Contents

Single Crystal X-ray diffraction	1
Table S1 Crystal data and structure refinement parameters for 1 and 2	2
Figure S1 Structure of 2.	3
Figure S2 Detail of the core in 1	3
Figure S3 Crystal packing diagram of 1	4
Table S2 Bond valence sum values for 1 and 2	4
Figure S4 Powder X-ray diffraction pattern of 1	6
Figure S5 Energy of the spin states	7
Figure S6 Magnetisation versus field plots for 1 and 2	8
Figure S7 Ac susceptibility plots for 1 and 2	8
Figure S8 Cyclic Voltammetry of ligand H ₂ L1	9
References	9

Single Crystal X-ray diffraction

Crystallographic data were collected for **1** and **2** at 100 K using Mo-K α radiation (λ = 0.71073 Å). For **1** a Bruker APEXII CCD diffractometer with an Oxford Cryosystems n-Helix low-temperature device mounted on a sealed tube generator was used; for **2** a Rigaku AFC12 goniometer equipped with an (HG) Saturn724+ detector mounted on an FR-E+ SuperBright rotating anode generator with HF Varimax optics (100µm focus).¹ All the structures were solved using SHELXT² and refined using full-matrix least squares refinement on *F*² using SHELX2014³ within OLEX2.⁴ Unless otherwise stated below positional and anisotropic adps were refined for all non-H atoms and hydrogen atoms were placed in geometrically calculated positions and included as part of a riding model, except those from the NH₂ groups in complex **1** (*vide infra*). Rigid-bond restraints (RIGU)⁵ have been applied to both **1** and **2**.

For **1** there was some disorder present in the structure with one CH_3CN molecule modelled over 2 partially occupied sites with occupancies refined competitively for the two parts. Distance restraints were applied and isotropic adps retained for the nitrogen atoms and carbon atoms of the minor component. Similarly for O4s and O5s, lattice water molecules, which were refined to sum to an occupancy of 1 and are approx 0.75 and 0.25 occupied respectively. The NH₂ hydrogen atoms were located from difference Fourier maps and refined with distance restraints and Uiso=1.2U(eq) for N.

For **2** disorder is also present in the HEt₃N⁺, with one ethyl group modelled over two partially occupied sites with 0.8:0.2 occupancies. Distance restraints were applied and all partially occupied sites were refined with isotropic adps. The minor disorder component overlaps with a partially occupied CH₃OH molecule modelled as 0.7 occupied, also with isotropic adps. A second partially occupied CH₃OH (0.3) is present in the lattice. Hydrogen atoms were not included explicitly in the model for the partially occupied CH₃OH molecules but are included in the unit cell contents and all values derived from them. SQUEEZE was used to account for a region of disordered solvent which calculated 4 symmetry equivalent voids of 190 Å³ containing 42 electrons, approximately corresponding to 4 molecules of H₂O per complex.

Complex	1	2
T/K	100(2)	100(2)
Crystal system	monoclinic	monoclinic
Space group	$P2_{1}/n$	$P2_{1}/n$
$a/\text{\AA}, b/\text{\AA}, c/\text{\AA}$	12.1753(12), 23.756(3), 26.951(3)	12.0222(3) 12.0473(4) 49.8716(12)
$eta/^{\circ}$	95.658(3)	90.877(2)
$V/Å^3$	7757.0(14)	7222.3(3)
Ζ	4	4
$ ho_{calc}/mg/m^3$	1.547	1.430
μ/mm^{-1}	0.880	0.918
F(000)	3728.0	3192.0
2θ range for data collection	3.038 to 50.122°	4.702 to 50.054°
Index ranges	$-14 \le h \le 14, -28 \le k \le 28,$ $-32 \le l \le 32$	$-12 \le h \le 14, -14 \le k \le 14, -59 \le l \le 54$
Reflections collected	117295	54397
Data/restraints/parameters	13738/1097/1071	12756/988/855
GOF on F^2	1.046	1.228
Final <i>R</i> indexes $[I \ge 2\sigma(I)]$	$R_1 = 0.0381, wR_2 = 0.0939$	$R_1 = 0.0896, wR_2 = 0.2087$
Final R indexes [all data]	$R_1 = 0.0496, wR_2 = 0.1018$	$R_1 = 0.1027, wR_2 = 0.2144$
Largest diff. peak/hole/e Å ⁻³	0.81/-0.59	0.93/-0.72

 Table S1 Crystal data and structure refinement parameters for complexes 1 and 2.

Figure S1 Structure of the anionic complex in **2**. C, grey; Mn, lavender; N, blue; O, red; Hydrogen atoms and crystallisation molecules are omitted for clarity. Only crystallographically unique Mn, N and O atoms are labelled.



Figure S2 Detail of the core in **1**. The planes formed by the different $\{Mn_3O\}$ units are shown in blue (Mn1, Mn2, Mn3) and green (Mn1, Mn4, Mn5).



Figure S3 Crystal packing diagram of **1** highlighting the N-H…N interaction (orange) between two adjacent molecules as viewed along the a-axis



Table S2 Bond valence sum values for complexes **1** and **2** calculated using the equation $s = e^{[(r_0 - r)/B]}$ with the appropriate values taken from the literature.^{6–8}

		Bond Distance (Å)		Mn (II) B	VS Value	Mn (III) BVS Value		
		1	2	1	2	1	2	
Mn1	N1A	2.097	2.163	0.5115703	0.42799291	0.49524499	0.41433474	
Mn1	N1B	2.094	2.153	0.51573503	0.43971802	0.49927682	0.42568568	
Mn1	N1C	2.169	2.070	0.42110846	0.55029692	0.40766998	0.53273576	
Mn1	N1D	2.154	2.078	0.4385312	0.53852631	0.42453673	0.52134079	
Mn1	01	1.875	1.885	0.74282314	0.72301571	0.67943991	0.6613226	
Mn1	02	1.870	1.877	0.75292942	0.73881871	0.68868385	0.67577717	
Sum				3.38269754	3.41836859	3.19485227	3.23119673	

		Bond Distance (Å)		Mn (II) B'	VS Value	Mn (III) BVS Value		
		1	2	1	2	1	2	
Mn2	01	1.893	1.884	0.70755073	0.72497245	0.6471772	0.66311237	
Mn2	O3	1.963	1.966	0.58559015	0.58086132	0.53562321	0.53129788	
Mn2	O5	2.196	2.192	0.31196482	0.31535571	0.28534564	0.28844719	
Mn2	O5C	1.878	1.88	0.7368246	0.73285251	0.67395321	0.67032005	
Mn2	N5C	2.293	2.29	0.30119421	0.30364625	0.29158246	0.29395625	
Mn2	N2A	2.044	2.025	0.59035748	0.62146501	0.57151791	0.60163273	
Sum				3.23348199	3.27915325	3.00519964	3.04876648	

		Bond Distance (Å)		Mn (II) B	VS Value	Mn (III) Value		
		1	2	1	2	1	2	
Mn3	01	1.889	1.896	0.71524143	0.70183703	0.65421167	0.64195104	
Mn3	O4	2.196	2.214	0.31196482	0.2971514	0.28534564	0.27179622	
Mn3	O6	1.975	1.950	0.56690272	0.60653066	0.51853033	0.55477692	
Mn3	O5D	1.888	1.884	0.71717713	0.72497245	0.6559822	0.66311237	
Mn3	N2B	2.043	2.031	0.5919552	0.61146847	0.57306464	0.5919552	
Mn3	N5D	2.295	2.263	0.29957053	0.32663272	0.29001059	0.31620917	
Sum				3.20281181	3.26859273	2.97714508	3.03980092	

		Bond Distance (Å)		Mn (II)		Mn (III)	
		1	2	1	2	1	2
Mn4	O2	1.894	1.889	0.70564101	0.71524143	0.64543044	0.65421167
Mn4	07	1.975	1.958	0.56690272	0.59355724	0.51853033	0.54291049
Mn4	O9	2.167	2.180	0.33739987	0.32575112	0.30861039	0.2979556
Mn4	O5B/O5A	1.868	1.882	0.75701033	0.72890183	0.69241654	0.66670647
Mn4	N2C/N2D	2.027	2.035	0.6181148	0.6048936	0.59838943	0.58559015
Mn4	N5B/N5A	2.311	2.308	0.28689223	0.28922784	0.27773688	0.27999796
Sum				3.27196096	3.25757306	3.04111401	3.02737234

		Bond Distance (Å)		Mn	(II)	Mn (III)		
		1	2	1	2	1	2	
Mn5	O2	1.889	1.892	0.71524143	0.70946562	0.65421167	0.6489287	
Mn5	O8	2.205	2.208	0.30446803	0.30200935	0.27848854	0.27623965	
Mn5	O10	1.955	1.954	0.59838943	0.60000889	0.54733036	0.54881164	
Mn5	O5A/O5B	1.879	1.889	0.73483587	0.71524143	0.67213417	0.65421167	
Mn5	N2D/N2C	2.026	2.036	0.61978764	0.60326096	0.60000889	0.58400961	
Mn5	N5A/N5B	2.291	2.268	0.3028267	0.32224845	0.29316285	0.31196482	
Sum				3.27554909	3.2522347	3.04533648	3.02416609	

Figure S4 A Powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD) pattern obtained from a powdered polycrystalline sample of **1** (red) compared to the pattern calculated using Mercury based on the .cif of the crystal structure (black).



Note that for **2**, it was not possible to compare the experimental and calculated PXRD patterns. This is likely due to structural differences caused by solvent exchange between the sample and the environment.

Figure S5 Energy of the spin states derived from the exchange-coupling model shown in Figure 4 for 1 (top) and 2 (bottom).



Figure S6 Magnetisation versus field at 2, 4 and 6 K for 1 (left) and 2 (right).



Figure S7 Variable frequency ac susceptibility with in-phase (χ ') and out-of-phase (χ '') responses indicated for **1** (left) and **2** (right).



Figure S8 CV of a 2 mM solution of ligand H_2L1 at a scan rate of 100 mV/sec over the range +1 to -2.5 V *vs.* ferrocene/ferrocenium.



References

- 1. S. J. Coles and P. A. Gale, *Chem. Sci.*, 2012, **3**, 683-689.
- 2. G. Sheldrick, *Acta Cryst. A*, 2015, **71**, 3-8.
- 3. G. Sheldrick, *Acta Cryst. C*, 2015, **71**, 3-8.
- 4. O. V. Dolomanov, L. J. Bourhis, R. J. Gildea, J. A. K. Howard and H. Puschmann, *J. Appl. Cryst.*, 2009, **42**, 339-341.
- 5. A. Thorn, B. Dittrich and G. M. Sheldrick, *Acta Cryst. Sect. A*, 2012, **68**, 448-451.
- 6. I. . Brown and R. . Shannon, Acta Cryst. A, 1973, 29, 266–282.
- 7. I. Brown and D. Altermatt, *Acta Cryst. B*, 1985, **41**, 244–247.
- 8. I. Brown and K. Wu, Acta Cryst. B, 1976, **32**, 1957–1959.