Supporting Information

Bimetallic phosphide hollow nanocubes derived from prussianblue-analog as high-performance catalysts for the oxygen evolution reaction

Hong-Hong Zou,^a Cheng-Zong Yuan,^b Hong-Yan Zou,^c Tuck-Yun Cheang,^c Sheng-Jie Zhao,^b Umair Yaqub Qazi,^b Sheng-Liang Zhong,^{a*} Lei Wang,^{a*} An-Wu Xu^{b*}

^aCollege of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Jiangxi Normal University, Nanchang 330022, P. R. China

^bDivision of Nanomaterials and Chemistry, Hefei National Laboratory for Physical Sciences at Microscale Department, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei 230026, P. R. China

^cDepartment of Vascular Surgery, Department of Neurological Intensive Care Unit, the First Affiliated Hospital of Sun Yat-Sen University, Guangzhou, 510080, PR China. E-mail: 13631322559@163.com



Fig. S1 SEM images of Ni-Fe PBAs-3-1 (a) and Ni-Fe PBAs-3-4 (d). SEM and TEM images of $(Ni_{0.72}Fe_{0.28})_2P$ (b), (c) and $(Ni_{0.35}Fe_{0.65})_2P$ nanocubes (e), (f).



Fig. S2 (a) XRD patterns of the as-synthesized Ni-Fe PBAs-3-1, Ni-Fe PBAs-3-2 and Ni-Fe PBAs-3-4 and standard KNiFe(CN)₆ (JCPDS 51-1897). (b) XRD patterns of $(Ni_{0.72}Fe_{0.28})_2P$, $(Ni_{0.62}Fe_{0.38})_2P$ and $(Ni_{0.35}Fe_{0.65})_2P$ and standard Fe₂P (JCPDS 27-1171), Ni₂P (JCPDS 03-0953).



Fig. S3 EDS analysis of $(Ni_{0.72}Fe_{0.28})_2P$ (a) , $(Ni_{0.62}Fe_{0.38})_2P$ (c) and $(Ni_{0.35}Fe_{0.65})_2P$ (d).



Fig. S4 SEM images of Fe PBAs (a) and Ni PBAs (c). SEM images of Fe-P (b) and Ni-P (d).



Fig. S5 XRD patterns of the obtained Fe-P and Ni-P.



Fig. S6 Fe 2p (A), Ni 2p (B), and P 2p (C) XPS spectrum of $(Ni_{0.72}Fe_{0.28})_2P$ (a), $(Ni_{0.62}Fe_{0.38})_2P$ (b), and $(Ni_{0.35}Fe_{0.65})_2P$ nanocubes (c).



Fig. S7 EDS layered image of the obtained $(Ni_{0.62}Fe_{0.38})_2P$ sample.

Table S1 Elementa	l compositions	of (Ni _{0.62} Fe ₀	_{0.38}) ₂ P sample	determined by XPS.
-------------------	----------------	--	---	--------------------

sample	C atom %	N atom %	P atom %	Fe atom %	Ni atom %
$(Ni_{0.62}Fe_{0.38})_2P$	45.79	9.63	25.75	6.34	12.39

Table S2 Comparison of OER activity with different catalysts.

Material	Overpotential at 10 mA cm ⁻² (mV vs. RHE)	Current Density (mA cm ⁻²)	Electrolyte concentration (pH)	Loading (mg cm ⁻²)	Tafel slope (mV·dec ⁻ ¹)	Ref.
Ni-P	460	10	14	0.3	112	This work
Ni ₂ P nanowires	290	10	14	0.14		1
Ni ₂ P NWs	400	10	~13.6	0.1	60	2

Ni-P	300	10	14		64	3
Fe-P	390	10	14	0.3	72	This work
$(Ni_{0.62}Fe_{0.38})_2P$	290	10	14	0.3	44	This work
$(Ni_{0.72}Fe_{0.28})_2P$	340	10	14	0.3		This work
$(Ni_{0.35}Fe_{0.65})_2P$	350	10	14	0.3		This work
Ni–Co PBA cages	380	10	14		50	4
Ni–Fe PBA cubes	430	10	14	0.3	76.3	This work
Ni _{0.69} Co _{0.31} -P	266	10	14	3.5	81	5
Ni _{0.78} Co _{0.22} -P	301	10	14	3.5	85	5
Ni _{0.54} Co _{0.46} -P	303	10	14	3.5	81	5
$C-(Co_{0.54}Fe_{0.46})_2P$	370	10	14	0.2		6
NiFe-P film/Ni foam	220	20	14	5	88	7
NiFe-LDH NP film	~230	10	14	0.2	50	8

References

- 1 L. A. Stern, L. G. Feng, F. Song and X. L. Hu, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2015, **8**, 2347–2351.
- 2 A. Han, H. L. Chen, Z. J. Sun, J. Xu and P. W. Du, *Chem. Commun.*, 2015, **51**, 11626–11629.
- 3 X. Y. Yu, Y. Feng, B. Y. Guan, X. W. (David) Lou and U. Paik, *Energy Environ*. Sci., 2016, 9, 1246–1250.
- 4 L. Han, X. Y. Yu and X. W. Lou, *Adv. Mater.*, 2016, **28**, 4601–4605.
- Z. X. Yin, C. L. Zhu, C. Y. Li, S. Zhang, X. T. Zhang and Y. J. Chen, *Nanoscale*, 2016, 8, 19129–19138.
- 6 M. G. Adriana, H. Y. Zhu, Y. S. Yu, Q. Li, L. Zhou, D. Su, M. J. Kramer and S. H. Sun, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2015, **127**, 9778–9781.
- 7 J. H. Xing, H. Li, M. M.-C. Cheng, S. M. Geyerb and K. Y. Simon Ng, J. Mater.

Chem. A, 2016, **4**, 13866–13873.

8 Z. Y. Lu, W. W. Xu, W. Zhu, Q. Yang, X. D. Lei, J. F. Liu, Y. P Li, X. M. Sun and X. Duan, *Chem. Commun.*, 2014, **50**, 6479–6482.