Electronic Supplementary Material (ESI) for Dalton Transactions. This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2017

## **Table of Contents**

Table S1: Crystallographic data and structure refinements for 1-3	<b>S2</b>
Table S2: Selected bond lengths and angles of 1-3	<b>S3</b>
Figure S1: View of molecular structure of 1-3·····	<b>S5</b>
<b>Figure S2</b> : View of $\pi$ - $\pi$ stacking in 1-3	<b>S5</b>
Figure S3: $M$ vs $H/T$ plots for 1-3	<b>S5</b>
Figure S4: Angular dependence measurement along XYZ rotation for 1	<b>S6</b>
Figure S5: Angular dependence measurement along XYZ rotation for 2	<b>S6</b>
Figure S6: Angular dependence measurement along XYZ rotation for 3	<b>S6</b>
Figure S7: Angular dependence of susceptibility at 3 K for 2 and 3	<b>S7</b>
Table S3: Values (cm <sup>3</sup> ·K·mol <sup>-1</sup> ) of corresponding principal axis of 1-3·····	<b>S7</b>
<b>Figure S8</b> : $\chi_m T$ values along principal axes of <b>1-3</b>	<b>S8</b>
Figure S9:Easy axis (red) direction of 1-3	<b>S8</b>
Figure S10: Electrostatic potential surface of Ising ground states	<b>S8</b>
Figure S11: magnetic easy axis directions from electrostatic simulations	<b>S9</b>
Table S4: Energies of the lowest spin-orbit states for 1-3·····	<b>S9</b>
Table S5: g tensor of the ground state for 1-3	<b>S9</b>
<b>Table S6</b> : the wave function composition of ground state for 1-3	<b>S10</b>
Figure S12: Temperature dependence ac susceptibility for 1-3	<b>S10</b>
<b>Figure S13</b> : Relaxation time ( $\tau$ ) versus temperature ( $T^{-1}$ ) plots for <b>1-3</b>	<b>S10</b>
Table S7: Detailed parameters fit by Orbach and Raman process for 1-3·····	<b>S11</b>

	Ce-fdh (1)	Pr-fdh (2)	Nd-fdh (3)
Molecular formula	$C_{34}H_{38}O_6N_2F_9Ce$	$C_{34}H_{38}O_6N_2F_9Pr$	$C_{34}H_{38}O_6N_2F_9Nd$
Mr	881.77	882.57	885.91
Crystal system	triclinic	Triclinic	Triclinic
Space group	pl	pl	pl
<i>a</i> , Å	9.5494(3)	9.5517(3)	9.5480(4)
b, Å	12.2844(6)	12.2277(4)	12.2023(5)
<i>c</i> , Å	17.9636(5)	17.9577(5)	17.9158(7)
α, °	99.984(3)	99.871(2)	99.810(3)
<i>β</i> , °	104.048(3)	103.967(3)	103.867(3)
γ, °	101.288(3)	101.416(3)	101.481(3)
<i>V</i> , Å <sup>3</sup>	1949.93	1941.4	1933.07
Ζ	2	2	2
<i>Т</i> , К	180	180	180
<i>F</i> (000)	886	888	890
λ, Å	0.71073	0.71073	0.71073
$R_1(I \ge 2\sigma(I))$	0.0433	0.0336	0.0453
wR <sub>2</sub> (all data)	0.0921	0. 0751	0.0947
S	1.052	1.060	1.070

Table S1. Crystallographic data and structure refinements for 1-3

	Ce-fdh (1)	Pr-fdh (2)	Nd-fdh (3)
Ln-O(1)	2.426(2)	2.410(2)	2.395(3)
Ln-O(2)	2.433(2)	2.415(2)	2.402(3)
Ln-O(3)	2.436(3)	2.409(2)	2.395(3)
Ln-O(4)	2.460(3)	2.442(2)	2.429(3)
Ln-O(5)	2.422(3)	2.400(2)	2.384(3)
Ln-O(6)	2.437(3)	2.416(2)	2.403(3)
Ln-N(1)	2.655(3)	2.631(3)	2.609(4)
Ln-N(2)	2.696(3)	2.670(3)	2.652(4)
O(1)- Ln-O(2)	70.08(8)	70.73(8)	71.08(10)
O(1)- Ln-O(4)	74.75(8)	75.06(8)	75.03(10)
O(1)- Ln-O(6)	123.19(9)	122.43(8)	122.86(10)
O(1)- Ln-N(1)	147.06(9)	147.60(8)	147.62(12)
O(1)- Ln-N(2)	145.17(9)	144.53(8)	144.14(11)
O(2)- Ln-O(4)	133.86(8)	134.72(8)	135.25(10)
O(2)- Ln-O(6)	76.47(8)	75.72(8)	75.52(10)
O(2)- Ln-N(1)	87.72(8)	87.38(8)	87.26(11)
O(2)- Ln-N(2)	144.74(9)	144.74(8)	144.78(11)
O(3)- Ln-O(1)	80.80(9)	81.43(8)	81.36(11)
O(3)- Ln-O(2)	76.15(8)	76.32(8)	76.29(10)
O(3)- Ln-O(4)	69.48(8)	69.96(7)	70.42(10)

Table S2. Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (°) of 1-3

O(3)- Ln-O(6)	133.15(8)	133.10(8)	132.73(11)
O(3)- Ln-N(1)	70.16(9)	70.08(8)	70.04(11)
O(3)- Ln-N(2)	103.59(9)	103.96(8)	104.19(11)
O(4)- Ln-N(1)	107.73(9)	107.77(8)	107.80(10)
O(4)- Ln-N(2)	74.71(8)	74.19(8)	73.81(10)
O(5)- Ln-O(1)	86.94(8)	85.35(8)	84.97(11)
O(5)- Ln-O(2)	117.27(8)	116.60(7)	116.49(10)
O(5)- Ln-O(3)	157.44(9)	157.14(8)	156.95(10)
O(5)- Ln-O(4)	89.10(8)	88.67(8)	88.18(10)
O(5)- Ln-O(6)	69.34(8)	69.75(8)	70.31(10)
O(5)- Ln-N(1)	125.52(9)	126.51(8)	126.91(11)
O(5)- Ln-N(2)	76.24(8)	76.71(8)	76.77(11)
O(6)- Ln-O(4)	149.47(8)	149.33(8)	149.02(10)
O(6)- Ln-N(1)	71.47(9)	71.75(8)	71.46(11)
O(6)- Ln-N(2)	79.18(9)	79.64(8)	79.57(10)
N(1)- Ln-N(2)	60.35(9)	60.90(8)	61.16(12)



Figure S1. View of molecular structures of **1-3**. The green planes represent the planes described in the main text.



Figure S2. View of  $\pi$ - $\pi$  stacking in 1-3. The green planes represent the bpy planes described in the main text.



Figure S3. *M* versus *H*/*T* plots for **1-3** 



Figure S4. Angular dependence of magnetic susceptibility along XYZ rotation for 1



Figure S5. Angular dependence of magnetic susceptibility along XYZ rotation for 2



Figure S6. Angular dependence of magnetic susceptibility along XYZ rotation for 3



Figure S7. Angular dependence of susceptibility at 3 K under 2 kOe for a)Pr and b)Nd. Experimental (circles), fitting (solid) and *ab initio* calculation (dashed).

		1 Ce <sup>III</sup>			2 Pr <sup>III</sup>		3 Nd <sup>III</sup>					
<i>T /</i> K	Xzz	Xxx	χ <sub>yy</sub>	Xzz	Xxx	χ <sub>yy</sub>	Xzz	Xxx	χ <sub>yy</sub>			
3.0	0.4020	0.0254	0.0122	0.1052	0.0164	0.0089	0.58299	0.05969	0.02852			
3.2	0.3770	0.0254	0.0122	0.1026	0.0181	0.0072	0.54616	0.05717	0.02673			
3.5	0.3450	0.0241	0.0111	0.1008	0.0173	0.0068	0.49895	0.05278	0.0258			
4.0	0.3016	0.0228	0.0102	0.0978	0.0159	0.0053	0.43655	0.04638	0.02188			
4.5	0.2684	0.0188	0.0085	0.0954	0.0145	0.0038	0.38961	0.04067	0.01901			
5.0	0.2400	0.0164	0.0063	0.0937	0.013	0.0029	0.3489	0.03636	0.01738			
6.0	0.1994	0.0137	0.0056	0.0911	0.0119	0.0025	0.29067	0.03038	0.01471			
7.5	0.1599	0.0112	0.0037	0.089	0.0113	0.0012	0.23261	0.02441	0.01228			
9.0	0.1335	0.0096	0.0024	0.0869	0.0101	0.0012	0.1941	0.02048	0.01047			
11.0	0.1086	0.0076	0.0015	0.084	0.0096	0.0006	0.15891	0.01667	0.00889			
12.8	0.0929	0.0061	0.0007	0.0813	0.0088	0.0005	0.13608	0.01431	0.00782			
15.0	0.0791	0.0040	0.0006	0.0776	0.0083	0.0004	0.11626	0.01286	0.00683			

Table S3. Values (cm<sup>3</sup>·K·mol<sup>-1</sup>) of corresponding principal axis of **1-3** 



Figure S8. Comparison of the  $\chi_m T$  values along easy/medium/hard axes of **1-3** from experiments (circle) and *ab initio* calculations



Figure S9. Easy axis (red) direction of **1-3**; The green plane represents the bpy plane as described in the main text.



Figure S10. Electrostatic potential surface of Ising ground states. From left to right represented  $|\pm 5/2 >$  for Ce<sup>III</sup>,  $|\pm 4 >$  for Pr<sup>III</sup>, and  $|\pm 9/2 >$  for Nd<sup>III</sup>.



Figure S11. The red and blue arrows indicate the magnetic easy axis directions of **1-3** determined from experiments and electrostatic simulations, respectively.

Energy State (cm <sup>-1</sup> )	1Ce <sup>III</sup>	2Pr <sup>III</sup>	3Nd <sup>III</sup>
1	0	0	0
2	0	45.10	0
3	339.63	234.41	106.42
4	339.63	330.21	106.42
5	685.46	453.23	166.40
6	685.46	582.04	166.40
7		628.24	268.87
8		789.06	268.87
9		830.86	408.62
10			408.62

Table S4. Energy levles determined by *an initio* for **1-3** 

Table S5. g tensors of the ground state for 1-3

	1Ce <sup>III</sup>		3Nd <sup>III</sup>	
	Ab initio	Exp	Ab initio	Exp
$g_x$	0.176	0.28	0.56	1.04
$g_y$	0.46	0.66	1.06	1.43
$g_z$	3.79	3.56	4.78	4.31

Та	b	le S	56	<b>)</b> .	W	'ave	f	unc	etic	on	co	om	po	DSI	iti	or	10	)f	tw	0	lov	v-1	yi	ng	; sta	ate	es :	for	1-,	3 c	al	cι	ıal	ted	lł	ŊУ	ab	)
																							~													~		

	initio													
1Ce <sup>III</sup>			2Pr <sup>I</sup>	П		3Nd <sup>III</sup>								
Wave function Composition of ground state														
M <sub>J</sub>	Ground	l doublet	M <sub>J</sub>	State1	State2	M <sub>J</sub>	Ground	l doublet						
-5/2	25.38%	66.57%	-4	36.12%	44.03%	-9/2	62.27%	0.25%						
-3/2	1.22%	0.21%	-3	1.51%	1.99%	-7/2	0.21%	0.52%						
-1/2	3.38%	3.25%	-2	8.07%	3.56%	-5/2	12.14%	0.95%						
+1/2	3.25%	3.38%	-1	2.11%	0.23%	-3/2	12.47%	0.56%						

+3/2	0.21%	1.22%	0	4.38%	0.40%	-1/2	8.99%	1.64%
+5/2	66.57%	25.38%	1	2.11%	0.23%	+1/2	1.64%	8.99%
			2	8.07%	3.56%	+3/2	0.56%	12.47%
			3	1.51%	1.99%	+5/2	0.95%	12.14%
			4	36.12%	44.03%	+7/2	0.52%	0.21%
						+9/2	0.25%	62.27%

Composition of Ground states calculated by ab initio

 $\begin{aligned} & \text{Ce}^{\text{III}}: \ 0.92 \mid \pm 5/2 > + \ 0.014 \mid \pm 3/2 > + \ 0.066 \mid \pm 1/2 > \\ & \text{Pr}^{\text{III}}: \ 0.72 \mid \pm 4 > + \ 0.03 \mid \pm 3 > + \ 0.16 \mid \pm 2 > + \ 0.04 \mid \pm 1 > + \ 0.05 \mid 0 > \\ & \text{Nd}^{\text{III}}: \ 0.62 \mid \pm 9/2 > + \ 0.01 \mid \pm 7/2 > + \ 0.13 \mid \pm 5/2 > + \ 0.13 \mid \pm 3/2 > + \ 0.11 \mid \pm 1/2 > \end{aligned}$ 



Figure S12. Out-of-phase signal  $(\chi_m'')$  versus frequency (v) plots for 1-3.



Figure S13. Relaxation time (ln $\tau$ ) versus inverse of temperature ( $T^{-1}$ ) plots for **1Ce**(a), **2Pr**(b) and **3Nd**(c). The solid lines represent the fitting Orbach process (red) by  $\tau^{-1} = \tau_0 \exp(-U_{\text{eff}}/T)$ , and Raman process (black) by  $\tau^{-1} = CT^n$ .

Discussion:

The effective energy barriers are as shown in table below by fitting  $\ln \tau$  vs 1/T using a linear Orbach process, but much smaller than the theoretical ones depicted in the main text. Raman process fitting plots for **1** and **3** feature as identically as Orbach plot in the studied temperature and frequency range, with parameter *n* in the common range of 4~9. However, it may be more complicated for **2** with over-large standard error for parameter *C* and smaller  $R^2$  probably due to the non-Kramers nature of Pr<sup>III</sup>. Considering the much smaller  $U_{eff}$  than theoretical energy levels, we may prefer a Raman process dominated relaxation in **1** and **3**. However, seriously speaking, due to insufficient experimental data points and *ab initio* calculation errors, we must take into account the inaccuracy of the results above.

							P						
		Orbach	$\tau^{-1}=\tau_0 \times \exp(-i\omega t)$	$O(-U_{\rm eff}/T)$		Raman $\tau^{-1}=C\times T^{\wedge n}$							
	$\tau_0 /  { m s}^{-1}$	$St.E.\tau_0$	$U_{\rm eff}$ / K	$St.E.U_{ef}$	$R^2$	С	St.E.C	п	St.E.n	$R^2$			
				f									
1Ce	1.8E-7	0.14	33.3	0.74	0.997	0.40	0.05	6.0	0.07	0.999			
2Pr	3.3E-7	0.17	22.5	0.69	0.991	25.1	15.0	4.4	0.36	0.963			
3Nd	9.2E-8	0.07	28.8	0.28	0.999	0.93	0.12	6.6	0.08	0.999			

Table S7. Detailed parameters fit by Orbach and Raman process for 1-3

(*St.E.* abbreviated for Standard Error)