Dicyanoaurate-Based Heterobimetallic Uranyl Coordination Polymers

Electronic Supplementary Information

Matthew L. Brown, Jeffrey S. Ovens, and Daniel B. Leznoff*

Compound reference	1a	1b	1c	
Chemical formula	C ₃₆ H ₇₂ Au ₂ N ₈ O ₁₂ U ₂	C ₃₆ H ₇₂ Au ₂ N ₈ O ₁₂ U ₂	C ₃₆ H ₇₄ Au ₂ N ₆ O ₆ U ₂	
$FW (g mol^{-1})$	1678.99	1678.99	1135.61	
Crystal system	monoclinic	triclinic	triclinic	
Space group	$P2_1/n$	$P\bar{1}$	$P\bar{1}$	
Radiation Used	ΜοΚα	ΜοΚα	ΜοΚα	
a (Å)	11.1927(18)	11.231(2)	11.1439(5)	
b (Å)	32.757(6)	15.587(3)	12.7533(6)	
c (Å)	14.629(2)	29.978(6)	15.0713(8)	
α (°)	90	88.081(5)	69.826(2)	
β (°)	94.481(4)	86.958(5)	77.625(2)	
γ (°)	90	89.110(5)	84.510(2)	
$V(\text{\AA}^3)$	5347.2(15)	5236.9(17)	1963.28(17)	
Z	4	4	2	
T (K)	150(2)	150(2)	150(2)	
$\mu ({\rm mm^{-1}})$	11.565	11.809	7.981	
Reflns. all	43489	18412	12930	
Reflns. unique	13816	18412	12930	
Goodness of fit	0.997	1.079	1.025	
$\overline{[I_0 \ge 2\sigma \ (I_0)]^{\alpha}}$				
R_1	0.0602	0.0842	0.0392	
wR ₂	0.0847	0.1752	0.0709	
(all data)				
R_1	0.1387	0.1536	0.0722	
wR_2	0.1017	0.2004	0.0847	

Table S1 Crystallographic Data For Peroxo-Containing Compounds 1a, 1b and 1c

^{*a*} Function minimized: $\sum w(F_o^2 - F_c^2)^2$, $R_1 = \sum ||F_o| - |F_c|| \div \sum |F_o|$, $wR_2 = \sqrt{\sum w(F_o^2 - F_c^2)^2} \div \sum wF_o^2$, $w = [\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (aP)^2 + bP]^{-1}$, $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2) \div 3$

Compound reference	2a	2b	3
Chemical formula	C ₃₈ H ₃₀ AuN ₅ O ₈ P ₂ U	C ₃₈ H ₃₀ AuN ₅ O ₈ P ₂ U	C ₇₆ H ₆₀ Au ₂ N ₈ O ₈ P ₄ U
$FW (g mol^{-1})$	1181.62	1181.62	1969.20
Crystal system	triclinic	triclinic	triclinic
Space group	$P\bar{1}$	$P\bar{1}$	$P\bar{1}$
Radiation Used	CuKα	CuKa	ΜοΚα
a (Å)	11.8363(3)	9.2421(3)	10.7013(6)
b (Å)	12.6558(3)	10.8293(3)	13.3921(8)
c (Å)	14.7514(4)	11.1451(2)	14.0367(9)
α (°)	99.118(2)	100.608(2)	113.946(3)
β (°)	110.205(2)	90.338(2)	101.917(3)
γ (°)	92.652(2)	114.663(2)	93.446(3)
V (Å ³	2035.34(9)	992.10(5)	1775.42(19)
Ζ	2	1	1
<i>T</i> (K)	rt^eta	rt^{eta}	150(2)
$\mu \text{ (mm}^{-1}\text{)}$	19.003	19.493	6.549
Reflns. all	52146	15618	78011
Reflns. unique	3627	3487	8816
Goodness of fit	1.060	1.036	1.031
$[I_0 \ge 2\sigma \ (I_0)]^{\alpha}$			
R ₁	0.0302	0.0337	0.0248
wR_2	0.0738	0.0708	0.0413
(all data)			
R ₁	0.0385	0.0585	0.0413
wR_2	0.0794	0.0800	0.0602

Table S2 Crystallographic Data For Compounds 2a, 2b and 3

^α Function minimized: $\sum w(F_o^2 - F_c^2)^2$, $R_1 = \sum ||F_o| - |F_c|| \div \sum |F_o|$, $wR_2 = \sqrt{\sum w(F_o^2 - F_c^2)^2} \div \sum wF_o^2$, $w = [\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (aP)^2 + bP]^{-1}$, $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2) \div 3$ ^β Room temperature approximated to 298.15 K.

The actual temperature of the room in question was most likely between 288 K to 293 K.



Fig. S1 Divergence of C-Au-C angle from 180° in 1a, along with the U-O_2-U bond angle. Ellipsoid colours: U, Green; O, red; N, blue; C, grey; Au, gold.



Fig. S2 One-dimensional chain structure of 2a.

Compound reference	4	5	6
Chemical formula	C ₂₈ H ₃₀ N ₈ Au ₂ O ₈ U ₂	C ₂₈ H ₂₆ Au ₂ N ₈ O ₆ U ₂	C ₃₆ H ₂₃ AuN ₁₀ O ₈ U ₂
$FW (g mol^{-1})$	1476.58	1440.55	1396.65
Crystal system	triclinic	monoclinic	monoclinic
Space group	$P\bar{1}$	$P2_1/n$	C2/c
Radiation Used	ΜοΚα	ΜοΚα	CuKα
a (Å)	6.1056(9)	12.4180(4)	12.2358(7)
b (Å)	12.5052(18)	11.3951(3)	24.0833(18)
c (Å)	13.1277(19)	12.7018(4)	14.6019(9)
α (°)	113.603(4)	90	90
β (°)	97.039(4)	109.867(2)	112.146(5)
γ (°)	99.801(4)	90	90
V (Å ³	884.6(2)	1690.39(9)	3985.4(5)
Z	1	2	2
<i>T</i> (K)	150(2)	150(2)	150(2)
$\mu \text{ (mm}^{-1}\text{)}$	17.448	18.255	29.958
Reflns. all		12519	12620
Reflns. unique		12519	3627
Goodness of fit	1.042	1.062	0.996
$[I_0 \ge 2\sigma \ (I_0)]^{\alpha}$			
R_1	0.0488	0.0561	0.0409
wR_2	0.0769	0.1405	0.0947
(all data)			
R_1	0.0806	0.0975	0.0566
wR_2	0.0833	0.1634	0.1015

^{*a*} Function minimized: $\sum w(F_o^2 - F_c^2)^2$, $R_1 = \sum ||F_o| - |F_c|| \div \sum |F_o|$, $wR_2 = \sqrt{\sum w(F_o^2 - F_c^2)^2} \div \sum wF_o^2$, $w = [\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (aP)^2 + bP]^{-1}$, $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2) \div 3$

-	Table S4 ∪=0	O bond lengths.	
Compound	U=O	Compound	U=O
	(A)		(A)
1a	1.742(6)	2a	1.739(5)
	1.749(7)		1.721(5)
	1.753(7)		
	1.765(7)	2b	1.745(6)
1b	1.748(17)	3	1.754(2)
	1.750(17)		
	1.764(19)		
	1.775(19)	4	1.748(8)
	1.734(19)		1.745(8)
	1.767(18)		
	1.761(19)	5	1.762(7)
	1.780(19)		1.772(6)
1.0	1.95(2)	6	1 700(0)
IC	1.83(2)	0	1.789(8)
	1.72(2)		1./89(/)
	1.847(17)		
	1.68(2)		

Tabla	C1	$\square - \square$	hand	longthe
Table	54	U=U	DOLIO	lengins



Fig. S3 One-dimensional chain structure of $[PPN][UO_2(NO_3)_2Au(CN)_2]$ (**2b**). $[PPN]^+$ counterions omitted for clarity. Green ellipsoids represent uranium, red: oxygen, blue: nitrogen, grey: carbon, gold: gold.



Fig. S4 Structure of $[PPN][UO_2(NO_3)_2(Au(CN)_2)_2]$ (3): Instead of binding to a uranium atom as in **2a** and **2b** and creating a coordination polymer the cyano groups are oriented towards the counterion. Ellipsoid colours: U, Green; O, red; N, blue; C, grey; Au, gold.



Fig. S5 The hydrogen and aurophilic bonding in **5** gives rise to propagation that is not in-plane with the equatorial plane of the uranyl centres. Ellipsoid colours: U, Green; O, red; N, blue; C, grey; Au, gold.

























12|



Figure S14: IR Spectrum of Me2bipy Coordination Polymer 5 With Selected Peaks





Figure S16: Raman Spectrum of Terpy Compound 6 With Selected Peaks