# Epoxidation of propene using $Au/TiO_2$ : on the difference between $H_2$ and CO as co-reactant

Shamayita Kanungo<sup>a</sup>, Yaqiong Su<sup>b</sup>, Jaap C. Schouten<sup>a</sup>, Emiel Hensen<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Laboratory of Chemical Reactor Engineering, Department of Chemical Engineering and Chemistry Eindhoven University of Technology, P.O. Box 513, 5600 MB Eindhoven, The Netherlands

<sup>b</sup> Laboratory of Inorganic Materials Chemistry, Schuit Institute of Catalysis, Department of Chemical Engineering and Chemistry Eindhoven University of Technology, P.O. Box 513, 5600 MB Eindhoven, The Netherlands

#### **1** Mass transfer limitations

#### 1.1 Internal diffusion: Weisz-Prater Criterion

The absence of internal mass transfer limitations was evaluated using the Weisz-Prater criterion [1], where if  $C_{WP}$  is lower than 1, the internal mass transfer effects can be neglected:

$$C_{WP} = \frac{-r_{A,\,Obs}\,\rho_c R^2}{D_e C_{AS}} < 1 \tag{S.1}$$

 $-r_{A,Obs}$ , Observed reaction rate = 2.25 · 10<sup>-7</sup> kmol/kg<sub>cat</sub>/sec (taking the maximum PO rate found)

 $\rho_{c'}$  Solid density of catalyst = 350 kg/m<sup>3</sup>

*R*, Particle size = 25 
$$\mu$$
m = 2.5  $\cdot$  10<sup>-5</sup> m

 $C_{AS}$ , Concentration of reactant A on surface. A = Propene. Considering 10 vol% propene,

$$C_{AS} = 4 \cdot 10^{-3} \text{ kmol/m}^{-3}$$

 $D_e$  , effective diffusity given by:

$$D_e = \frac{D_{AB}\varepsilon_p \sigma_c}{\tau}$$
(S.2)

 $D_{AB}$ , Gas-phase diffusivity.  $D_{AB}$  for a mixture of C<sub>3</sub>H<sub>6</sub>-He was calculated [2] to be 8.75·10<sup>-5</sup> m<sup>2</sup>/s

- $\varepsilon_p$ , Pellet porosity = 0.4,
- $\sigma_c$ , Constriction factor = 0.8,
- au , Tortuosity = 3.

 $D_e = 9.33*10^{-6} \text{ m}^2/\text{s}$ 

Putting the above values in S.1,

$$C_{WP,PO} = \frac{(2.25 \cdot 10^{-7}) \cdot (3.5 \cdot 10^2) \cdot (25 \cdot 10^{-6})^2}{(9.33 \cdot 10^{-6}) \cdot (1.6 \cdot 10^{-2})} = 3.29 \cdot 10^{-7} \ll 1$$

Therefore, this system does not suffer from internal mass transfer limitations.

-

## 1.2 External Diffusion: Mears Criterion

The absence of external mass transfer limitations can be evaluated using the Mears criterion [1]:

$$C_{M} = \frac{-r_{A}^{'} \rho_{b} R n}{k_{c} C_{Ab}} < 0.15$$
(S.3)

 $-r_{A,Obs}$ , Observed reaction rate = 2.25 · 10<sup>-7</sup> kmol/kg<sub>cat</sub>/sec

 $ho_b$  , bulk density of the catalyst bed = 350 kg·m-3

*R*, Particle size = 
$$25 \,\mu$$
m =  $2.5 \cdot 10^{-5}$  m

n , reaction order = 1

 $C_{Ab}$ , Bulk of propene. If C3H6 = 10 vol. %,

$$C_{AS} = 4 \cdot 10^{-3} \text{ kmol/m}^3$$

 $k_c$  mass transfer coefficient = 0.089 m.s<sup>-1</sup>

k<sub>c</sub> was calculated from the Sherwood number using the correlation from Perry's Handbook [2]:

$$\frac{k_c d_p}{D_A} = 0.91 \cdot 91 \cdot Re^{0.49} \cdot Sc^{1/3}$$
(S.4)

Putting the values together we get:

$$C_M = \frac{(2.25 \cdot 10^{-7}) \cdot (3.5 \cdot 10^2) \cdot (25 \cdot 10^{-6}) \cdot 1}{(0.089) \cdot (1.6 \cdot 10^{-2})} = 1.38 \cdot 10^{-6} \ll 0.15$$

It can thus be concluded that the system does not suffer from external mass transfer limitations.

#### 2. Yield calculation with and without $CO_2$ in $CO/O_2/C_3H_6$ case

The yield was calculated by two methods to justify the assumption that CO2 is mainly formed from CO oxidation. As observed in Fig S.1, they are quite close. When  $CO_2$  is not considered, the values are slightly more (by ~3%), as expected; this could indicate that a small part of propene (via PO) is converted to  $CO_2$ . This is also evidenced in SSITKA. Due to the negligible difference, the assumption is well justified.



Figure S.1 Yield calculated by two different methods



#### 3. Catalytic activity of 2%Au/TiO<sub>2</sub>

**Figure S.2** Time-on-stream formation rate of PO during a 2 h catalytic test over 2%Au/TiO<sub>2</sub>-NM at X/O<sub>2</sub>/C<sub>3</sub>H<sub>6</sub>/He = 1:1:1:7, where X = CO (black box) and X = H<sub>2</sub> (red circles) at 50 °C, GHSV = 10000 mL  $g_{cat}^{-1}h^{-1}$ 

# 4. Oxygen vacancy formation using H2 and CO



**Figure S.3** Reaction energy diagram with elementary reaction steps for the oxygen vacancy creation using (a) H2 and (b) CO, via formation of water and CO<sub>2</sub> respectively

## 4. Transition states for PO formation



Figure S.4 Transition states for PO formation using (a) only  $O_2$ , (b)  $O_2/H_2$  and (c)  $O_2/CO$