Electronic Supplementary Material (ESI) for Catalysis Science & Technology. This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2017

## **Supplementary material**

## Temperature dependence of Cu-Al spinel formation and its catalytic performance in methanol steam reforming

Yajie Liu<sup>a,c</sup>, Shaojun Qing<sup>a</sup>, Xiaoning Hou<sup>a</sup>, Fajie Qin<sup>a,c</sup>, Xiang Wang<sup>b</sup>, Zhixian Gao<sup>a\*</sup>, Hongwei Xiang<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Institute of Coal Chemistry, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Taiyuan 030001, China E-mail: gaozx@sxicc.ac.cn

<sup>b</sup> Institute of Applied Chemistry, College of Chemistry, Nanchang University, Nanchang 330031, China

<sup>c</sup> University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China



**Fig. S1.** Crystallite size of CuO and of spinel phase as a function of calcination temperature for CA*T* samples. (Average crystallite diameter of spinels, which were calculated by means of the Scherrer equation applied to the six main diffraction peaks, showing a nearly linear increase from 8.7 to 34.5 nm between 900-1200 °C. The size of CuO decreases from 800 to 900 °C, and the CuO phase disappears from XRD patterns at 950 °C and above)



**Fig. S2.** XRD patterns of pseudo-boehmite (pb) and its heated products. (pb600(3) represented that pseudo-boehmite was calcined from *RT* to 600  $^{\circ}$ C and kept for 3h, and pb1200 indicated pseudo-boehmite was calcined from *RT* to 1200  $^{\circ}$ C and cooled down naturally.)



**Fig. S3.** H<sub>2</sub>-TPR profiles of CA950 and treated ones under different conditions for the purpose of identifying the possible formation of CuAlO<sub>2</sub> phase as an intermediate during spinel reduction. (CA950 represented non-treated CA950 reduced from *RT* to 900 °C. [*RT*-350]-CA950 represented that CA950 was reduced from *RT* to 350 °C followed by a formal TPR from *RT* to 900 °C, and similarly [*RT*-500]-CA950 indicated the pre-reduction was performed from *RT* to 500 °C. The obtained profiles show nearly the identical  $\gamma$ -peak, suggesting  $\gamma$ -peak is not a secondary reduction of an intermediate, which is supported by XRD data as shown in Fig. S4)



**Fig. S4.** XRD patterns of CA950 and [*RT*-500]-CA950, indicating the absence of CuAlO<sub>2</sub> phase in Cu-Al spinel solid solution.



**Fig. S5.**  $H_2$ -TPR profiles of CA950 and CA950-ox, indicating the absence of CuAlO<sub>2</sub> phase in Cu-Al spinel solid solution. (CA950-ox represented that CA950 was oxidized in 80%  $O_2/N_2$ , the oxidation temperature condition was same as calcination of CA950 in air)



**Fig. S6.** XRD patterns of tested Cu-Al spinel catalysts (**a**) and details of 311 plane of Cu-Al defect spinel (**b**). (The CA950 generates the smallest copper particles (6.6 nm) after MSR test. The 2theta values of CA900-t, CA950-t and CA1000-t almost peak at the same position with broadened peak width but higher values with narrowed peak width for CA1100-t and CA1200-t. This indicates that the content of remaining Cu in spinel structure after MSR is higher for low temperature calcined samples)



**Fig. S7.** XRD patterns of reduced Cu-Al spinel catalysts and copper particle size in nm calculated by the Scherrer equation. (CA*T*-H represented that the sample CA*T* was reduced in H<sub>2</sub> from *RT* to 500  $^{\circ}$ C and kept for 20 min. The CA950-t produces the smallest copper particles (7.8 nm) after reduction treatment.)



**Fig. S8.** Comparison of  $CH_3OH$  conversion rate in MSR over CAT catalysts that contained nearly the same content of Cu-Al spinel. (As CA900(3) owns the same spinel content and similar reducibility with CA950, but a lower catalytic activity. Thus, it can be concluded that a large surface area may also contribute to the catalytic action.)

Table S1. Comparison of the conversion rate $(r_1)$ and mutually $(r_2)$							
TOF	CA800	CA900	CA950	CA1000	CA1100	CA1200	CA900(3)
$r_1 [\mathrm{mol}_{\mathrm{CH3OH}} \mathrm{mol}$ -1 Cu h <sup>-1</sup> ]	3.08	4.47	6.53	5.85	5.55	5.39	5.87
$r_2 \times 10^3$ [mol <sub>CH3OH</sub> m-2 NPs	7.10	5.56	4.60	4.45	5.99	7.08	5.32
h-1]							

**Table S1**. Comparison of the conversion rate  $(r_1)$  and intrinsic activity  $(r_2)$