61
Ru(0001) ^{1, 5}	-1.26	-/-3.46	1.68
Rh(111) ^{1, 5}	-1.35	-/-2.43	1.17

References

- M. S. Chen, Y. Cai, Z. Yan, K. K. Gath, S. Axnanda and D. W. Goodman, *Surface Science*, 2007, 601, 5326-5331.
- 2. H.-Y. Su, M.-M. Yang, X.-H. Bao and W.-X. Li, *The Journal of Physical Chemistry C*, 2008, 112, 17303-17310.
- 3. A. Eichler and J. Hafner, *Physical Review B*, 1999, 59, 5960-5967.
- 4. T. Engel and G. Ertl, *The Journal of Chemical Physics*, 1978, 69, 1267.
- X.-Q. Gong, Z.-P. Liu, R. Raval and P. Hu, *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, 2004, 126, 8-9.