SUPPORTING INFORMATION FOR

Schiff Base Thorium(IV) and Uranium(IV) Chloro Complexes: Synthesis, Substitution and Oxidation Chemistry

Brian C. Stobbe, Douglas R. Powell and Robert K. Thomson*

Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of Oklahoma, Norman, OK, USA 73019.

E-mail: rthomson@ou.edu

General experimental procedures	S4
Synthesis of (L)UCI ₂ (THF) ₂ (1)	S5
Synthesis of (L)UCl ₂ (Py) ₂ (1-Py ₂)	S6
Synthesis of (L)ThCl ₂ (THF) ₂ (2)	S7
Synthesis of (L)U(N) ₃ (Py) ₂ (3)	S8
Synthesis of (L)Th(N ₃)(Py) ₂ (4)	S8
Synthesis of (L)UO ₂ (Py) (5)	S9
Figure S1-A. ¹ H NMR Spectrum of (L)UCl ₂ (THF) ₂ (1) in CDCl ₃	S11
Figure S1-B. ¹ H NMR Spectrum of (L)UCl ₂ (Py) ₂ (1•Py) in CDCl ₃	S12
Figure S2-A. ¹ H NMR Spectrum of (L)ThCl ₂ (Py) ₂ (2-Py) in CDCl ₃	S13
Figure S2-B ¹ H NMR Spectrum of (L)ThCl ₂ (Py) ₂ (2•Py ₂) in D ₅ -Py	S14
Figure S2-C. ¹³ C NMR Spectrum of (L)ThCl ₂ (Py) ₂ (2-Py ₂) in D ₅ -Py	S15
Figure S2-D. HSQC Spectrum for (L)ThCl ₂ (Py) ₂ ($2 \cdot Py_2$) in D ₅ -Py	S16
Figure S3. ¹ H NMR Spectrum of (L)U(N ₃) ₂ (Py) ₂ (3) in CDCl ₃	S17
Figure S4-A. ¹ H NMR Spectrum of (L)Th(N ₃) ₂ (Py) ₂ (4) in CDCl ₃	S18

Contents

Figure S4-B. ¹ H NMR Spectrum of (L)Th(N ₃) ₂ (Py) ₂ (4) in D ₅ -Py	S19
Figure S4-C. ¹³ C NMR Spectrum of (L)Th(N ₃) ₂ (Py) ₂ (4) in D ₅ -Py	S20
Figure S4-D. HSQC Spectrum of (L)Th(N ₃) ₂ (Py) ₂ (4) in D ₅ -Py	S21
Figure S5-A. ¹ H NMR Spectrum of (L)UO ₂ (Py) (5) in CDCl ₃	S22
Figure S5-B ¹³ C NMR Spectrum of (L)UO ₂ (Py) (5) in CDCI ₃	S23
Figure S5-C HSQC Spectrum of [L]UO ₂ (Py) (5) in CDCI ₃	S24
Figure S6. IR Spectrum of Schiff Base Proligand (L)H ₂	S25
Figure S7. IR Spectrum of (L)UCl ₂ (THF) ₂ (1)	S26
Figure S8. IR Spectrum of (L)ThCl ₂ (THF) ₂ (2)	S27
Figure S9. IR Spectrum of $(L)U(N_3)_2(Py)_2$ (3)	S28
Figure S10. IR Spectrum of (L)Th(N ₃) ₂ (Py) ₂ (4)	S29
Figure S11. IR Spectrum of NaN ₃	S30
X-Ray Diffraction Data for (L)UCl ₂ (Py) ₂ (1•Py ₂)	S31
X-Ray Diffraction Data for (L)ThCl ₂ (Py) ₂ (2•Py ₂)	S32
X-Ray Diffraction Data for (L)U(N ₃) ₂ (Py) ₂ (3)	S33
X-Ray Diffraction Data for (L)U(N ₃) ₂ (Py) ₂ (3-exo)	S34

Figure S12. ORTEP Depiction of exo -(L)U(N ₃) ₂ (Py) ₂ (3-exo)	.S36
Figure S13. Comparison of Solid-State Structures of Complexes (3) and (3-exo)	.S36
X-Ray Diffraction Data for (L)UO ₂ (Py) (5)	.S37
References	.S38

General Experimental Procedures

All experiments were performed under an atmosphere of dry N₂ in a VAC Atmospheres dry box. Solvents were purified using the appropriate VAC Atmospheres solvent purifier or dried over sodium benzophenone ketyl and distilled under an atmosphere of dry N₂. Solvents purified by these methods were subsequently degassed using successive freeze-pump-thaw methods, and brought into the dry box without exposure to air, and stored over activated 4 Å molecular sieves. Celite was activated and dried by heating under high vacuum (~ 0.3mm Hg) at > 200°C overnight. Deuterated NMR solvents, C₆D₆ and CDCl₃, were purchased from Cambridge Isotope Laboratories, degassed using freeze-pump-thaw cycles and stored over 4 Å molecular sieves. The Schiff base ligand $(L)H_{2}^{1}$, UCI_{4}^{2} and $ThCI_{4}(DME)_{2}^{3}$ were synthesized by reported methods. ¹H NMR spectra were recorded using Varian VNMRS spectrometers operating at 300MHz or 400MHz for ¹H at room temperature in CDCl₃ unless otherwise specified. All chemical shifts herein are reported with reference to residual solvent peaks for CDCl₃ at δ 7.27. All infrared spectra were collected using an ATR adaptor on a Thermo Scientific Nicolet 6700 FT-IR instrument at room temperature. Microanalyses were performed at Atlantic Microlabs in Norcross, GA.

Synthesis of (L)UCl₂(THF)₂ (1):

Synthesis of $K_2(L)$ generated for in situ use:

To a 20 mL scintillation vial charged with 14 mL of THF and a small stir bar, (0.250 g, 0.609 mmol) of the racemate of (\pm)-*trans*-6,6'-Diethoxy-2,2'-[cyclohexane-1,2-diylbis(nitrilomethanylylidene)]diphenol, **(L)H**₂ was added. The resulting clear yellow solution was allowed to mix thoroughly, after which 2 equiv of KO^tBu (0.136 g, 1.21 mmol) was added to the solution as a solid. The solution immediately became opaque, and a color change to a yellow-green was noted. The resulting solution was allowed to stir for 1.5 h prior to use in subsequent chemistry.

Synthesis of (L)UCl₂(THF)₂ (**1**):

To a 20 mL scintillation vial charged with 4 mL of THF and a small stir bar, (0.231 g, 0.608 mmol) of UCl₄ was added. The clear green solution was allowed to mix thoroughly, after which the solution of $K_2(L)$ (*vide infra*) was added drop-wise over 5 min. The resulting solution turned dark brown and then became a cloudy golden yellow suspension during the addition of the dipotassium salt solution. The cloudy golden yellow suspension was allowed to stir overnight (approx. 12 h). Volatiles were then removed under vacuum, and the crude product was extracted with dichloromethane (~75 mL). The extraction products were then filtered over a bed of Celite on a 30 mL medium porosity frit under vacuum. The filtrate was then concentrated to dryness *in vacuo* and the product was isolated as a yellow powder. **Yield: 0.423 g, 81** %. The product was recrystallized from pyridine over the course of 2 weeks at room temperature. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): $\delta = 45.23$ (2H), 41.73 (2H), 38.94 (2H),

S5

28.30 (2H), 24.59 (2H), 23.97 (2H), 5.56 (6H,OCH₂CH₃), -10.29 (4H, THF), -11.19 (2H), -12.11 (2H), -15.58 (4H, THF), -19.93 (2H), -22.27 (2H), -89.80 (2H, *H*C=N). IR (cm⁻¹): 2973(C-H), 2927(C-H), 1614(C=N), 1598(C=C). Elemental analysis of (L)UCl₂(THF)₂•CH₂Cl₂: Theoretical C: 41.87, H: 4.90, N: 2.96; Actual: C: 42.30, H: 5.01, N: 3.17.

Synthesis of (L)UCl₂(Py)₂ (1•Py₂)

To a 20 mL scintillation vial charged with 8 mL of pyridine and a small stir bar, (0.250 g, 0.608 mmol) of (L)H₂ was added. The clear yellow solution was allowed to mix thoroughly, after which 2 equiv of KO^tBu powder was added. The solution became cloudy upon addition of the KO^tBu, and was allowed to stir for 1 h. To a separate 20 mL scintillation vial charged with 3 mL of THF, 4 mL of Py and a small stir bar, (0.231 g, 0.608 mmol) of UCl₄ was added. The green solution was allowed to mix thoroughly, after which the solution of $K_2(L)$ prepared separately was added drop-wise over 5 min. During the addition of $K_2(L)$, the solution turned cloudy yellow-green, and the resulting mixture was allowed to stir overnight (~18 h). Volatiles were removed in vacuo to afford a yellow-green powder. The crude product was then extracted with dichloromethane (~50 mL) and filtered over a bed of Celite on a medium porosity frit. The resulting yellow solution was collected in a 125 mL side arm flask and the volatiles were removed in vacuo, providing a yellow-green powder. Yield: (0.423 g, 79 %) ¹H NMR (400MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K) : δ 49.77 (2H), 44.54 (2H), 42.73 (2H), 31.14 (2H), 27.02 (2H), 25.02 (2H), 5.56 (6H, OCH₂CH₃), 0.47 (4H, Py), -4.37(1H, Py), -7.68(2H, Py), -11.44(2H), -12.85(2H), -20.51(2H), -22.29(2H), -90.82 (2H, HC=N).

S6

Synthesis of (L)ThCl₂(THF)₂ (2):

A solution of $K_2(L)$ was generated in situ by the method above using (0.200 g, 0.487 mmol) of the racemate of (L)H₂ and (0.109 g, 0.971 mmol) of KO^tBu. To a 20 mL scintillation vial charged with 5 mL of THF and a small stir bar, (0.270 g, 0.487 mmol) of $ThCl_4(DME)_2$ was added. The clear colorless solution was allowed to mix thoroughly, after which the solution of $K_2(L)$ was added drop-wise over 5 min. The combined solution instantly turned cloudy and yellow upon addition of the dipotassium salt. The resulting suspension was allowed to stir overnight (~12 h), after which volatiles were removed in vacuo. The crude product was extracted with dicholormethane (~75 mL) and filtered over a bed of Celite on a 30 mL medium porosity frit. Volatiles were removed in vacuo to afford a yellow powder. Yield: 0.302 g, 72 %. The yellow powder was recrystallized from pyridine over 2 weeks at room temperature. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, D₅-Py, 298 K): δ = 8.70 (s, 2H, *H*C=N), 7.35 (d, 2H, ³J_{HH} = 8 Hz, Ar-*H*), 7.20 (d, 2H, ³J_{HH} = 8 Hz, Ar-H), 6.93 (t, 2H, ${}^{3}J_{HH}$ = 8 Hz, Ar-H), 4.66 (2H, m, cyclohexyl), 4.04 (4H, q, ${}^{3}J$ = 7 Hz, OCH₂CH₃), 2.25 (2H, m, cyclohexyl), 1.76 (2H, m, cyclohexyl), 1.40 (t, 6H, ${}^{3}J_{HH}$ = 8Hz, OCH₂CH₃), 1.17 (2H, m, cyclohexyl). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, D₅-Py, 298 K): δ = 163.41 (C=N), 152.76 (Ar-C), 149.10 (Ar-C), 127.32 (Ar-C), 125.00 (Ar-C), 117.48 (Ar-C), 115.98 (Ar-C), 67.76 (cyclohexyl), 63.51 (OCH₂CH₃) 30.50 (cyclohexyl), 24.66 (cyclohexyl), 15.03 (OCH₂CH₃). IR (cm⁻¹): 2973 (C-H), 2928 (C-H), 1611 (C=N), 1561 (C=C). Elemental analysis of (L)ThCl₂(THF)₂•(1.5 CH₂Cl₂): Theoretical: C: 41.29, H: 4.79, N: 2.83; Actual: C: 41.21, H:4.80, N: 3.27.

Synthesis of $(L)U(N_3)_2(Py)_2$ (3):

To a 20 mL scintillation vial charged with 8 mL of pyridine and a small stir bar, (0.115 g, 0.133 mmol) of $(L)UCl_2(THF)_2$ (1) was added. The resulting clear yellow-green solution was allowed to mix thoroughly and was heated to 70 °C, after which 6 equiv of NaN₃ (0.053 g, 0.800 mmol) was added. No immediate color changed was observed, but after 24 h the solution color changed from yellow-green to dark amber. The resulting solution was allowed to stir for 4 days, after which volatiles were removed under vacuum. The crude product was extracted with dichloromethane and filtered over a bed of Celite in a pasture pipette to afford a clear amber solution. Volatiles were removed under vacuum to yield a pale brown solid. The resulting solid was recrystallized slowly from dichloromethane and dark brown crystals were afforded within 24 h. Yield 0.072 g, **61%**. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): δ 49.43 (2H), 42.37 (2H) ,40.25 (2H), 29.63 (2H), 26.20 (2H), 24.35 (2H), 5.32 (6H, OCH₂CH₃), -5.51 (1H), -10.95 (2H), -11.87 (2H), -19.66 (2H), -22.04 (2H), -86.81 (2H, HCN). IR (cm⁻¹): 2973 (C-H), 2055 (N₃), 1611 (C=N), 1599 (C=C). Elemental analysis of $(L)U(N_3)_2(Py)_2 \cdot (1.5 Py, 1 CH_2Cl_2)$: Theoretical C: 46.73, H: 4.38, N: 14.75; Actual: C: 46.93, H: 4.64, N: 14.27.

Synthesis of (L)Th(N₃)₂(Py)₂ (4):

To a 20 mL scintillation vial charged with 10 mL of pyridine and 4 mL of THF, (0.075 g, 0.088 mmol) of (L)ThCl₂(THF)₂ (**2**) was added. The yellow translucent solution was allowed to mix thoroughly, after which approximately 8 equiv. of NaN₃ (0.046 g, 0.701 mmol) was added. The solution was heated to 80 °C and stirred for 4 days. The cloudy yellow suspension was filtered over Celite to give a pale-yellow solution and volatiles were removed *in vacuo* to afford a yellow solid. The crude product was then extracted with dichloromethane and filtered over Celite a second time, and volatiles were removed *in vacuo*. **Yield: 0.063 g**, **82** %. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, D₅-Py, 298 K): δ 8.85 (s, 2H, *H*C=N), 7.36 (d, 2H, ³J_{HH} = 8Hz, Aryl), 7.18 (d, 2H, ³J_{HH} = 8Hz, Ar-*H*), 6.92 (t, 2H, ³J_{HH} = 8Hz, Ar-*H*), 4.28 (m, 2H, cyclohexyl), 4.04 (q, 4H, ³J_{HH} = 8Hz, OC*H*₂CH₃), 2.36 (m, 2H, cyclohexyl), 1.77 (2H, m, cyclohexyl), 1.38 (m, 2H, cyclohexyl), 1.33 (t, 6H, ³J_{HH} = 7 Hz, OCH₂CH₃). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, D₅-Py, 298 K): δ = 163.94 (*C*=N), 152.75 (Ar-*C*), 127.37 (Ar-*C*), 124.49 (Ar-*C*), 117.45 (Ar-*C*), 116.36 (Ar-*C*), 114.71 (Ar-*C*), 68.32 (cyclohexyl), 63.62 (OCH₂CH₃), 30.86 (cyclohexyl), 24.79 (cyclohexyl), 15.01 (OCH₂CH₃). IR (cm⁻¹): 2930 (C-H), 2061 (N₃), 1603 (C=N), 1558 (C=C). Elemental Analysis of (L)Th(N₃)₂(Py)₂•(1.5 CH₂Cl₂, 0.5 Py): Theoretical: C: 43.48, H: 4.18, N: 14.01; Actual: C: 43.46, H: 4.42, N: 13.69.

Synthesis of (L)UO₂(Py) (5)

To a 20 mL scintillation vial charged with 8 mL of pyridine and a small stir bar, (0.100 g, 0.114 mmol) of (L)UCl₂(Py)₂ (**1-Py**) was added. The opaque yellow suspension was allowed to mix thoroughly, after which approximately 11 equiv of NaNO₂, (0.088 g, 1.26 mmol) were added to the solution. After approximately 1 h of stirring, the color of the solution changed from yellow to orange. The resulting solution was allowed to stir overnight (~12 h) at 60°C. Volatiles were removed *in vacuo* to afford an orange solid. The crude product was then extracted with dichloromethane (~14 mL) and filtered over a bed of Celite on a frit and volatiles were removed *in vacuo* to afford a bright orange solid. **Yield: 0.087g, quantitative yield**. The product was recrystallized slowly from CDCl₃ at room temperature as orange plate crystals. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): δ 10.81 (2H, Py), 9.26 (s, 2H, *H*C=N), 8.03 (1H, Py), 7.83 (2H, Py), 7.13 (d, 2H, ³J_{HH} = 8 Hz, Ar-*H*), 7.11 (d, 2H, ³J_{HH} = 8 Hz, Ar-*H*) 6.62 (*t*, 2H, ³J_{HH} = 8 Hz, Ar-*H*), 4.15 (*q*, 4H, ³J_{HH} = 7 Hz, OCH₂CH₃), 2.51 (m, 2H, cyclohexyl), 2.12 (m, 2H cyclohexyl), 1.93 (m, 2H, cyclohexyl), 1.58 (m, 2H, cyclohexyl) 1.53 (*t*, 6H, ³J_{HH} = 8 Hz, OCH₂CH₃). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): δ 165.84 (C=N), 159.68 (Ar-C), 150.62 (Ar-C), 125.76 (Ar-C), 123.83 (Ar-C), 117.02 (Ar-C), 116.47 (Ar-C), 71.04 (cyclohexyl-C), 64.34 (OCH₂CH₃), 32.11 (cyclohexyl-C), 25.14 (cyclohexyl-C), 15.44 (OCH₂CH₃). Elemental Analysis of (L)UO₂(Py)•(2 CDCl₃): Theoretical: C: 37.33, H: 3.64, N: 4.21; Actual: C: 36.88, H: 3.64, N: 4.18.

¹H, ¹³C and HSQC NMR Spectra:



Figure S1-A. ¹H NMR spectrum of (L)UCl₂(THF)₂ (1) in CDCl₃.



Figure S1-B: ¹H NMR Spectrum of (L)UCl₂(Py)₂ (1•Py₂) in CDCl₃.



Figure S2-A. ¹H NMR Spectrum of (L)ThCl₂(Py)₂ (2•Py₂) in CDCl₃.



Figure S2-B: ¹H NMR Spectrum of (L)ThCl₂(Py)₂ (**2•Py**₂) in D_5 -Py.



Figure S2-C: ¹³C NMR Spectrum of (L)ThCl₂(Py)₂ ($2 \cdot Py_2$) in D₅-Py.



Figure S2-D: HSQC Spectrum of $(L)ThCl_2(Py)_2$ (2•Py₂) in D₅-Py.



Figure S3. ¹H NMR Spectrum of $(L)U(N_3)_2(Py)_2(3)$ in CDCI₃. (~3% (L)UCI₂(THF)₂ impurity).



Figure S4-A. ¹H NMR Spectrum of $(L)Th(N_3)_2(Py)$ (4) in CDCl₃.



Figure S4-B: ¹H NMR Spectrum of (L)Th(N_3)₂(Py) (**4**) in D₅-Py (unassigned peaks are (L)ThCl₂(Py)₂) (**2**).



Figure S4-C: ¹³C NMR Spectrum of $(L)Th(N_3)_2(Py)$ (4) in D₅-Py.



Figure S4-D: HSQC Spectrum of $(L)Th(N_3)_2(Py)$ (4) in D₅-Py.



Figure S5-A. ¹H NMR Spectrum of (L)UO₂(Py) (5) in CDCI₃.



Figure S5-B: ¹³C NMR Spectrum of (L)UO₂(Py) (5) in CDCI₃.



Figure S5-C: HSQC Spectrum of (L)UO₂(Py) (5) in CDCl₃.

IR Spectra:



Figure S6. Infrared Spectrum of Schiff Base Proligand (L)H₂.



Figure S7. Infrared Spectrum of (L)UCl₂(THF)₂ (1).



Figure S8. Infrared Spectrum of (L)ThCl₂(THF)₂ (2).



Figure S9. Infrared Spectrum of $(L)U(N_3)_2(Py)_2$ (3).



Figure S10. Infrared Spectrum of $(L)Th(N_3)_2(Py)_2$ (4).



Figure S11. Infrared Spectrum of NaN₃.

X-Ray Diffraction Data:

X-Ray Diffraction Data for $(L)UCI_2(Py)_2$ (1•Py₂).

Empirical formula	C34 H38 Cl2 N4 O4 U	
Formula weight	875.61	
Crystal system	monoclinic	
Space group	C2/c	
Unit cell dimensions	a = 19.233(6) Å	α= 90°
	b = 19.034(6) Å	β= 122.796(3)°
	c = 12.202(4) Å	γ= 90°
Volume	3755(2) Å ³	
Z, Z'	4, 0.5	
Density (calculated)	1.549 Mg/m ³	
Wavelength	0.71073 Å	
Temperature	100(2) K	
F(000)	1712	
Absorption coefficient	4.504 mm ⁻¹	
Absorption correction	semi-empirical from equ	uivalents
Max. and min. transmission	0.687 and 0.411	
Theta range for data collection	1.653 to 27.517°	
Reflections collected	24171	
Independent reflections	4301 [R(int) = 0.0666]	
Data / restraints / parameters	4301 / 0 / 204	
wR(F ² all data)	wR2 = 0.1424	
R(F obsd data)	R1 = 0.0424	
Goodness-of-fit on F ²	1.020	
Observed data [I > 2o(I)]	3346	
Largest and mean shift / s.u.	0.000 and 0.000	
Largest diff. peak and hole	2.368 and -2.619 e/Å3	

$$\begin{split} & wR2 = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \sum \left[w(F_0{}^2 - F_c{}^2)^2 \right] / \sum \left[w(F_0{}^2)^2 \right] \right\}^{1/2} \\ & R1 = \sum \left\| F_0 \right| - \left| F_c \right\| / \sum \left| F_0 \right| \end{split}$$

Empirical formula (C34 H38 Cl2 N4 O4 Th) · (C5 H5 N) C39 H43 Cl2 N5 O4 Th Formula weight 948.72 Crystal system monoclinic Space group P21/C Unit cell dimensions a = 16.806(13) Å α= 90° b = 14.283(11) Å β= 92.515(14)° c = 15.268(12) Å γ= 90° Volume 3661(5) Å3 Z, Z' 4, 1 Density (calculated) 1.721 Mg/m³ 0.71073 Å Wavelength Temperature 100(2) K F(000) 1872 4.267 mm⁻¹ Absorption coefficient Absorption correction semi-empirical from equivalents Max. and min. transmission 0.726 and 0.675 2.268 to 22.464° Theta range for data collection Reflections collected 85853 Independent reflections 4595 [R(int) = 0.2301] Data / restraints / parameters 4595 / 493 / 462 wR(F² all data) wR2 = 0.2203 R(F obsd data) R1 = 0.1052Goodness-of-fit on F² 1.071 Observed data $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$ 2708 Largest and mean shift / s.u. 0.004 and 0.000 2.233 and -1.716 e/Å3 Largest diff. peak and hole

$$\begin{split} & wR2 = \{ \, \Sigma \, [w(F_{\rm o}{}^2 - F_{\rm c}{}^2)^2] \, / \, \Sigma \, [w(F_{\rm o}{}^2)^2] \, \}^{1/2} \\ & R1 = \Sigma \, ||F_{\rm o}| - |F_{\rm c}|| \, / \, \Sigma \, |F_{\rm o}| \end{split}$$

X-ray Diffraction Data for $(L)U(N_3)_2(Py)_2$ (3).

Comment:

This sample was a 3-component twin. The intensity data were effectively detwinned by the data reduction and scaling programs. A dichloromethane molecule was severely disordered and was eliminated using the Squeeze program.⁴

Empirical formula	C34 H38 N10 O4 U	
Formula weight	888.77	
Crystal system	monoclinic	
Space group	P21/c	
Unit cell dimensions	a = 16.872(4) Å	α= 90°
	b = 15.338(3) Å	β= 93.301(2)°
	c = 15.062(3) Å	γ= 90°
Volume	3891.3(14) Å ³	
Z, Z'	4, 1	
Density (calculated)	1.517 Mg/m ³	
Wavelength	0.71073 Å	
Temperature	100(2) K	
F(000)	1744	
Absorption coefficient	4.219 mm ⁻¹	
Absorption correction	semi-empirical from eq	uivalents
Max. and min. transmission	0.237 and 0.154	
Theta range for data collection	1.897 to 26.257°	
Reflections collected	81766	
Independent reflections	7117 [R(int) = 0.1176]	
Data / restraints / parameters	7117 / 0 / 442	
wR(F ² all data)	wR2 = 0.1202	
R(F obsd data)	<i>R</i> 1 = 0.0468	
Goodness-of-fit on F ²	1.001	
Observed data [I > 2σ(I)]	4959	
Largest and mean shift / s.u.	0.003 and 0.000	
Largest diff. peak and hole	1.574 and -1.971 e/Å ³	

$$\begin{split} & wR2 = \{ \, \Sigma \, [w(F_{\rm o}{}^2 - F_{\rm c}{}^2)^2] \, / \, \Sigma \, [w(F_{\rm o}{}^2)^2] \, \}^{1/2} \\ & R1 = \Sigma \, ||F_{\rm o}| \, - \, |F_{\rm c}|| \, / \, \Sigma \, |F_{\rm o}| \end{split}$$

X-ray Diffraction Data for $exo-(L)U(N_3)_2(Py)_2$ (**3-exo**).

Comment:

The selected crystal was split. The intensity data were corrected by data reduction and scaling programs.⁵⁻⁷ Three parts of the structure were disordered. The occupancies of atoms C(1) and C(2) refined to 0.727(8) and 0.273(8) for the unprimed and primed atoms, respectively. The occupancies of atoms C(10) – C(15) refined to 0.750(5) and 0.250(5) for the unprimed and primed atoms, respectively. The occupancies of the toluene molecule refined to 0.660(5) and 0.340(5) for the A- and B-labeled atoms, respectively. Restraints on the positional parameters of the disordered atoms and the displacement parameters of all atoms were required.

Empirical formula	(C ₃₄ H ₃₈ N ₁₀ O ₄ U) · (C ₇ H ₈)	
	C41 H46 N10 O4 U	
Formula weight	980.91	
Crystal system	triclinic	
Space group	PĪ	
Unit cell dimensions	a = 9.572(5) Å	α= 76.878(2)°
	b = 13.791(7) Å	β= 86.987(2)°
	c = 16.103(2) Å	γ= 71.973(2)°
Volume	1968.2(15) Å ³	
Z, Z'	2, 1	
Density (calculated)	1.655 Mg/m ³	
Wavelength	0.71073 Å	
Temperature	100(2) K	
F(000)	972	
Absorption coefficient	4.179 mm ⁻¹	
Absorption correction	semi-empirical from eq	uivalents
Max. and min. transmission	0.680 and 0.279	
Theta range for data collection	1.299 to 31.673°	
Reflections collected	90881	
Independent reflections	11866 [R(int) = 0.0574]	
Data / restraints / parameters	11866 / 1149 / 648	
wR(F ² all data)	wR2 = 0.0945	
R(F obsd data)	<i>R</i> 1 = 0.0420	
Goodness-of-fit on F ²	0.990	
Observed data [I > 2o(I)]	9414	
Largest and mean shift / s.u.	0.003 and 0.000	
Largest diff. peak and hole	2.478 and -1.960 e/Å3	

$$\begin{split} & wR2 = \{ \, \Sigma \left[w(F_{\rm o}{}^2 - F_{\rm c}{}^2)^2 \right] / \, \Sigma \left[w(F_{\rm o}{}^2)^2 \right] \}^{1/2} \\ & R1 = \Sigma \, ||F_{\rm o}| - |F_{\rm c}|| \, / \, \Sigma \, |F_{\rm o}| \end{split}$$



Figure S12. ORTEP Depiction of $exo-(L)U(N_3)_2(Py)_2$ (3-exo).



Figure S13. Comparison of Solid-State Structures of Complexes (3) (left) and (3-exo) (right).

X-ray Diffraction Data for (L)UO₂(Py) (5).

Empirical formula	(C ₂₉ H ₃₃ N ₃ O ₆ U) · (C D Cl ₃)	
	C30 H33 Cl3 D N3 O6 U	
Formula weight	877.99	
Crystal system	monoclinic	
Space group	C2/c	
Unit cell dimensions	a = 27.313(4) Å	α= 90°
	b = 9.2102(13) Å	β= 97.694(2)°
	c = 26.618(4) Å	γ= 90°
Volume	6635.7(17) Å ³	
Z, Z'	8, 1	
Density (calculated)	1.758 Mg/m ³	
Wavelength	0.71073 Å	
Temperature	295(2) K	
F(000)	3408	
Absorption coefficient	5.179 mm ⁻¹	
Absorption correction	semi-empirical from eq	uivalents
Max. and min. transmission	0.860 and 0.297	
Theta range for data collection	1.505 to 28.359°	
Reflections collected	76032	
Independent reflections	8293 [R(int) = 0.0561]	
Data / restraints / parameters	8293 / 38 / 433	
wR(F ² all data)	wR2 = 0.1016	
R(F obsd data)	R1 = 0.0355	
Goodness-of-fit on F ²	0.977	
Observed data [I > 2o(I)]	6454	
Largest and mean shift / s.u.	0.001 and 0.000	
Largest diff. peak and hole	1.579 and -1.017 e/Å3	

$$\begin{split} & wR2 = \{ \Sigma \left[w(F_{\rm o}^2 - F_{\rm c}^2)^2 \right] / \Sigma \left[w(F_{\rm o}^2)^2 \right] \}^{1/2} \\ & R1 = \Sigma \left| |F_{\rm o}| - |F_{\rm c}| \right| / \Sigma \left| F_{\rm o} \right| \end{split}$$

References

- 1. Constable, E.C.; Zhang, G.; Housecroft, C. E.; Neuburger, M.; Schaffner, S. *CrystEngComm* **2009**, *11*, 657-662.
- Kiplinger, J. L.; Morris, D. E.; Scott, B. L.; Burns, C. J. Organometallics 2002, 21, 5978.
- 3. Cantat, T., Scott, B. L., Kiplinger, J. Chem. Commun. 2010, 46, 919-921.
- 4. Spek, A. L. Acta Cryst. 2015, C71, 9.
- 5. (a) Data Collection: APEX2 (2007) Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
 (b) Data Reduction: SAINT (2007) Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Krause, L.; Herbst-Irmer, R.; Sheldrick, G. M.; Stalke, D. J. Appl. Cryst. 2015, 48, 3-10.
- 7. (a) Sheldrick, G. M. *Acta Cryst.* **2015**, *A71*, 3. (b) Sheldrick, G. M. *Acta Cryst.* **2015**, *C71*, 3.