Supporting Information

REVERSIBLE *TRANS* ≈ *CIS* PHOTOISOMERIZATIONS OF [Re(CO)₃(ph₂phen)(stpyCN)]⁺ TOWARDS MOLECULAR MACHINES

Kassio P.S. Zanoni and Neyde Y. Murakami Iha



Figure S1. A comparison between the absorption spectra in acetonitrile (298 K) for [Re(CO)₃(ph₂phen)(*trans*-stpyCN)]⁺ and similar complexes.



Figure S2. Spectral changes of a photostationary solution of the fac-[Re(CO)₃(ph₂phen)(stpyCN)]⁺ system as a function of photolyses time ($\lambda_{exc} = 255$ nm, $\Delta t = 25$ s), ascribed to the *cis*-to-*trans* photoisomerization.



Figure S3. Extrapolation of the $\Phi_{c \to t}^{true}$ magnitude for a pure (100%) cis-solution.



Figure S4. Nanosecond emission decays of *fac*-[Re(CO)₃(ph₂phen)(*trans*-stpyCN)]⁺ (blue, left side) and PS (green, right side) solutions in degassed acetonitrile monitored at 540 nm (λ_{exc} = 378 nm; room temeprature).



Figure S5. Emission spectra of *fac*-[Re(CO)₃(ph₂phen)(Cl)] in acetonitrile at 298 K (-----) or in EPA at 77 K (------).

$\lambda_{\rm irr}$ /	Following	Sample	Concentration	Percentage of	Irradiation	$\Phi_{t \rightarrow c}$	$\Phi_{t \rightarrow c}$	$\Phi_{t \rightarrow c}$
nm	wavelength /		/ 10 ⁻⁴ mol L ⁻¹	photolyses	time / s	- / -	Average	Average at
	nm						for each	the λ_{irr}
							following	
							wavelength	
313	320	A	1.97	10.1	5	0.34	0.40 ± 0.10	0.38 ± 0.08
				15.4	10	0.26		
				23.8	15	0.29		
		_	0.40	10.7	4	0.50		
		Б	2.13	12.7	4	0.30		
				26.3	12	0.42		
				20.5	12	0.40		
		С	2.18	10.0	4	0.47		
				19.8	8	0.46		
				28.2	12	0.44		
	330	A	1.97	9.7	5	0.32	0.36 ± 0.08	
				14.8	10	0.24		
				23.0	15	0.25		
		В	2.13	12.2	4	0.32		
				18.2	8	0.41		
				25,4	12	0.38		
		C	2.19	10.3	1	0.49		
		C	2.10	10.5	8	0.40		
				27.1	12	0.42		
	340	A	1.97	9.2	5	0.30	0.36 ± 0.09	
	0.0			13.6	10	0.22	0.00 - 0.00	
				21.6	15	0.23		
		В	2.13	11.3	4	0.51		
				17.1	8	0.38		
				23.6	12	0.35		
		C	2.18	9.7	4	0.45		
				18.0	8	0.41		
				25.2	12	0.38		

Table S1. True quantum yields obtained for irradiations at 313 nm. ($I_0 = 2.12 \times 10^{16}$ quanta s⁻¹)

λ _{irr} /	Following	Sample	Concentration /	Percentage of	Irradiation	$\Phi_{t \rightarrow \text{c}}$	$\Phi_{t ightarrow c}$	$\Phi_{t \rightarrow \text{c}}$
nm	wavelength /		10 ⁻⁴ mol L ⁻¹	photolyses	time		Average	Average at
	nm						for each	the λ_{irr}
							tollowing	
224	220	•	2.44	4.0	6	0.51		0.27 + 0.09
334	320	A	2.44	4.9	12	0.51	0.30 ± 0.09	0.37 ± 0.00
				9.5	12	0.49		
				11.0		0.41		
		в	2 43	24	6	0.25		
			2.10	7.2	12	0.38		
				9.3	18	0.32		
					-			
		С	2.44	2.4	6	0.25		
				6.6	12	0.35		
				12.0	18	0.42		
	330	A	2.44	4.9	6	0.51	0.37 ± 0.11	
				9.5	12	0.50		
				12.3	18	0.43		
			0.40	0.7	<u>_</u>	0.00		
		В	2.43	2.7	6	0.28		
				7.5	12	0.39		
				9.3	18	0.32		
		C	211	17	6	0.18		
			2.77	5.8	12	0.10		
				11.6	18	0.01		
	340	A	2.44	4.9	6	0.50	0.38 ± 0.08	
	0.0			9.4	12	0.48		
				11.8	18	0.40		
		В	2.43	2.4	6	0.25		
				7.3	12	0.37		
				9.2	18	0.31		
		C	2.44	2.9	6	0.30		
				7.1	12	0.36		
				12.1	18	0.41		

Table S2. True quantum yields obtained for irradiations at 334 nm. ($I_0 = 6.99 \times 10^{15}$ quanta s⁻¹)

$\lambda_{\rm irr}$ /	Following	Sample	Concentration /	Percentage	Irradiation	$\Phi_{t \rightarrow c}$	$\Phi_{t \rightarrow c}$	$\Phi_{t ightarrow c}$
nm	wavelength /	-	10 ⁻⁴ mol L ⁻¹	of photolyses	time / s		Average	Average for
	nm						for each	the λ_{irr}
							following	
							wavelength	
365	320	A	2.17	6.1	3	0.39	0.38 ± 0.04	0.38 ± 0,03
				11.7	6	0.37		
				26.5	13	0.39		
		В	2.16	7.0	3	0.44		
				10.5	6	0.33		
				26.5	13	0.42		
		C	2 10	80	2	0.30		
			2.10	15.0	5	0.30		
				24.0	13	0.37		
	330	Δ	2 17	61	3	0.40	0 38 + 0 05	
			2.17	10.9	6	0.35	0.00 ± 0.00	
				26.7	13	0.39		
						0.00		
		в	2.16	7.6	3	0.48		
				11.1	6	0.35		
				21.1	13	0.33		
		С	2.10	8.0	3	0.29		
				16.2	6	0.37		
				23.9	13	0.40		
	340	A	2.17	6.6	3	0.41	0.39 ± 0.04	
				11.8	6	0.37		
				27.7	13	0.40		
			0.40			0.40		
		В	2.10	1.4	3	0.46		
				10.9	6	0.34		
				21.3	13	0.43		
		C	2 10	90	3	0 33		
			2.10	17 3	6	0.33		
				25.3	13	0.42		
	1		1	20.0		0.72	1	1

Table S3. True quantum yields obtained for irradiations at 365 nm. ($I_0 = 2.99 \times 10^{16}$ quanta s⁻¹)

λ _{irr} /	Following	Sample	Concentration /	Percentage	Irradiation	$\Phi_{t \rightarrow c}$	$\Phi_{t \rightarrow c}$	$\Phi_{t \rightarrow c}$
nm	wavelength /		10 ⁻⁴ mol L ⁻¹	of photolyses	time / s		Average	Average at
	nm						for each	the λ_{irr}
							following	
							wavelength	
404	320	A	1.36	5.1	3	0.39	0.36 ± 0.03	0.37 ± 0.02
				9.5	6	0.36		
				14.0	9	0,35		
		B	1 35	37	3	0.28		
			1.00	9.1	6	0.20		
				13.7	q	0.00		
				10.7		0.00		
		С	1.36	4.9	3	0.38		
				9.4	6	0.36		
				15.0	9	0.38		
	330	A	1.36	5.4	3	0.41	0.37 ± 0.03	
				9.6	6	0.37		
				14.5	9	0.37		
		В	1.35	4.2	3	0.32		
				9.5	6	0.36		
				14.5	9	0.37		
		<u>_</u>	1.00	E 4	2	0.44		
			1.30	5.4	3	0.41		
				9.9	0	0.30		
	240	A	1.26		9	0.30	0.27 + 0.02	
	340	A	1.30	0.4 10.1	5	0.40	0.37 ± 0.02	
				10.1	0	0.30		
				14.0	9	0.37		
		В	1.35	4.3	3	0.32		
		_		10.0	6	0.37		
				15.1	9	0.38		
		С	1.36	5.3	3	0.39		
				10.2	6	0.38		
				15.5	9	0.38		

Table S4. True quantum yields obtained for irradiations at 404 nm. ($I_0 = 1.17 \times 10^{16}$ quanta s⁻¹)

λ _{irr} / nm	Following wavelength / nm	Sample	Concentration / 10 ⁻⁴ mol L ⁻¹	Percentage of photolyses	Irradiation time / s	$\Phi_{c \rightarrow t}$	Φ _{c→t} Average for each following wavelength	$\Phi_{\mathrm{c} ightarrow \mathrm{t}}$ Average at the λ_{irr}
255	320	A	0.96	1.1 2.7 3.3	30 80 105	0.17 0.15 0.14	0.15 ± 0.02	0.16 ± 0.01
		В	0.96	0.7 1.5 2.4	25 50 75	0.12 0.14 0.14		
		С	0.97	1.0 1.6 2.6	25 50 75	0.19 0.15 0.16		
	330	A	0.96	1.2 3.1 3.7	30 80 105	0.17 0.16 0.15	0.16 ± 0.01	
		В	0.96	0.9 1.8 2.8	25 50 75	0.15 0.15 0.16		
		С	0.97	1.2 1.8 2.8	25 50 75	0.19 0.15 0.17		
	340	A	0.96	1.2 3.1 3.7	30 80 105	0.19 0.18 0.16	0.17 ± 0.02	
		В	0.96	0.9 1.8 2.7	25 50 75	0.16 0.16 0.16		
		С	0.97	1.2 1.8 2.9	25 50 75	0.22 0.16 0.17		

Table S5. True quantum yields obtained for irradiations at 255 nm (*cis*-to-*trans* photoisomerization). ($I_0 = 1.20 \times 10^{15}$ quanta s⁻¹)