Electronic Supplementary Material (ESI) for Dalton Transactions. This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2017

# **Electronic supplementary information**

for

### Hydrothermally encapsulating VO<sub>2</sub>(A) nanorods into amorphous carbon by the

### carbonization of glucose as energy storage device

by

Jiqi Zheng, Yifu Zhang\*, Qiushi Wang, Hanmei Jiang, Yanyan Liu, Tianming Lv, Changgong Meng

#### Synthesis of pure VO<sub>2</sub>(A) nanomaterials

 $VO_2(A)$  nanomaterials was synthesized according to the previous report (*Solid State Commun.*, **2012**, *152*, 253) and modified. In a typical run, 1.82 g commercial  $V_2O_5$  was dispersed into the mixture of 60 mL H<sub>2</sub>O and 10 mL ethanol under magnetic stirring at a room temperature for 30 min. The solution was transferred into a 100 mL Teflon Lined stainless steel autoclave, which was sealed and maintained at 180 °C for 96 h. The as-synthesized sample was filtered off and washed several times with deionized water and ethanol, respectively, and then dried in vacuum for use. Then 0.71 g of the as-obtained sample  $VO_2(B)$  was dispersed into 60 mL H<sub>2</sub>O and transferred to a 100 mL Teflon Lined stainless steel autoclave, which was heated at 220 °C for 12 days. The as-synthesized sample was filtered off and washed several times with deionized times with deionized water and ethanol, respectively, and then dries and then dried in vacuum at 75 °C. XRD pattern (Fig. S1) revealed that pure-phase  $VO_2(A)$  was synthesized.

#### Synthesis of carbon spheres

The carbon spheres were synthesized by a hydrothermal method (*Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, **2014**, *43*, 597). 1M aqueous glucose solution was transferred into a 50 mL Teflon Lined stainless steel autoclave, which was heated at 180 °C for 4 h. After washing with deionized water and ethanol and drying, the sample was then annealed in Ar at 700 °C for 2 h.





Fig. S1. XRD patterns of the as-obtained VO<sub>2</sub>(A) and carbon spheres.



Fig. S2. CV curves of  $VO_2(A)$ @C composites and Ni foam collected at a scan rate of 20 mV·s<sup>-1</sup>.





Fig. S3. XRD patterns of the synthesized  $V_2O_5$  nanowires.



Fig. S4. SEM images of the synthesized  $V_2O_5$  nanowires.

# Fig. S5



Fig. S5. TEM images of  $VO_2(A)@C$  composites synthesized with different amount of glucose: (a) 1 g;

(b) 2 g.



Fig. S6. XRD patterns of the products synthesized with different reaction times.



Fig. S7. CV curves of VO<sub>2</sub>(A)@C composites on various potential limits collected at a scan rate of 20

 $mV\!\cdot\!s^{-1}.$ 



Fig. S8. Galvanostatic charge-discharge curves of  $VO_2(A)$ @C composites synthesized with different amount of glucose collected at a current density of 1  $A \cdot g^{-1}$ .



Fig. S9.  $VO_2$  contents of the  $VO_2(A)$  @C core-shell composites synthesized with 1 g, 1.5 g, 2 g, 2.5g

and 3 g glucose.



Fig. S10. The coulombic efficiency of VO<sub>2</sub>(A)@C composites and pure VO<sub>2</sub>(A) calculated based on

the GCD curves (Fig. 4f).

## Fig. S11



Fig. S11. SEM images of the working electrodes before (a) and after (b) the cycles in  $Na_2SO_4$  aqueous

solution.





Fig. S12. Cycling performance of VO<sub>2</sub>(A)@C composites collected at various current densities.



Fig. S13. CV curves collected at a scan rate of 20 mV  $\cdot$  s<sup>-1</sup> (a) and GCD curves collected at a current density of 1 A  $\cdot$  g<sup>-1</sup> (b) of VO<sub>2</sub>(A)@C composites in 0.5 M Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> aqueous electrolyte and LiCl/PVA gel electrolyte.





Fig. S14. CV curves collected at a scan rate of 20 mV·s<sup>-1</sup> (a) and GCD curves collected at a current density of  $1 \text{ A} \cdot \text{g}^{-1}$  (b) of the AC//VO<sub>2</sub>(A)@C device in 0.5 M Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> aqueous electrolyte and



Fig. 15. Cycling performance of AC//VO<sub>2</sub>(A)@C ASC device collected at a scan rate of 50 mV s<sup>-1</sup> for 1600 cycles in LiCl/PVA gel electrolyte.

#### Table S1

Types of device	Electrolyte	Specific capacitance /F·cm <sup>-2</sup>	Cyclic performance	Reference
VO <sub>2</sub> (A)//AC ASCs	0.5 M Na <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub>	0.50, 5 mV·s <sup>-1</sup>	34.6% retention after 1000 cycles	This work
VO <sub>2</sub> (A)//AC ASCs	5 M LiCl/PVA	0.22, 20 mV·s <sup>-1</sup>	90.3% retention after 1000 cycles	This work
V <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> SSCs	1 M LiClO <sub>4</sub> /PVA	0.38, 1 mV·s <sup>-1</sup>	88% retention after 1000 cycles	[1]
PET/Pt/MnO <sub>2</sub> SSCs	H <sub>3</sub> PO <sub>4</sub> /PVA	0.2, 10 mV·s <sup>-1</sup>	82.2% retention after 10000 cycles	[2]
WO <sub>3-x</sub> /MoO <sub>3-x</sub> //PANI/carbon fabric ASCs	H <sub>3</sub> PO <sub>4</sub> /PVA	0.216, 2 mA·cm <sup>-1</sup>	75% retention after 10000 cycles	[3]
PPy@MnO2@rGO SSCs	H <sub>3</sub> PO <sub>4</sub> /PVA	0.41, 0.1 mA·cm <sup>-3</sup>	92% retention after 4950 cycles	[4]
NiCo2O4 SSCs	KOH/PVA	0.16, 1 mA·cm <sup>-2</sup>	100% after 3000 cycles	[5]
SWNT-MnO2 SSCs	2 M Li <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub>	0.41	100% retention after 35000 cycles	[6]

Table 1. Comparison of the electrochemical performance of supercapacitor devices.

ASCs = Asymmetric Supercapacitors; SSCs = Symmetric Supercapacitors; M = mol L<sup>-1</sup>; PVA =

Polyvinyl Alcohol

[1] B. Pandit, D.P. Dubal, B.R. Sankapal, Electrochimica Acta, 242 (2017) 382-389.

[2] X. Long, Z.G. Zeng, E.J. Guo, X.B. Shi, H.J. Zhou, X.H. Wang, Journal of Power Sources, 325 (2016) 264-272.

[3] X. Xiao, T.P. Ding, L.Y. Yuan, Y.Q. Shen, Q. Zhong, X.H. Zhang, Y.Z. Cao, B. Hu, T. Zhai, L.

Gong, J. Chen, Y.X. Tong, J. Zhou, Z.L. Wang, Advanced Energy Materials, 2 (2012) 1328-1332.

[4] Y. Huang, H. Hu, Y. Huang, M.S. Zhu, W.J. Meng, C. Liu, Z.X. Pei, C.L. Hao, Z.K. Wang, C.Y.

Zhi, ACS Nano, 9 (2015) 4766-4775.

[5] Q. Wang, X. Wang, B. Liu, G. Yu, X. Hou, D. Chen, G. Shen, Journal of Materials Chemistry A, 1 (2013) 2468-2473.

[6] L.B. Hu, M. Pasta, F. La Mantia, L.F. Cui, S. Jeong, H.D. Deshazer, J.W. Choi, S.M. Han, Y. Cui, Nano Letters, 10 (2010) 708-714.