Supporting Information for:

Ligands dependent assembly of trinuclear titanium-oxo units into coordination tetrahedron and capsule

Xi Fan^{ab}, Nagaraju Narayanam^{ab}, Meiyan Gao^a, Lei Zhang^{a*} and Jian Zhang^a

a. State Key Laboratory of Structural Chemistry, Fujian Institute of Research on the Structure of Matter, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Fuzhou, Fujian 350002, China.
b. University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, 100049 Beijing, China.

Corresponding Author E-mail: LZhang@fjirsm.ac.cn

Experimental Section

Materials and Instrumentation. We collected the Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR) data on a PerkinElmer Spectrum 100 FT-IR Spectrometer. Thermogravimetric analyses (TGA) were performed on a Mettler Toledo TGA/SDTA 851e analyzer in N₂ with a heating rate of 10°C min-1 from 20°C to 800°C. Powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD) data analysis were collected on a Rigaku Mini Flex II diffractometer using CuK α radiation (λ =1.54056 Å) in the 2 θ range of 5–50° with a scanning rate of 5° min⁻¹. The UV diffuse reflection data were recorded at room temperature using a powder sample with BaSO₄ as a standard (100% reflectance) on a PerkinElmer Lamda-950 UV spectrophotometer and scanned at 200-800 nm. The absorption data are calculated from the Kubelka-Munk function, (*F*(R) = (1-R)²/2R), where R representing the reflectance.

Chemicals and Materials

All the reagents and solvents were purchased commercially and were not further purified when used. $Ti(O'Pr)_4$ were purchased from Adamas, while as isopropanol and formic acid were bought from Sino pharm Chemical Reagent Beijing.

Synthesis of PTC-75: Phosphorous acid (0.053 g, 0.65 mmol), nicotinic acid (0.080 g, 0.65 mmol), and isopropyl alcohol (5.5 ml) were mixed at room temperature; then Ti(OⁱPr)4 (0.92 ml, 3.0 mmol) was added dropwise. The resultant solution was heated at 80°C for four 2 days. After cooled to room temperature, colourless crystals of **PTC-75** were obtained.

Synthesis of PTC-76: Phosphorous acid (0.051 g, 0.65 mmol), nicotinic acid (0.082 g, 0.65 mmol), CuCl (0.022 g, 0.22mmol) and isopropyl alcohol (5.5 ml) were mixed at room temperature; then $Ti(O^{i}Pr)_{4}$ (0.92 ml, 3.0 mmol) was added dropwise. The resultant solution was heated at 80°C for four 2 days. After cooled to room temperature, yellow hexagonal crystals of **PTC-76** were obtained.

Synthesis of PTC-77: It was synthesized by a procedure similar to that of **PTC-76** except that the CuCl was replaced by CuBr (0.032 g, 0.22 mmol).

Synthesis of PTC-78: Phosphorous acid (0.053 g, 0.65 mmol), 4,4'-Biphenyldisulfonic acid (0.05 g, 0.159 mmol), and isopropyl alcohol (5.5 ml) were mixed at room temperature; then Ti(OⁱPr)4 (0.92 ml, 3.0 mmol) was added dropwise. The resultant solution was heated at 60°C for four 2 days. After cooled to room temperature, colourless crystals of **PTC-78** were obtained.

General Methods for X-ray Crystallography. The structure determination of **PTC-75**, **PTC-76** and **PTC-77** was performed at 293(K) on the Xcalibur diffractometer using graphite-monochromated Mo-K radiation. Crystallographic data of complexes **PTC-78** were collected on a Supernova single crystal diffractometer equipped with graphite-monochromatic CuK radiation ($\lambda = 1.54178$ Å) at 100 K. The structure was solved with direct

methods using SHELXS-2014 and refined with the full-matrix least-squares technique based on F^2 using the SHELXL-2014. Non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically, and all hydrogen atoms bond C were generated geometrically.

Identification code	PTC-75
Empirical formula	$C_{42}H_{80}N_2O_{24}P_2Ti_6$
Formula weight	1346.42
Temperature/K	100
Crystal system	monoclinic
Space group	P21/n
a/Å	13.2246(5)
b/Å	14.5545(4)
<i>c</i> /Å	16.8862(5)
α/°	90
β/°	99.369(3)
γ/°	90
Volume/Å ³	3206.86(18)
Z	2
$\rho_{calc} g/cm^3$	1.394
μ/mm^{-1}	0.831
F(000)	1400.0
Crystal size/mm ³	0.3× 0.2 × 0.2
Radiation	ΜοΚα (λ = 0.71073)
20 range for data collection/°	6.818 to 49.998
Index ranges	$-15 \le h \le 10, -17 \le k \le 17, -20 \le l \le 19$
Reflections collected	14008
Independent reflections	5633 [$R_{int} = 0.0281$, $R_{sigma} = 0.0424$]
Data/restraints/parameters	5633/0/352
Goodness-of-fit on F ²	1.197
Final R indexes $*I > = 2\sigma(I) +$	$R_1 = 0.0545, wR_2 = 0.1694$
Final <i>R</i> indexes [all data]	$R_1 = 0.0796, wR_2 = 0.1869$
Largest diff. peak/hole / e A ⁻³	1.13/-0.74

Table S1. Crystal data and structure refinement for PTC-75.

Table S2	Crystal dat	a and strue	ture refine	ment for PT	C-76
Table JZ.	Ci ystai ua	a anu suu			L-/U.

Identification code	PTC-76
Empirical formula	$C_{81}H_{162}CICuN_3O_{39}P_3Ti_9$
Formula weight	2425.13
Temperature/K	100
Crystal system	trigonal
Space group	P-3
a/Å	19.3473(7)
b/Å	19.3473(7)
<i>c</i> /Å	20.4709(10)
α/°	90
<i>в</i> /°	90
γ/°	120
Volume/Å ³	6636.0(6)
Ζ	2
$\rho_{calc} \mathrm{g/cm}^3$	1.214

µ/mm⁻¹	0.789
F(000)	2540.0
Crystal size/mm ₃	$0.5 \times 0.44 \times 0.28$
Radiation	ΜοΚα (λ = 0.71073)
20 range for data collection/°	6.734 to 47.998
Index ranges	$-22 \le h \le 16, -21 \le k \le 22, -16 \le l \le 22$
Reflections collected	20546
Independent reflections	6816 [<i>R_{int}</i> = 0.0648, <i>R_{sigma}</i> = 0.1034]
Data/restraints/parameters	6816/5/425
Goodness-of-fit on <i>F</i> ²	0.994
Final <i>R</i> indexes [<i>I>=2σ (I)</i>]	$R_1 = 0.0801, wR_2 = 0.2250$
Final R indexes [all data]	$R_1 = 0.1461, wR_2 = 0.2588$
Largest diff. peak/hole / <i>e</i> Å ⁻³	1.35/-0.36

Table S3. Crystal data and structure refinement for PTC-77.

Identification code	PTC-77
Empirical formula	$C_{81}H_{162}BrCuN_3O_{39}P_3Ti_9$
Formula weight	2469.59
Temperature/K	100
Crystal system	trigonal
Space group	P-3
a/Å	19.3398(8)
b/Å	19.3398(8)
c/Å	20.4045(12)
α/°	90
<i>в</i> /°	90
γ/°	120
Volume/Å ³	6609.4(7)
Ζ	2
$ ho_{calc}$ g/cm ³	1.241
μ/mm^{-1}	1.075
F(000)	2576.0
Crystal size/mm ³	0.52 × 0.33 × 0.25
Radiation	ΜοΚ _α (λ = 0.71073)
20 range for data collection/°	7.298 to 49.984
Index ranges	$-17 \le h \le 22, -22 \le k \le 14, -24 \le l \le 17$
Reflections collected	14635
Independent reflections	7716 [R_{int} = 0.0390, R_{sigma} = 0.1042]
Data/restraints/parameters	7716/7/425
Goodness-of-fit on <i>F</i> ²	0.950
Final R indexes $*I > = 2\sigma(I) +$	$R_1 = 0.0640, wR_2 = 0.1680$
Final <i>R</i> indexes [all data]	$R_1 = 0.1215, wR_2 = 0.1954$
Largest diff. peak/hole / <i>e</i> Å ⁻³	0.71/-0.55

Table S4. Crystal data and structure refinement for PTC-78.

Identification code	PTC-78
Empirical formula	$C_{162}H_{318}O_{84}P_6S_6Ti_{18}$
Formula weight	808.42
Temperature/K	100
Crystal system	trigonal
Space group	P-31C
a/Å	18.9359(5)
b/Å	18.9359(5)
<i>c</i> /Å	49.4964(14)
α/°	90.00
<i>в</i> /°	90.00
γ/°	120.00
Volume/Å ³	15370.1(7)
Z	1
ρ_{calc} g/cm ³	1.048
μ/mm ⁻¹	4.940
F(000)	5088.0
Crystal size/mm ³	$0.3 \times 0.2 \times 0.2$
Radiation	CuKα (λ = 1.54178)
20 range for data collection/°	7.14 to 106.78
Index ranges	$-12 \le h \le 19, -14 \le k \le 19, -27 \le l \le 50$
Reflections collected	22333
Independent reflections	$6029 [R_{int} = 0.0422, R_{sigma} = 0.0353]$
Data/restraints/parameters	6029/83/429
Goodness-of-fit on <i>F</i> ²	1.407
Final <i>R</i> indexes $*I > = 2\sigma(I) +$	$R_1 = 0.1146, wR_2 = 0.3429$
Final <i>R</i> indexes [all data]	$R_1 = 0.1397, wR_2 = 0.3645$
Largest diff. peak/hole / <i>e</i> Å ⁻³	0.98/-0.85



Figure S1. Packing diagram of PTC-75 in the view of (a) *a*-axis, (b) *b*-axis and (c) *c*-axis.



Figure S2. Packing diagram of PTC-76 in the view of (a) *a*-axis and (b) *b*-axis.



Figure S3. Packing diagram of PTC-78 in the view of (a) *a*-axis and (b) *b*-axis.



Figure S4. Illustration the formation of PTC-75 from $Ti_3(\mu_3-O)$ units.



Figure S5. Illustration the formation of PTC-76 and PTC-77 from $Ti_3(\mu_3-0)$ units.



Figure S6. Illustration the formation of PTC-78 from $Ti_3(\mu_3$ -O) units.



Figure S7. Comparison of the assembly of $Ti_3(\mu_3-O)$ units into $\{Ti_6P_2\}$ cluster core of PTC-75 (a), and triangular $\{Ti_9P_3\}$ layer in PTC-76 or PTC-77 (b).



Figure S8. Illustration of the dihedral angle between the oxygen plane of the phosphite ligands and the titanium plane of $Ti_3(\mu_3-O)$ unit in PTC-75 (a) and PTC-76 (b).



Figure S9. Comparable illustration of titanium coordination environments in **PTC-76** (a) and **PTC-78** (b). Green polyhedrons represent six-coordinated titanium, purple polyhedron represent five-coordinated titanium.



Figure S10. Experimental and simulated powder X-Ray diffraction patterns for PTC-75.



Figure S11. Experimental and simulated powder X-Ray diffraction patterns for PTC-76 and PTC-77.



Figure S12. Experimental and simulated powder X-Ray diffraction patterns for PTC-78.



Figure S13. The IR spectrum of PTC-75.



Figure S14. The IR spectrum of PTC-76.



Figure S15. The IR spectrum of PTC-77.



Figure S16. The IR spectrum of PTC-78.



Figure S17. The TGA curve of PTC-75.



Figure S18. The TGA curve of PTC-76.



Figure S19. The TGA curve of PTC-77.



Figure S20. The TGA curve of PTC-78.



Figure S21. The solid-state absorption spectra of PTC-75 to PTC-78.