Homoleptic Iron(II) and Cobalt(II) Bis (phosphoranimide)

Complexes for Selective Hydrofunctionalization of Unsaturated

Molecules

Tao Bai*a, Trevor Janes^b and Datong Song^b

 ^aCollege of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Shanxi University, #92 Wucheng Road, Taiyuan, Shanxi 030006, People's Republic of China
 ^b Department of Chemistry University of Toronto. 80 St. George Street Toronto, Ontario. M5S 3H6, Canada

Table of Contents

I. General Methods	S3
II. Procedure of making iron and cobalt complexes A and B	S4
III. Procedure of Fe(bis-phosphoranimide) catalyzed hydroboration reaction	
of aldehydes and ketones	S5
IV. Intermolecular and Intramolecular competing hydroboration reactions of	
aldehydes and ketones	S9
V. Procedure of Co(bis-phosphoranimide) catalyzed hydrogenation reaction	
of alkene and alkyne	S11
VI. Poisoning effect of Co(bis-phosphoranimide) catalyzed hydrogenation	
reaction	S14
VII. References	S16
Appendix I.	S18
(Spectral Copies of ¹ H and ¹³ C NMR of hydroboration reaction of aldehydes	
and ketones)	
Appendix II.	S35
(Spectral Copies of ¹ H and ¹³ C NMR of competing hydroboration reaction of	
aldehydes and ketones)	
Appendix III.	S38
(Spectral Copies of ¹ H NMR of hydrogenation reaction of alkenes and	
alkynes)	

Appendix IV.	S43
(¹ H NMR of Poisoning Effect experiments for Co(bis-phosphoranimide)	
catalyzed hydrogenation reaction)	
Appendix V.	S49
(Crystallographic Data for X-ray)	

I. General Methods

Analytical thin layer chromatography (TLC) was performed on precoated silica gel 60 F254 plates, glass.

Visualization on TLC was achieved by the use of UV light (254 nm).

Flash column chromatography was undertaken on silica gel (300-400 mesh).

The purity of nitrogen gas is 99.999%.

Air sensitive liquid and solutions were manipulated by using glove box and dry solvents. The catalytic reactions were performed under glove box (Delix, China) or sealed thick glass tube purchased from Synthware Company (BeiJing) under nitrogen atmosphere.

THF, benzene and deuterated benzene were distilled from Na metal under nitrogen protected solvent purification system obtained from Synthware Company. Pentane and Hexane was dried by the sodium-potassium alloy method using the same drying system above. Concentration of solution was carried out by using a rotary evaporator and generally followed by removal of residual solvents on a vacuum line held at 0.1–1 torr. Unless otherwise stated, all commercial reagents were used without additional purification. Alkyne, boron compounds and deuterated solvents were purchased from Aldrich chemical company, Strem or Aladdin.

Proton nuclear magnetic resonance spectra were recorded on Brucker Avance 400MHz or 600MHz, Chemical shifts were quoted in parts per million (ppm) referenced to the appropriate solvent peak (CHCl₃ in CDCl₃: 7.24 ppm; C_6H_6 in C_6D_6 : 7.16 ppm). Mesitylene was used in the reaction condition optimization step for the clarification of product yield. The following abbreviations were used to describe peak patterns when appropriate: br = broad, s = singlet, d = doublet, t = triplet, q = quartet, quint = quintet, m = multiplet. Coupling constants, *J*, were reported in Hertz unit (Hz).

Carbon 13 nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy (¹³C NMR) was recorded on Brucker Avance (100MHz) or 150MHz and was fully decoupled by broad band decoupling. Chemical shifts were reported in ppm referenced to the center line of a triplet at 77.23 ppm of chloroform-d and 128.39 ppm of C_6D_6 .

Phosphorus 31 nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy (³¹P NMR) was recorded on Brucker Avance 243MHz and phosphoric acid was used as external standard.

Infrared (IR) spectra were recorded on Thermo Scientific Nicolet iS50. Frequencies are given in reciprocal centimeters (cm⁻¹) and only selected absorbance is reported.

High Resolution mass spectra were obtained by using Thermo Scientific Q Exactive (API or ESI as ion source) Melting points was measured using RD-II manufactured by Tianjin optical instrument factory. The diffraction data of X-ray crystal were collected on a Bruker APEX-II CCD diffractometer. A suitable size and quality of crystal was coated with Paratone-N oil and mounted on a glass top purchased from Bruker. The data were collected with graphite mono-chromated Mo K α radiation ($\lambda = 0.71073$ Å). Cell parameters were determined and refined by SHELXL-2014/7 (Sheldrick, 2014) program. Data reduction was performed using Bruker SAINT software.

II. Procedure of making iron and cobalt complexes A and B

 $MCI_2 + LiNP^{t}Bu_3 \xrightarrow{THF} M_2(NP^{t}Bu_3)_4$ M = Fe (75%)M = Co (72%)

Procedure of making iron complex A

In glove box with O₂ and H₂O level <0.1 ppm, FeCl₂ (76.2 mg, 0.60 mmol) was suspended in THF (6 mL) and put into fridge at (-35 °C) around 30 mins, then the suspension of LiNP'Bu₃ (261.2 mg, 1.95 eq., 1.17 mmol) in THF (6 mL) was added. The color immediately changed to brown then to deep green. After 13 hours of stirring at room temperature, the THF was removed under reduced pressure. The deep green residue was extracted with hexane, filtered through celite, concentrated, cooled to -35 °C for another 13 hours, then filtered through celite again. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure to afford complex A (219.8 mg, 75%, yellow-green powder). X-ray quality crystals were grown from a concentrated pentane or hexane solution at -35 °C. Anal. Calcd for C₄₈H₁₀₈Fe₂N₄P₄: C, 59.01; H, 11.14; N, 5.73. Found: C, 58.55; H, 11.11; N, 5.43; ¹H NMR (600 MHz, C₆D₆) δ 5.59 (br s); 3.88 (br s); 1.41-0.49 (br m); there is no obvious peak on ³¹P NMR spectrum.

Procedure of making cobalt complex B

The procedure was similar with making iron complex.

CoCl₂ (77.9 mg, 0.6 mmol), LiNP'Bu₃ (261.2 mg, 1.95 eq., 1.17 mmol) to afford complex **B** as deep green powder (212.3 mg, 72%); Anal. Calcd for $C_{48}H_{108}Co_2N_4P_4$: C, 58.64; H, 11.07; N, 5.70. Found: C, 58.58; H, 11.12; N, 5.33; ¹H NMR (600 MHz, C₆D₆) δ 3.67 (br s); 1.32-0.25 (br m); there is no obvious peak on ³¹P NMR spectrum.

III. Procedure of Fe(bis-phosphoranimide) catalyzed hydroboration

reaction of aldehydes and ketones.



In an N₂ charged glove box with oxygen and water levels ≤ 0.1 ppm, to an oven-dried screwed vial were added [Fe(N=P'Bu₃)₂]₂ (4.9 mg, 2.5 mol%) and dry benzene (2 mL) to give a light yellow solution, then HB(pin) (28 mg, 1.1 eq.) was added to form the dark solution immediately followed by the addition of Aldehyde or Ketone substrates (0.2 mmol). The resulting mixture was kept stirring under room temperature in glove box for 13 hours to form the intermediate **1**'. After the

first step was completed, the volatile was removed directly by rotary evaporation and THF (2 mL) and diluted HCl (2*N*, 4 eq.) were added into the residue. The formed mixture was stirred for another 10 hours (for Aldehyde substrates) or 30 mins (for Ketone substrates) under room temperature. After the second step was accomplished, the reaction mixture was diluted with water (5 mL) and extracted with ether (5 mL×3 times). The organic phase was combined and washed 2 times with Brine. Then the ether solution was collected and the product was isolated and purified by short column chromatography (Hexane/Ethyl acetate) to get pure product of 2.

4-Methyl benzyl alcohol (2a, Table 2).^{S1}



Eluent Hexane/Ethyl acetate. Colorless Oil (18 mg, 74%), ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.31 (2H, d, J = 6 Hz), 7.23 (2H, d, J = 6 Hz), 4.69 (2H, s), 2.42 (3H, s), 1.88 (1H, br s); ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 138.11, 137.58, 129.43, 127.31, 65.43, 21.34.

4-Methoxyl benzyl alcohol (2b, Table 2). S1



Eluent Hexane/Ethyl acetate. Colorless Oil (18 mg, 79%), ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.27 (2H, d, *J* = 6 Hz), 6.87 (2H, d, *J* = 6 Hz), 4.58 (2H, s), 3.78 (3H, s), 1.86 (1H, br s); ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 159.37, 133.32, 128.83, 114.13, 65.18, 55.48.

4-Chloride benzyl alcohol (2c, Table 2). S1



Eluent Hexane/Ethyl acetate. White solid (22 mg, 77%), ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.30 (2H, d, *J* = 6 Hz), 7.26 (2H, d, *J* = 6 Hz), 4.62 (2H, s), 1.98 (1H, br s); ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 139.43, 133.53, 128.86, 128.46, 64.69.

4-Bromide benzyl alcohol (2d, Table 2). S1



Eluent Hexane/Ethyl acetate. White solid (32 mg, 85%), ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.46 (2H, d, J = 6 Hz), 7.21 (2H, d, J = 6 Hz), 4.62 (2H, s), 1.79 (1H, br s); ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 139.97, 131.83, 128.79, 121.66, 64.77.

4-Nitro benzyl alcohol (2e, Table 2). S1



Eluent Hexane/Ethyl acetate. White solid (23 mg, 75%), ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CD₂Cl₂) δ 8.19 (2H, d, *J* = 6 Hz), 7.53 (2H, d, *J* = 6 Hz), 4.81 (2H, s), 2.12 (1H, br s); ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 149.21, 147.80, 127.51, 124.12, 64.38.

2-Methoxyl benzyl alcohol (2f, Table 2). S1



Eluent Hexane/Ethyl acetate. Colorless oil (23 mg, 83%), ¹H NMR (600 MHz, C₆D₆) δ 7.30 (1H, d, *J* = 6 Hz), 7.07 (1H, t, *J* = 6 Hz), 6.86 (1H, t, *J* = 6 Hz), 6.47 (1H, d, *J* = 6 Hz), 4.70 (2H, s), 3.20 (3H, s), 2.18 (1H, br s); ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, C₆D₆) δ 157.76, 130.59, 128.86, 128.81, 121.20, 110.52, 61.76, 54.99.

3-Phenylpropan-1-ol (2g, Table 2). S1



Eluent Hexane/Ethyl acetate. Colorless oil (26 mg, 95%), ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.28 (2H, d, *J* = 6 Hz), 7.19 (3H, t, *J* = 6 Hz), 3.66 (2H, t, *J* = 6 Hz), 2.70 (2H, t, *J* = 6 Hz), 1.89 (2H, quint, *J* = 6 Hz), 1.50 (1H, br s); ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 142.01, 128.62, 128.59, 126.06, 62.45, 34.41, 32.26.

(E)-3-Phenylprop-2-en-1-ol (2h, Table 2). S1



Eluent Hexane/Ethyl acetate. Colorless oil (23.6 mg, 88%), ¹H NMR (600 MHz, C_6D_6) δ 7.22 (2H, d, J = 6 Hz), 7.13 (2H, t, J = 6Hz), 7.05 ((1H, t, J = 6 Hz), 6.42 (1H, d, J = 18 Hz), 6.08 (1H, td, J = 18, 6 Hz), 3.92 (2H, d, J = 6 Hz), 1.36 (1H, br s); ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, C_6D_6) δ 137.81, 130.79, 129.84, 129.18, 128.04, 127.11, 63.75.

Decan-1-ol (2i, Table 2). S1



Eluent Hexane/Ethyl acetate. Colorless oil (26 mg, 82%), ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 3.61 (2H, t, *J* = 6 Hz), 1.54 (2H, quint, *J* = 6 Hz), 1.45 (1H, br s), 1.35-1.19 (14H, m), 0.85 (3H, t, *J* = 6 Hz); ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 63.30, 33.01, 32.11, 29.83, 29.77, 29.65, 29.53, 25.95, 22.89, 14.31.

1-phenylethanol (2j, Table 2). S1



Eluent Hexane/Ethyl acetate. Colorless oil (16 mg, 65%), ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.36 (2H, d, *J* = 6 Hz), 7.32 (2H, d, *J* = 6 Hz), 7.25 (1H, t, *J* = 6 Hz), 4.88 (1H, q, *J* = 6 Hz), 1.85 (1H, br s), 1.49 (3H, d, *J* = 6 Hz); ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 146.01, 128.70, 127.67, 125.58, 70.61, 25.35.

1-(4-Methoxyphenyl)ethanol (2k, Table 2). S1



Eluent Hexane/Ethyl acetate. Colorless oil (26 mg, 85%), ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.28 (2H, d, *J* = 6 Hz), 6.86 (2H, d, *J* = 6 Hz), 4.82 (1H, q, *J* = 6 Hz), 3.78 (3H, s), 1.96 (1H, br s), 1.45 (3H, d, *J* = 6 Hz); ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 159.13, 138.21, 126.84, 114.01, 70.11, 55.46, 25.19.

1-(4-nitrophenyl)ethanol (2l, Table 2). S1



Eluent Hexane/Ethyl acetate. Colorless oil (23 mg, 69%), ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.17 (2H, d, *J* = 6 Hz), 7.51 (2H, d, *J* = 6 Hz), 5.00 (1H, q, *J* = 6 Hz), 2.07 (1H, br s), 1.49 (3H, d, *J* = 6 Hz); ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 153.27, 147.38, 126.32, 123.96, 69.71, 25.71.

2-Methyl-1-phenylpropan-1-ol (2m, Table 2). S1



Eluent Hexane/Ethyl acetate. Colorless oil (24 mg, 79%), ¹H NMR (600 MHz, C₆D₆) δ 7.19 (2H, d, *J* = 6 Hz), 7.18 (2H, d, *J* = 6 Hz), 7.09 (1H, t, *J* = 6 Hz), 4.06 (1H, d, *J* = 6 Hz), 1.85-1.78 (1H, m), 1.25 (1H, br s), 0.97 (3H, d, *J* = 6 Hz), 0.75 (3H, d, *J* = 6 Hz); ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, C₆D₆) δ 144.94, 128.61, 127.73, 127.23, 80.04, 36.06, 19.54, 18.52.

Diphenylmethanol (2n, Table 2). S1



Eluent Hexane/Ethyl acetate. White solid (35 mg, 95%), ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.26 (4H, d, J = 6 Hz), 7.23 (4H, t, J = 6 Hz), 7.16 (2H, d, J = 6 Hz), 5.72 (1H, s), 2.23 (1H, br s); ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 143.99, 128.69, 127.77, 126.74, 76.44.

1,3-Diphenylpropan-2-ol (20, Table 2). S1



Eluent Hexane/Ethyl acetate. Colorless oil (32 mg, 75%), ¹H NMR (600 MHz, C₆D₆) δ 7.15 (5H, t, *J* = 6 Hz), 7.07 (5H, t, *J* = 6 Hz), 33.85-3.77 (1H, m), 2.66-2.55 (4H, m), 1.32 (1H, br s); ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, C₆D₆) δ 139.57, 130.19, 128.99, 126.89, 73.98, 44.12.

4-Phenylbutan-2-ol (2p, Table 2). S1



Eluent Hexane/Ethyl acetate. Colorless oil (25 mg, 83%), ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.28 (2H, t, *J* = 6 Hz), 7.19 (2H, d, *J* = 6 Hz), 7.17 (1H, d, *J* = 6 Hz), 3.81 (1H, sext., *J* = 6 Hz), 2.78-2.63 (2H, m), 1.82-1.71 (2H, m), 1.50 (1H, br s), 1.21 (3H, d, *J* = 6 Hz); ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 140.25, 128.59, 126.00, 67.68, 41.04, 32.33, 23.81.

Cyclooctanol (2q, Table 2). S1



Eluent Hexane/Ethyl acetate. Colorless oil (15 mg, 59%), ¹H NMR (600 MHz, C_6D_6) δ 3.63-3.58 (1H, m), 1.69-1.62 (2H, m), 1.61-1.51 (4H, m), 1.47-1.26 (8H, m), 0.87 (1H, br s); ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, C_6D_6) δ 72.07, 35.32, 28.16, 25.81, 23.30.

IV. Intermolecular and Intramolecular competing hydroboration

reactions of aldehydes and ketones (Scheme 2).

Intermolecular comparison (eqs. 1-3, Scheme 2):



In an N₂ charged glove box with oxygen and water levels ≤ 0.1 ppm, to an oven-dried screwed vial were added [Fe(N=P'Bu₃)₂]₂ A (4.9 mg, 2.5 mol%) and dry C₆D₆ (2 mL) to give a light yellow solution, then HB(pin) (26 mg, 1.0 eq.) was added to form the dark solution immediately followed by the addition of Aldehyde and Ketone (0.2 mmol/each) into the vial. The resulting mixture was kept stirring under room temperature in glove box for 13 hours. Then the reaction mixture was filtered through short column using celite to get clear filtrate before the NMR test. The NMR yields of borates were determined by the integral of characteristic peaks (ArCH₂OB(pin) and ArC(O)CH₃).



Intramolecular comparison (eqs. 4-5, Scheme 2):

The procedure was same as above (section III). The reaction scale is 0.2 mmol.

2-(Hydroxymethyl)phenyl acetate (2r, Scheme 2). S1



Eluent Hexane/Ethyl acetate. Colorless oil (21 mg, 63%), ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.77 (1H, br s), 7.27-7.23 (2H, m), 6.87-6.94 (2H, m), 5.11 (2H, s), 2.09 (3H, s); ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 173.74, 155.57, 132.17, 131.17, 121.85, 120.68, 117.70, 63.33, 21.05.

2-[4-(Hydroxymethyl)phenoxy]-1-phenylethan-1-one (2s, Scheme 2). S1



Eluent Hexane/Ethyl acetate. White solid (38 mg, 80%), ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.97 (2H, d, J = 6 Hz), 7.60 (1H, t, J = 6 Hz), 7.48 (2H, t, J = 6 Hz), 7.25 (2H, t, J = 6 Hz), 6.90 (2H, d, J = 6 Hz), 5.25 (2H, s), 4.58 (2H, s), 1.74 (1H, br s); ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 194.66, 157.77, 134.70, 134.35, 134.12, 129.05, 128.86, 128.31, 115.04, 70.99, 65.08.

V. Procedure of Co(bis-phosphoranimide) catalyzed hydrogenation

reaction of alkene and alkyne.

i. Comparison the catalytic activity of Fe and Co(bis-

phosphoranimide) in the hydrogenation reaction of allylbenzene



Entry	Motal –	NMR yield of products (%) ^a				
ши	Metal	3a	3aa	3ab	4a	
1	Fe	14.5	43	4	8	
2	Со	0	0	0	82	
3	Co ^b	71	0	0	0	

^aMesitylene was used as the internal standard; ^bthere is no reaction occur without the participation of HB(pin) at room temperature.

ii. Procedure of Co(bis-phosphoranimide) catalyzed hydrogenation

reaction of alkene and alkyne.



In an N₂ charged glove box with oxygen and water levels ≤ 0.1 ppm, to an oven-dried thick wall Schlenk tube with screwed Teflon cap were added [Co(N=P'Bu₃)₂]₂ (4.9 mg, 2.5 mol%) and dry C₆D₆ (2 mL) to give a deep green solution, then HB(pin) (2.5 mg, 10 mol%) was added to form the dark solution immediately followed by the addition of alkene or alkyne substrates (0.2 mmol). The resulting mixture was taken out of the box and purged with hydrogen (purity 99.99%) under Schlenk vacuum line cooling with liquid nitrogen for 3 times. The screwed Teflon cap was closed under 1atm of H₂. The formed mixture was stirred for another 13 hours under room temperature. Then, the mesitylene (27μ L, 0.8637 g/cm³, 0.2 mmol) was added into the mixture. The metal residue was filtrated off through the short silica gel column and washed with C₆D₆ (0.1 mL×2) at the same time. The organic filtrate was combined and the yield was measured by the analysis of ¹H NMR.

entry	Substrates 3	Products 4	NMR Viald of $A(0/)$
1	3a	4a	82
2	<u></u>	<u>لا</u> لے کے لیے لیے لیے لیے لیے لیے لیے لیے لیے لی	81
3	⟨	4a	83
4	✓ 3d		>99%
5	3e	4d	83
6	3f	4e	92

7	$= nC_6H_{13}$	∽ ⁿ C ₆ H ₁₃	80
/	3g	4f	80

Propylbenzene (4a, Table 3).^{S2}



NMR yield 82%; ¹H NMR (600 MHz, C_6D_6) δ 7.17 (1.59 H, *t*, *J* = 6 Hz), 7.08 (0.59 H, d, *J* = 6 Hz), 7.05 (1.67 H, d, *J* = 6 Hz), 6.72 (3 H, s, Ph-*H* of Mesitylene), 2.42 (1.58 H, t, *J* = 6 Hz), 2.16 (9 H, s, CH₃ of Mesitylene), 1.51 (1.60 H, sext., *J* = 6 Hz), 0.83 (2.46 H, t, *J* = 6 Hz).

Ethylbenzene (4b, Table 3).^{S2}



NMR yield 81%; 1H NMR (600 MHz, C_6D_6) δ 7.17-7.13 (3H, m), 7.05 (1 H, d, J = 6 Hz), 7.04 (1 H, d, J = 6 Hz), 6.72 (3 H, s, Ph-*H* of Mesitylene), 2.42 (1.53 H, q, J = 6 Hz), 2.16 (9 H, s, CH₃ of Mesitylene), 1.06 (2.21 H, t, J = 6 Hz).

Propylbenzene (4a, Table 3). ^{S2}



NMR yield 83%; ¹H NMR (600 MHz, C_6D_6) δ 7.17 (3 H, *t*, *J* = 6 Hz), 7.08 (0.57 H, d, *J* = 6 Hz), 7.05 (1.74 H, d, *J* = 6 Hz), 6.72 (3 H, s, Ph-*H* of Mesitylene), 2.41 (1.56 H, t, *J* = 6 Hz), 2.15 (9 H, s, CH₃ of Mesitylene), 1.51 (1.71 H, sext., *J* = 6 Hz), 0.82 (2.48 H, t, *J* = 6 Hz).

1,2-Diphenylethane (4c, Table 3). S2



NMR yield >99%; ¹H NMR (600 MHz, C_6D_6) δ 7.15-7.11 (4 H, m), 7.07 (2 H, t, *J* = 6 Hz), 6.99 (4 H, d, *J* = 6 Hz), 6.72 (3 H, s, Ph-*H* of Mesitylene), 2.42 (1.58 H, t, *J* = 6 Hz), 2.74 (4 H, s), 2.16 (9 H, s, *CH*₃ of Mesitylene).

Butylbenzene (4d, Table 3). S2



NMR yield 83%; ¹H NMR (600 MHz, C_6D_6) δ 7.20-7.15 (3 H, m), 7.10-7.05 (2 H, m), 6.72 (3 H, s, Ph-*H* of Mesitylene), 2.47 (1.85 H, t, *J* = 6 Hz), 2.16 (9 H, s, *CH*₃ of Mesitylene), 1.48 (1.72 H, quint. *J* = 6 Hz), 1.23 (1.76 H, sext. *J* = 6 Hz), 0.84 (2.5 H, t, *J* = 6 Hz).

Ethylcyclohexane (4e, Table 3). S2



NMR yield 92%; ¹H NMR (600 MHz, C_6D_6) δ 6.72 (3 H, s, Ph-*H* of Mesitylene), 2.16 (9 H, s, CH_3 of Mesitylene), 1.71-1.61 (4.46 H, m), 1.24-1.10 (4.41 H, m), 1.08-1.00 (0.82 H, m), 0.87 (2.76 H, t, *J* = 6 Hz), 0.83 (1.52 H, d, *J* = 6 Hz).

Octane (4f, Table 3). S2



NMR yield 80%; ¹H NMR (600 MHz, C_6D_6) δ 6.72 (3 H, s, Ph-*H* of Mesitylene), 2.16 (9 H, s, CH_3 of Mesitylene), 1.33-1.23 (9.84 H, m), 0.91 (4.82 H, t, J = 6 Hz).

VI. Poisoning effect of Co(bis-phosphoranimide) catalyzed

hydrogenation reaction.

The poisoning effect was measured using hydrogenation reaction of phenylacetylene as model.^{S3}



The procedure of poisoning effect experiments were same with above. The poisoning reagent was added into the reaction vial after the C_6D_6 solution of $[Co(N=P^tBu_3)]_2$ and HB(pin) was obtained. The equivalent of poisoning reagent is relative to the *phenyl acetylene*. Mesitylene was used in NMR study as internal standard.

Doigon	Doigoning	a Equivalent	NMR	NMR	NMR
entry	Poisoning	(mol 9/)	yield of	yield of	yield of
	reagent	(mol %)	3b (%)	4b (%)	4c (%)
1	Hg	20	<1	80	<1
2	Hg	50	<1	75	<1

3	Hg	100	<1	63	<1
4	PPh ₃	5	<1	78	4
5	PMe ₃	5	<1	74	<1
6	dppe	5	<1	80	3
7	2,2'-bipyridine	5	<1	88	<1

NMR of Stoichiometric reaction of complexes A or B with 2 eq.

HB(pin)



VII. References:

S1. (a) V. K. Jakhar, M. Kr. Barman, S. Nembenna, *Org. Lett.*, 2016, 18, 4710-4713;
(b) C. Battilocchio, J. M. Hawkins, and S. V. Ley, *Org. Lett.*, 2013, 15, 2278–2281; (c)
Y. Wu, C. Shan, Y. Sun, P. Chen, J. Ying, J. Zhu, L. Liu, Y. Zhao, *Chem. Commun.* 2016, 52, 13799-13802; (d) V. L. Weidner, C. J. Barger, M. Delferro, T. L. Lohr, T. J. Marks, *ACS Catal.*, 2017 7, 1244-1247.

S2. (a) Y. Çetinkaya, O. Metin, M. Balci, *RSC Adv.*, 2016, 6, 28538–28542; (b) V.
Polshettiwar, M. N. Nadagouda, R. S. Varma, *Chem. Commun.*, 2008, 6318–6320; (c)
C. Jun, Y. J. Park, Y. Yeon, J. Choi, W. Lee, S. Ko, J. Cheon, *Chem. Commun.* 2006, 1619-1621.

S3. (a) D. Gärtnerrtner, A. Welther, B. R. Rad, R. Wolf, A. J. von Wangelin, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2014, **53**, 3722 –3726; (b) Y. Li, S. Yu, X. Wu, J. Xiao, W. Shen, Z. Dong, J. Gao, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2014, **136**, 4031–4039.

APPENDIX

Appendix-1

¹H and ¹³C Spectral Copies of hydroboration reaction of aldehydes



































10 0

100 90







tbai=1-158-5 ('H NMR 600 MHz $C_6 D_6)$



Appendix II. Competing hydroboration reaction of aldehydes and

ketones.







S-37



Appendix III.

Spectral Copies of ¹H NMR of hydrogenation reaction of alkenes and

alkynes











tbai-1-180-4 (1H NMR 600 MHz C6D6)





Appendix IV.

¹H NMR of Poisoning Effect experiments for Co(bisphosphoranimide) B catalyzed hydrogenation reaction.



31P NMR of Iron complex A (C6D6, 243 MHz)

and and a state of the state of

140 130 120 110 100 90 80 70 60 50 40 30 20	10 0 -10 -20	-30 -40 -50 -60 -70 - fl (ppm)	-80 -90 -110 -130	-150 -170	-190 -210 -230
Cobalt complex B-H (1H NMR 600 MHz C6D6)		6 83	1 595	0. 983 0. 560 0. 283	

district in

Cobalt complex B-P (31P NMR, 243 MHz, C6D6)

140 130 120 110 100 90 80 70 60 50 40 30 20 10 0 -10 -20 -30 -40 -50 -60 -70 -80 -90 -110 -130 -150 -170 -190 -210 -230

Appendix V. Crystallographic Data for X-ray.

i. Crystal structure of iron complex A

Identification code	Fe(bis-phosphoranimide)	
Empirical formula	C49.50 H111.50 Fe2 N4 P4	
Formula weight	998.51	
Temperature	100(2) K	
Wavelength	0.71073	
Crystal system	Triclinic	
Space group	P-1	
Unit cell dimensions	a =12.448(2) Å	α= 83.232(5)°
	b=13.300(2) Å	β= 80.779(5)°
	c=19.898(3) Å	γ= 64.931(5)°
Volume	2940.8(9) Å ³	
Z	2	
Density (calculated)	1.128g/cm ³	
Absorption coefficient	0.635mm ⁻¹	
F(000)	1097	
Crystal size	0.25 x 0.23 x 0.11 mm ³	
Theta range for data collection	2.95-28.31°	
Index ranges	-14<=h<=16, -17<=k<=17, -26<=l<=2	6
Reflections collected	38231	
Independent reflections	14386 [R(int) = 0.060]	
Completeness to theta $= 30.41$	° 98.2%	
Absorption correction	Multi-scan; SADABS	
Max. and min. transmission	0.7457 and 0.5936	
Refinement method	difference Fourier map on F ²	
Data / restraints / parameters	14386/ 1 / 787	
Goodness-of-fit on F2	1.035	
Final R indices [I>2sigma(I)]	R1 = 0.0622, wR2 = 0.1601	
R indices (all data)	R1 = 0.0836, wR2 = 0.1834	
Largest diff. peak and hole	0.912 and -0.949 e.Å-3	

Crystal data and structure refinement for A (CCDC: 1525454)

ii. Crystal structure of Cobalt complex B

Identification code	Co(bis-phosphoranimide)	
Empirical formula	$C_{53}H_{120}Co_2N_4P_4$	
Formula weight	1055.26	
Temperature	100(2) K	
Wavelength	0.71073	
Crystal system	Orthorhombic	
Space group	$Pna2_1$	
Unit cell dimensions	a = 24.103 (1) Å	α= 90.000(0)°
	b=22.7743 (10) Å	β= 90.000(0)°
	c= 22.3132 (9) Å	γ= 90.000(0)°
Volume	12248.4 (9) Å ³	
Z	8	
Density (calculated)	1.145g/cm ³	
Absorption coefficient	0.681mm ⁻¹	
F(000)	4640	
Crystal size	0.20 x 0.18 x 0.16 mm ³	
Theta range for data collection	2.83-27.53°	
Index ranges	-31<=h<=31, -29<=k<=29, -24<=l<=28	8
Reflections collected	172361	
Independent reflections	25317 [R(int) = 0.044]	
Completeness to theta = 30.41°	99.8%	
Absorption correction	Multi-scan; SADABS	
Max. and min. transmission	0.7456 and 0.6874	
Refinement method	difference Fourier map on F ²	
Data / restraints / parameters	25317/13/1067	
Goodness-of-fit on F2	1.019	
Final R indices [I>2sigma(I)]	R1 = 0.0488, wR2 = 0.1200	
R indices (all data)	R1 = 0.0608, wR2 = 0.1117	
Largest diff. peak and hole	0.897 and -0.952 e.Å ⁻³	

Crystal data and structure refinement for A (CCDC: 1542180)