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1. NMR spectra


Figure S1. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, ppm) for $\mathrm{Li}_{2} \mathrm{HL}$.


Figure S2. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, \mathrm{ppm}$ ) for 1 .


Figure S3. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ COSY NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$, ppm) for $\mathbf{1}$.


Figure S4. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ DOSY NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$, ppm) for $\mathbf{1}$.


Figure S5. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, \mathrm{ppm}$ ) for 2 .


Figure S6. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ COSY NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$, ppm) for $\mathbf{2}$.


Figure S7. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ DOSY NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$, ppm) for $\mathbf{2}$.


Figure S8. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$, ppm) for 3 .


Figure S9. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ COSY NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$, ppm) for $\mathbf{3}$.


Figure S10. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ DOSY NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, \mathrm{ppm}$ ) for $\mathbf{3}$.


Figure S11. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$, ppm) for 4.


Figure S12. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ DOSY NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$, ppm) for 4.


Figure S13. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$, ppm) for 5.


Figure S14. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ DOSY NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$, ppm) for 5 .

## 2. Synthesis of (2-(pyridin-2-yl)-1H-imidazole-4,5-dicarboxylate $\mathbf{H} \mathbf{L}^{\mathbf{2 -}}$



Scheme S1. Synthesis of (2-(pyridin-2-yl)-1H-imidazole-4,5-dicarboxylate H $\boldsymbol{L}^{2-}$ The proligand $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{~L}$ was synthesized according to the literature with some modifications ${ }^{\text {s1 }}$. 2-(2-Pyridyl)benzimidazole ( $1 \mathrm{~g}, 5.13 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to $98 \% \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}(8.4 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) in portions. An $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ solution $(30 \%, 8 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added dropwise to the above solution at 373 K . The resulting solution was then stirred for 1 h at 413 K . After cooling to 313 K , water ( 200 mL ) was added. The precipitated product was filtered off, washed with water and dried, yielding a light-yellow powder. The corresponding dianion $\mathbf{H} \boldsymbol{L}^{2-}$ was prepared by the reaction of dicarboxylic acid with two equivalents of lithium hydroxide in methanol. $\mathrm{Li}_{2} \mathrm{H} \boldsymbol{L} .478 \mathrm{mg}$, yield: $38 \% .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(400 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \delta=8.53(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{L}-\mathrm{H}), 8.00(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{L}-\mathrm{H}), 7.84-7.97(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{L}-\mathrm{H})$, 7.32-7.46 (m, 1H, L-H). IR (KBr disk, $\left.\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right) v=3405,1598,1533,1458,1421,1390,1358,1263$, 1152, 1114, 1001, 803, 744, 710, 557. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{Li}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}: \mathrm{C}, 49.01 ; \mathrm{H}, 2.06 ; \mathrm{N}, 17.15$. Found: C, 49.01; H, 2.16; N, 17.21.

## 3. Molecular structures of complex 3 and 5



Figure S15. X-ray crystal structure of cationic part of tetranuclear macrocycle 3. Hydrogen atoms, OTf ${ }^{-}$anions, solvent molecules and disorder are omitted for clarity ( N , blue; O , red; C , gray; Rh , pink).


Figure S16. X-ray crystal structure of cationic parts of hexanuclear triangular prismatic complex $\mathbf{5 a} / \mathbf{5} \mathbf{b}$ : a) side view of $\mathbf{5 a}$; b) side view of $\mathbf{5 b}$; c) top view of $\mathbf{5 a} ;$ d) top view of $\mathbf{5 b}$. Hydrogen atoms, $\mathrm{OTf}^{-}$anions, solvent molecules and disorder are omitted for clarity ( N , blue; O , red; C , gray; Rh , pink)
4. One-dimensional chain structure composed of binuclear complex 1


Figure S17. One-dimensional chain structure composed of dinuclear complex 1 viewed along the $b$ axis. Part of hydrogen atoms and OTf ${ }^{-}$anions, solvent molecules and disorder are omitted for clarity except those involved in hydrogen bonding (N, blue; O, red; C, gray; S, yellow; F, bright green; Rh, pink).

## 5. X-ray Crystallography Details.

Single crystals of 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5 suitable for X-ray diffraction study were obtained at room temperature. X-ray intensity data of $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2 / 3} / \mathbf{4}$ were collected on a CCD-Bruker SMART APEX system at 223 K and 173 K respectively, data of $\mathbf{5}$ was collected on a Bruker D8 VENTURE system at 173 K . In these data, the disordered solvent molecules which could not be restrained properly were removed using the SQUEEZE route.

In asymmetric unit of $\mathbf{1}$, there was one disordered triflate anion which could not be restrained properly. Therefore, SQUEEZE algorithm was used to omit it. One pentamethylcyclopentadienyl ligand (Cp* for short) was disordered and it was divided into two parts (61:39). 19 ISOR and 6 DFIX instructions were used to restrain $\mathrm{Cp} *$ fragments so that there were 120 restraints in the data.

In asymmetric unit of 2, there were disordered solvents (four methanol and one water molecules) which could not be restrained properly. Therefore, SQUEEZE algorithm was used to omit them. One of four triflate anions was disordered and it was divided into two parts (57:43). 22 ISOR and 35 DFIX instructions were used to restrain anions, solvents and Cp* fragments so that there were 167 restraints in the data. Hydrogen of methanol molecules could not be found and others were put in calculated positions.

In asymmetric unit of $\mathbf{3}$, there were disordered solvents (one di-isopropyl ether, two methanol and two water molecules) which could not be restrained properly. Therefore, SQUEEZE algorithm was used to omit them. One triflate anion was disordered and it was divided into two parts (51:49). 50 ISOR, 1 SIMU and 8 DFIX instructions were used to restrain anions and Cp* fragments so that there were 344 restraints in the data.

In asymmetric unit of 4, there were disordered anions and solvents (three triflate anions, two methanol and one water molecules) which could not be restrained properly. Therefore, SQUEEZE algorithm was used to omit them. The imidazoledicarboxylate ligand was disordered and it was divided into two parts (54:46). 40 ISOR, 4 SIMU and 29 DFIX instructions were used to restrain ligand and Cp * fragments so that there were 359 restraints in the data. Hydrogen of water molecules could not be found and others were put in calculated positions.

In asymmetric unit of $\mathbf{5}$, there were disordered anions and solvents (two and a half triflate anions, one methanol and four water molecules) which could not be restrained properly. Therefore, SQUEEZE algorithm was used to omit them. The imidazoledicarboxylate ligand was disordered and it was divided into two parts (53:47). 47 ISOR, 4 SIMU and 31 DFIX instructions were used to restrain anions, ligands and $\mathrm{Cp}^{*}$ fragments so that there were 511 restraints in the data.

Table S1. Crystal data and structure refinement for 1.

| Empirical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{36} \mathrm{H}_{41} \mathrm{~F}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{10} \mathrm{Rh}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Formula weight | 1087.68 |
| Temperature | 223(2) K |
| Wavelength | 0.71073 Å |
| Crystal system | Monoclinic |
| Space group | $\mathrm{P} 21 / \mathrm{c}$ |
| Unit cell dimensions | $\mathrm{a}=15.658(4) \AA \quad \alpha=90^{\circ}$. |
|  | $b=11.827(3) \AA \quad \beta=105.898(4)^{\circ}$. |
|  | $\mathrm{c}=24.371(5) \AA \quad \gamma=90^{\circ}$. |
| Volume | 4340.7(17) $\AA^{3}$ |
| Z | 4 |
| Density (calculated) | $1.664 \mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ |
| Absorption coefficient | $0.941 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$ |
| F(000) | 2192 |
| Crystal size | $0.250 \times 0.220 \times 0.180 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$ |
| Theta range for data collection | 1.352 to $26.723^{\circ}$. |
| Index ranges | $-19<=\mathrm{h}<=19,-14<=\mathrm{k}<=14,-21<=1<=30$ |
| Reflections collected | 29099 |
| Independent reflections | $9110[R(\mathrm{int})=0.0984]$ |
| Completeness to theta $=25.242^{\circ}$ | 99.6 \% |
| Absorption correction | Semi-empirical from equivalents |
| Max. and min. transmission | 0.724 and 0.613 |
| Refinement method | Full-matrix least-squares on $F^{2}$ |
| Data / restraints / parameters | 9110 / 120 / 544 |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | 0.946 |
| Final R indices [ $\mathrm{I}>2 \operatorname{sigma}(\mathrm{I})]^{[a]}$ | $R_{l}=0.0721, w R_{2}=0.1787$ |
| R indices (all data) | $R_{1}=0.1397, w R_{2}=0.2048$ |
| Extinction coefficient | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Largest diff. peak and hole | 0.810 and -0.750 e. $\AA^{-3}$ |

$[\mathrm{a}] R_{l}=\Sigma| | F_{0}|-| F_{c} \|$ (based on reflections with $\left.\mathrm{F}_{0}{ }^{2}>2 \sigma \mathrm{~F}^{2}\right) . w R_{2}=\left[\Sigma\left[w\left(F_{0}{ }^{2}-F_{c}{ }^{2}\right)^{2}\right] / \Sigma\left[w\left(F_{0}{ }^{2}\right)^{2}\right]\right]^{1 / 2} ; w=1 /\left[\sigma^{2}\right.$ $\left.\left(F_{0}{ }^{2}\right)+(0.095 P)^{2}\right] ; P=\left[\max \left(F_{0}{ }^{2}, 0\right)+2 F_{c}{ }^{2}\right] / 3$ (also with $F_{0}{ }^{2}>2 \sigma F^{2}$ )

Table S2. Crystal data and structure refinement for 2.

Empirical formula
Formula weight
Temperature
Wavelength
Crystal system
Space group
Unit cell dimensions

Volume
Z
Density (calculated)
Absorption coefficient
F(000)
Crystal size
Theta range for data collection
Index ranges
Reflections collected
Independent reflections
Completeness to theta $=25.010^{\circ}$
Absorption correction
Max. and min. transmission
Refinement method
Data / restraints / parameters
Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$
Final R indices [I>2sigma(I)] ${ }^{[a]}$
R indices (all data)
Extinction coefficient
Largest diff. peak and hole
$\mathrm{C}_{90} \mathrm{H}_{112} \mathrm{~F}_{12} \mathrm{~N}_{10} \mathrm{O}_{27} \mathrm{Rh}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{4}$
2533.77

173(2) K
$0.71073 \AA$
Triclinic
P-1
$\mathrm{a}=15.3538(17) \AA \quad \alpha=109.857(2)^{\circ}$.
$\mathrm{b}=17.894(2) \AA \quad \beta=91.607(2)^{\circ}$.
$\mathrm{c}=20.376(2) \AA \quad \gamma=96.139(2)^{\circ}$.
$5222.8(10) \AA^{3}$
2
$1.611 \mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$
$0.800 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$
2580
$0.110 \times 0.100 \times 0.090 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$
1.219 to $25.010^{\circ}$.
$-17<=\mathrm{h}<=18,-20<=\mathrm{k}<=21,-20<=1<=24$
32624
$18395[R($ int $)=0.1673]$
99.7 \%

Semi-empirical from equivalents
0.745 and 0.679

Full-matrix least-squares on $F^{2}$
18395/167/1318
0.837
$R_{I}=0.0642, w R_{2}=0.1405$
$R_{1}=0.1416, w R_{2}=0.1714$
$\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$
1.342 and $-1.453 \mathrm{e} . \AA^{-3}$
[a] $R_{l}=\Sigma| | F_{0}|-| F_{c} \|$ (based on reflections with $\left.\mathrm{F}_{0}{ }^{2}>2 \sigma \mathrm{~F}^{2}\right) . w R_{2}=\left[\Sigma\left[w\left(F_{0}^{2}-F_{c}^{2}\right)^{2}\right] / \Sigma\left[w\left(F_{0}^{2}\right)^{2}\right]\right]^{1 / 2} ; w=1 /\left[\sigma^{2}\right.$ $\left.\left(F_{0}{ }^{2}\right)+(0.095 P)^{2}\right] ; P=\left[\max \left(F_{0}^{2}, 0\right)+2 F_{c}^{2}\right] / 3$ (also with $F_{0}{ }^{2}>2 \sigma F^{2}$ )

Table S3. Crystal data and structure refinement for 3.

Empirical formula
Formula weight
Temperature
Wavelength
Crystal system
Space group
Unit cell dimensions

Volume
Z
Density (calculated)
Absorption coefficient
F(000)
Crystal size
Theta range for data collection
Index ranges
Reflections collected
Independent reflections
Completeness to theta $=25.008^{\circ}$
Absorption correction
Max. and min. transmission
Refinement method
Data / restraints / parameters
Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$
Final R indices [ $\mathrm{I}>2 \operatorname{sigma}(\mathrm{I})$ ]
R indices (all data)
Extinction coefficient
Largest diff. peak and hole
$\mathrm{C}_{104} \mathrm{H}_{138} \mathrm{~F}_{12} \mathrm{~N}_{10} \mathrm{O}_{30} \mathrm{Rh}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{4}$
2776.12

173(2) K
$0.71073 \AA$
Triclinic
P-1
$a=11.772(3) \AA \quad \alpha=73.978(4)^{\circ}$.
$\mathrm{b}=13.853(3) \AA \quad \beta=78.962(4)^{\circ}$.
$\mathrm{c}=18.827(4) \AA \quad \gamma=77.523(4)^{\circ}$.
$2852.8(11) \AA^{3}$
1
$1.616 \mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$
$0.742 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$
1424
$0.520 \times 0.410 \times 0.280 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$
1.137 to $25.008^{\circ}$.
$-13<=\mathrm{h}<=13,-16<=\mathrm{k}<=11,-22<=1<=11$
7980
$7710[R(\mathrm{int})=0.0399]$
76.7 \%

Semi-empirical from equivalents
0.647 and 0.540

Full-matrix least-squares on $F^{2}$
7710 / 344 / 668
1.653
$R_{I}=0.1168, w R_{2}=0.3167$
$R_{I}=0.1546, w R_{2}=0.3967$
n/a
3.532 and -3.098 e. $\AA^{-3}$
[a] $R_{l}=\Sigma| | F_{0}|-| F_{c} \|$ (based on reflections with $\left.\mathrm{F}_{0}{ }^{2}>2 \sigma \mathrm{~F}^{2}\right) . w R_{2}=\left[\Sigma\left[w\left(F_{0}^{2}-F_{c}^{2}\right)^{2}\right] / \Sigma\left[w\left(F_{0}^{2}\right)^{2}\right]\right]^{1 / 2} ; w=1 /\left[\sigma^{2}\right.$ $\left.\left(F_{0}{ }^{2}\right)+(0.095 P)^{2}\right] ; P=\left[\max \left(F_{0}^{2}, 0\right)+2 F_{c}^{2}\right] / 3$ (also with $F_{0}{ }^{2}>2 \sigma F^{2}$ )

Table S4. Crystal data and structure refinement for 4.

| Empirical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{120} \mathrm{H}_{146} \mathrm{~F}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{33} \mathrm{Rh}_{6} \mathrm{~S}_{6}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Formula weight | 3436.30 |
| Temperature | 173(2) K |
| Wavelength | 0.71073 A |
| Crystal system | Monoclinic |
| Space group | C2/c |
| Unit cell dimensions | $\mathrm{a}=21.867(5) \AA \quad \alpha=90^{\circ}$. |
|  | $\mathrm{b}=26.493(6) \AA \quad \beta=106.754(4)^{\circ}$. |
|  | $\mathrm{c}=27.213(6) \AA \quad \gamma=90^{\circ}$. |
| Volume | 15096(6) $\AA^{3}$ |
| Z | 4 |
| Density (calculated) | $1.512 \mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ |
| Absorption coefficient | $0.817 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$ |
| F(000) | 6968 |
| Crystal size | $0.420 \times 0.210 \times 0.180 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$ |
| Theta range for data collection | 1.240 to $26.000^{\circ}$. |
| Index ranges | $-25<=\mathrm{h}<=26,-32<=\mathrm{k}<=32,-27<=\mathrm{l}<=33$ |
| Reflections collected | 49051 |
| Independent reflections | $14808[\mathrm{R}($ int $)=0.0929]$ |
| Completeness to theta $=25.242^{\circ}$ | 99.7 \% |
| Absorption correction | Semi-empirical from equivalents |
| Max. and min. transmission | 0.746 and 0.617 |
| Refinement method | Full-matrix least-squares on $F^{2}$ |
| Data / restraints / parameters | 14808 / 359 / 787 |
| Goodness-of-fit on F2 | 1.002 |
| Final R indices [I>2sigma(I) ${ }^{[a]}$ | $R_{l}=0.0747, w R_{2}=0.2135$ |
| R indices (all data) | $R_{l}=0.1322, w R_{2}=0.2334$ |
| Extinction coefficient | n/a |
| Largest diff. peak and hole | 0.981 and -0.578 e.$\AA^{-3}$ |

[a] $R_{l}=\Sigma| | F_{0}|-| F_{c} \|$ (based on reflections with $\left.\mathrm{F}_{0}{ }^{2}>2 \sigma \mathrm{~F}^{2}\right) . w R_{2}=\left[\Sigma\left[w\left(F_{0}{ }^{2}-F_{c}^{2}\right)^{2}\right] / \Sigma\left[w\left(F_{0}^{2}\right)^{2}\right]\right]^{1 / 2} ; w=1 /\left[\sigma^{2}\right.$ $\left.\left(F_{0}{ }^{2}\right)+(0.095 P)^{2}\right] ; P=\left[\max \left(F_{0}{ }^{2}, 0\right)+2 F_{c}{ }^{2}\right] / 3$ (also with $F_{0}{ }^{2}>2 \sigma F^{2}$ )

Table S5. Crystal data and structure refinement for 5.

| Empirical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{124} \mathrm{H}_{146} \mathrm{~F}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{36} \mathrm{Rh}_{6} \mathrm{~S}_{6}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Formula weight | 3532.34 |
| Temperature | 173(2) K |
| Wavelength | 1.54178 £ |
| Crystal system | Monoclinic |
| Space group | C2/c |
| Unit cell dimensions | $a=22.900(2) \AA \quad \alpha=90^{\circ}$. |
|  | $b=25.994(2) \AA \quad \beta=111.608(9)^{\circ}$. |
|  | $\mathrm{c}=29.597(3) \AA \quad \gamma=90^{\circ}$. |
| Volume | 16380(3) $\AA^{3}$ |
| Z | 4 |
| Density (calculated) | $1.432 \mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ |
| Absorption coefficient | $6.272 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$ |
| F(000) | 7160 |
| Crystal size | $0.250 \times 0.220 \times 0.180 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$ |
| Theta range for data collection | 2.683 to $70.989^{\circ}$. |
| Index ranges | $-27<=\mathrm{h}<=24,-31<=\mathrm{k}<=23,-36<=1<=35$ |
| Reflections collected | 30837 |
| Independent reflections | $15177[R(\mathrm{int})=0.0652]$ |
| Completeness to theta $=67.679^{\circ}$ | 97.9 \% |
| Absorption correction | Semi-empirical from equivalents |
| Max. and min. transmission | 0.754 and 0.556 |
| Refinement method | Full-matrix least-squares on $F^{2}$ |
| Data / restraints / parameters | 15177 / 511 / 869 |
| Goodness-of-fit on F2 | 1.035 |
| Final R indices [I>2sigma(I) ${ }^{[\text {a] }}$ | $R_{l}=0.1018, w R_{2}=0.2954$ |
| R indices (all data) | $R_{l}=0.1422, w R_{2}=0.3249$ |
| Extinction coefficient | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Largest diff. peak and hole | 2.352 and $-0.731 \mathrm{e} . \AA^{-3}$ |

[a] $R_{l}=\Sigma| | F_{0}|-| F_{c} \|$ (based on reflections with $\left.\mathrm{F}_{0}{ }^{2}>2 \sigma \mathrm{~F}^{2}\right) . w R_{2}=\left[\Sigma\left[w\left(F_{0}{ }^{2}-F_{c}^{2}\right)^{2}\right] / \Sigma\left[w\left(F_{0}^{2}\right)^{2}\right]\right]^{1 / 2} ; w=1 /\left[\sigma^{2}\right.$ $\left.\left(F_{0}{ }^{2}\right)+(0.095 P)^{2}\right] ; P=\left[\max \left(F_{0}^{2}, 0\right)+2 F_{c}^{2}\right] / 3$ (also with $F_{0}{ }^{2}>2 \sigma F^{2}$ )
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