Supplemental Material for "Sum-frequency vibrational

spectroscopy of limonene chiral liquids due to the nonadiabatic

effect"

Ren-hui Zheng,*a Wen-mei Wei,b Meng Xua and Qiang Shi*a

^a Beijing National Laboratory for Molecular Sciences, State Key Laboratory for Structural Chemistry of Unstable and Stable Species, Institute of Chemistry, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Zhongguancun, Beijing 100190, P. R. China

^{*}E-mail: zrh@iccas.ac.cn (R. Zheng); qshi@ iccas.ac.cn (Q. Shi)

^b Department of Chemistry, College of Basic Medicine, Anhui Medical University, Hefei, Anhui 230032, P. R. China

1. The chiral nonlinear susceptibility

The chiral nonlinear susceptibility $\chi^{(2)}_{chiral}(\omega, \omega_2)$ is written into^{2,16}

$$\chi_{chiral}^{(2)}(\omega, \omega_{2}) = \frac{1}{6\varepsilon_{0}} N_{B} L(\omega) L(\omega_{1}) L(\omega_{2}) \cdot \sum_{ijk} p_{ijk} \alpha_{ijk}^{(2)}$$
$$= \frac{1}{\varepsilon_{0}} N_{B} L(\omega) L(\omega_{1}) L(\omega_{2}) \frac{\alpha_{xyz}^{(2)} - \alpha_{yxz}^{(2)} + \alpha_{zxy}^{(2)} - \alpha_{xzy}^{(2)} + \alpha_{yzx}^{(2)} - \alpha_{zyx}^{(2)}}{6},$$
(s1)

where x, y and z refer to the molecular Cartesian coordinates. The hyperpolarizability $\alpha_{i,jk}^{(2)}$ is given by

$$\alpha_{ijk}^{(2)} = \frac{\left\langle g, 1 \middle| \mu_k \middle| g, 0 \right\rangle}{\mathsf{h}(\omega_2 - \omega_{(g,1)(g,0)} + i\Gamma_{(g,1)(g,0)})} \cdot M_{ij}.$$
(s2)

Here the anti-Stokes Raman tensor M_{ij} is written as

$$M_{ij} = \frac{1}{\mathsf{h}} \sum_{n,\delta} \left[\frac{\langle g, 0 | \mu_i | n, \delta \rangle \langle n, \delta | \mu_j | g, 1 \rangle}{\omega - \omega_{(n,\delta)(g,0)} + i\Gamma_{(n,\delta)(g,0)}} - \frac{\langle g, 0 | \mu_j | n, \delta \rangle \langle n, \delta | \mu_i | g, 1 \rangle}{\omega - \omega_{(g,1)(n,\delta)} + i\Gamma_{(g,1)(n,\delta)}} \right].$$
(s3)

The definition of the symbols can be found in our text. Thus Eq. (s1) can be written into:

$$\chi_{chiral}^{(2)}(\omega, \omega_2) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon_0} \frac{N_B L(\omega) L(\omega_1) L(\omega_2)}{\mathsf{h}(\omega_2 - \omega_{(g,1)(g,0)} + i\Gamma_{(g,1)(g,0)})} \times \frac{\langle g, 1 | \mu_z | g, 0 \rangle (M_{xy} - M_{yx}) + \langle g, 1 | \mu_y | g, 0 \rangle (M_{zx} - M_{xz}) + \langle g, 1 | \mu_x | g, 0 \rangle (M_{yz} - M_{zy})}{6}$$

(s4)

 M_{ij} can be the sum of A and B terms:

$$M_{ij} = A_{ij} + B_{ij}.$$
 (s5)

The expression of A_{ij} is^{2,16}

$$A_{ij} = \frac{1}{h} \sum_{n \neq g} \left[\left(\frac{\mu_i^{ng} \mu_j^{ng} \omega_{(n,1)(n,0)} \left\langle 0_g \mid 1_n \right\rangle}{(\omega - \omega_{(n,0)(g,0)} + i\Gamma_{(n,0)(g,0)}) (\omega - \omega_{(n,1)(g,0)} + i\Gamma_{(n,1)(g,0)})} + \frac{\mu_i^{ng} \mu_j^{ng} \omega_{(n,1)(n,0)} \left\langle 0_g \mid 1_n \right\rangle}{(\omega - \omega_{(g,1)(n,0)} + i\Gamma_{(g,1)(n,0)}) (\omega - \omega_{(g,1)(n,1)} + i\Gamma_{(g,1)(n,1)})} \right]$$
(s6)

From the above equation, we find that A_{ij} is symmetric and $A_{ij} = A_{ji}$, i.e., $A_{xy} = A_{yx}$, $A_{zx} = A_{xz}$ and $A_{zy} = A_{zy}$. Substituting A_{ij} into Eq.(s4), we found that $\chi^{(2)}_{chiral}(\omega, \omega_2) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon_0} \frac{N_B L(\omega) L(\omega_1) L(\omega_2)}{h(\omega_2 - \omega_{(g,1)} (g,0)} + i\Gamma_{(g,1)} (g,0))} \times \frac{\langle g, 1 | \mu_z | g, 0 \rangle (A_{xy} - A_{yx}) + \langle g, 1 | \mu_y | g, 0 \rangle (A_{zx} - A_{xz}) + \langle g, 1 | \mu_x | g, 0 \rangle (A_{yz} - A_{zy})}{6}$.(s7)

Due to the expressions $A_{xy} - A_{yx} = 0$, $A_{zx} - A_{xz} = 0$ and $A_{yz} - A_{zy} = 0$, Eq. (s7) is zero. A_{ij} is symmetric and it has no influence on the chiral SFVS. Just as we know, only the antisymmetric anti-Stokes Raman tensor contributes to the bulk chiral SFVS.

The expression of B_{ij} is^{2,16}

$$B_{ij} = B_{ij}^{s} + B_{ij}^{a}.$$
(s8)

Where the symmetric part B_{ij}^s is¹⁶

$$B_{ij}^{s} = \frac{1}{2h^{2}} \sum_{n \neq g} \sum_{s < n, \neq g} V_{sn} \langle 0 | Q | 1 \rangle \left[\left(\frac{1}{(\omega - \omega_{(n,1)(g,0)} + i\Gamma_{(n,1)(g,0)}) (\omega - \omega_{(s,0)(g,0)} + i\Gamma_{(s,0)(g,0)})} - \frac{1}{(\omega - \omega_{(n,0)(g,0)} + i\Gamma_{(n,0)(g,0)}) (\omega - \omega_{(s,1)(g,0)} + i\Gamma_{(s,1)(g,0)})} \right) - \left(\frac{1}{(\omega - \omega_{(g,1)(n,1)} + i\Gamma_{(g,1)(n,1)}) (\omega - \omega_{(g,1)(s,0)} + i\Gamma_{(g,1)(s,0)})} - \frac{1}{(\omega - \omega_{(g,1)(n,0)} + i\Gamma_{(g,1)(n,0)}) (\omega - \omega_{(g,1)(s,1)} + i\Gamma_{(g,1)(s,1)})} \right] \left(\mu_{i}^{ng} \mu_{j}^{sg} + \mu_{j}^{ng} \mu_{i}^{sg} \right)$$

From Eq.(s9), we discover that B_{ij}^s is symmetric and $B_{ij}^s = B_{ji}^s$. Similar to the discussion for A_{ij} , we can find that the symmetric part B_{ij}^s has no contribution to chiral nonlinear susceptibility.

The anti-symmetric part B_{ij}^{a} is¹⁶

$$B_{ij}^{a} = \frac{-1}{2h^{2}} \sum_{n \neq g} \sum_{s < n, \neq g} V_{sn} \langle 0 | Q | 1 \rangle \left[\left(\frac{1}{(\omega - \omega_{(n,1)(g,0)} + i\Gamma_{(n,1)(g,0)}) (\omega - \omega_{(s,0)(g,0)} + i\Gamma_{(s,0)(g,0)})} - \frac{1}{(\omega - \omega_{(n,0)(g,0)} + i\Gamma_{(n,0)(g,0)}) (\omega - \omega_{(s,1)(g,0)} + i\Gamma_{(s,1)(g,0)})} \right] - \left(\frac{1}{(\omega - \omega_{(g,1)(n,1)} + i\Gamma_{(g,1)(n,1)}) (\omega - \omega_{(g,1)(g,0)} + i\Gamma_{(g,1)(g,0)})} - \frac{1}{(\omega - \omega_{(g,1)(n,0)} + i\Gamma_{(g,1)(g,0)}) (\omega - \omega_{(g,1)(g,1)} + i\Gamma_{(g,1)(g,1)})} \right] \left(\mu_{i}^{ng} \mu_{j}^{sg} - \mu_{j}^{ng} \mu_{i}^{sg} \right)$$

Where B_{ij}^{a} is not symmetric and $B_{ij}^{a} \neq B_{ji}^{a}$. Thus the anti-symmetric part B_{ij}^{a} has influence on the chiral nonlinear susceptibility.

2. Fermi resonance and SFVS

From Ref. [s1], we know that when the UV/visible light is off electronic resonance and the IR light is close to the vibrational resonance, the molecular hyperpolarizability can be written into

$$\alpha_{\xi\eta\zeta}^{(2)} = \frac{1}{\mathsf{h}} \left\langle Gg \left| \mu_{\zeta} \right| Nn \right\rangle \left\langle Nn \left| \alpha_{\xi\eta} \right| Gg \right\rangle \frac{1}{\omega_2 - \omega_q + i\Gamma_q}.$$
(s11)

Where μ_{ζ} is the dipole moment, $\alpha_{\xi\eta}$ is the polarizability, and the vibrational wavefunctions due to the Femi resonance are

$$n^{+} = \left| 1_{t} 0_{s} \right\rangle - \frac{iV}{\omega_{1} - 2\omega_{2}} \left| 0_{t} 2_{s} \right\rangle,$$

$$n^{-} = \left| 0_{t} 2_{s} \right\rangle - \frac{iV}{\omega_{1} - 2\omega_{2}} \left| 1_{t} 0_{s} \right\rangle.$$
(s12)

From the above functions, we find that the intensities from the Femi resonance is inversely proportional to the frequency difference between the double frequency $2\omega_2$ of the C-H bending and the C-H stretching vibrational frequency ω_1 . Only the frequency difference that is small has important influence on vibrational wavefunctions and the corresponding SFVS intensities. From Ref. [s1], the frequencies ω^{\pm} under the influence of the Femi resonance for n^{+} and n^{-} are respectively

$$\omega^{\pm} = \frac{\omega_1 + 2\omega_2}{2} \pm \sqrt{\left(\frac{\omega_1 - 2\omega_2}{2}\right)^2 + V^2}.$$
 (s13)

Where V is the off-diagonal element (the detailed definition can be found in Ref. [s1]). The frequencies are shifted due to the Femi resonance. However, when V is small, the frequencies ω^{\pm} can be reduced to^{s1}

$$\omega^{+} = \omega_{1},$$

$$\omega^{-} = 2\omega_{2}.$$
 (s14)

Thus the Femi resonance shifts the frequencies that are small when off-diagonal element V is not large.

From Ref. [23], there is the SFVS at methanol/vapor interface where there are SFVS peaks due to the Femi resonance, Generally, the SFVS intensity from CH₃ symmetric stretching vibration are much stronger than that from the CH₃ anti-symmetric vibration. However, due to the Femi resonance, the SFVS intensity from the CH₃-Femi can be compared with the symmetric one. Hence, the Femi resonance can enhance the SFVS intensity. In our manuscript, the SFVS intensity weaker than experiment. If the Femi resonance is taken into account, the SFVS intensity may become larger and agree well with experiment.

3. Rotational invariants for Raman

Rotational invariants for Raman is defined in Ref. [30]. The Raman scattering cross section is³⁰

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} = \left[\frac{2\pi(v_0 - v_t)}{c}\right]^4 \frac{1}{30[1 - \exp(hcv_t / k_B T)]} (10\Sigma^0 + 5\Sigma^1 + 7\Sigma^2), \quad (s15)$$

Where *c* is light speed, k_B is the Boltzmann constant and *T* is temperature. For randomly oriented scatting systems, the differential scattering cross-sections for the scattered light polarized parallel to the polarization of the input light and the other polarized perpendicular to it are respectively

$$(\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega})_{para} = \left[\frac{2\pi(v_0 - v_t)}{c}\right]^4 \frac{1}{30[1 - \exp(hcv_t / k_B T)]} (10\Sigma^0 + 4\Sigma^2), (s16)$$

$$(\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega})_{perp} = \left[\frac{2\pi(v_0 - v_t)}{c}\right]^4 \frac{1}{30[1 - \exp(hcv_t / k_B T)]} (5\Sigma^1 + 3\Sigma^2), (s17)$$

where v_t is the vibrational frequency for the t mode, Σ^0 , Σ^1 and Σ^2 are isotropic, antisymmetric and anisotropic rotational invariants, respectively³⁰

$$\Sigma^{0} = \frac{1}{3} |\alpha_{xx} + \alpha_{yy} + \alpha_{zz}|^{2},$$

$$\Sigma^{1} = \frac{1}{2} (|\alpha_{xy} - \alpha_{yx}|^{2} + |\alpha_{xz} - \alpha_{zx}|^{2} + |\alpha_{yz} - \alpha_{zy}|^{2}),$$

$$\Sigma^{2} = \frac{1}{2} (|\alpha_{xy} + \alpha_{yx}|^{2} + |\alpha_{xz} + \alpha_{zx}|^{2} + |\alpha_{yz} + \alpha_{zy}|^{2}) + \frac{1}{3} (|\alpha_{xx} - \alpha_{yy}|^{2} + |\alpha_{xx} - \alpha_{zz}|^{2} + |\alpha_{yy} - \alpha_{zz}|^{2}).$$
(s18)

Where α_{ij} (*i*, *j* = *x*, *y*, *z*) is Raman polarizability. The depolarization ratio can be the ratio of the perpendicular scattering cross-section to the parallel one³⁰

$$\rho = \frac{5\Sigma^1 + 3\Sigma^2}{10\Sigma^0 + +4\Sigma^2}.$$
 (s19)

The isotropic and anisotropic rotational invariants are symmetric, which do not contribute to the bulk SFVS, however, the antisymmetric rotational invariant is asymmetric and affects the bulk SFVS of the chiral molecules. In our case, the isotropic and anisotropic rotational invariants are mainly from the Franck-Condon term and the Herzberg-Teller term, which is much larger than the antisymmetric rotational invariant due to the nonadiabatic effect. And the antisymmetric rotational invariant is very sensitive to the light frequency and decreases rapidly when the light frequency is far away from resonance (see Figure 3).

s1 T. Ishiyama, V. V. Sokolov and A. Morita, J. Chem. Phys., 2011, 134, 024509.