## Supplementary Information

## S1 - Calculation of relevant illuminated surface area in cyanobacteria-based product formation

This section describes the determination of the illuminated surface areas used in the calculation of solar-to-product efficiencies.

## Erlenmeyer flasks

For the cyanobacteria-based approaches, several assumptions were made when calculating the total area and the resulting input energy for the studies using Erlenymeyer flasks, bottles, and column bioreactors. For studies using Erlenymeyer flasks and when no further specific detail was available, we calculated the maximum and minimum surface areas based on the assumption that light would either hit the sides and top of the culture flask (maximum possible surface area), or only hit the top (minimum possible surface area). The possible use of opaque caps was ignored.

Specifically, the maximum surface area was calculated as the sum of the lateral area and the top area of the frustum shape created in a typical Erlenmeyer flask by the volume of culture liquid (the 'culture frustum'). The flask itself was also represented as a frustum (the 'flask frustum'). To calculate the surface area of the culture frustum, we needed to know the culture volume's base radius, top radius, and height. The base radius is the same radius as the original flasks as given by the given dimensions in table S1. The top radius ( r 2 in figure S 1 ) and height ( h _culture) can be derived through trigonometry. To do so, we first had to use the given flask dimensions in table S1 to calculate the dimensions of the cone that results from extending the flask frustum with an upper part containing a vertex ('flask cone'). We then used the known culture volume to calculate the top radius of the culture frustum using the relationship:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{V}_{\text {culture }}=\mathrm{V}_{\text {cone }}-\mathrm{V}_{\text {cone - culture }} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In which $\mathrm{V}_{\text {culture }}$ is the known volume of the culture (e.g. 25 mL ) and $\mathrm{V}_{\text {cone }}$ is the volume of the extended flask cone. The latter two volumes are unknown, but can be derived from the relationship between a right circular cone's volume and its radius and height, specified as:

$$
\begin{align*}
& V_{\text {cone }}=1 / 3 * \pi * r_{1}{ }^{2} * h_{\text {cone, }}  \tag{2}\\
&  \tag{3}\\
& \quad V_{\text {cone-culture }}=1 / 3 * \pi * r_{2}{ }^{2} * h_{\text {cone - culture }}
\end{align*}
$$

The base radius of the extended flask cone, $r_{1}$, is given by the known flask dimensions. The height can be substituted for as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{\text {cone }}=r_{1} * \operatorname{cotangent}(\beta) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

To derive $\beta$, we first calculate the slant height, $\mathrm{s}_{\text {flask }}$, of the flask frustum:

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{\text {flask }}=V\left(\left(r_{1}-r_{3}\right)^{2}+h_{\text {flask }}\right) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

With $\mathrm{s}_{\text {flask, }}$, we can calculate the $\sin (\alpha)$ via:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sin (\alpha)=h_{\text {flask }} / s_{\text {flask }} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

With $\alpha$, we can calculate the slant height of the flask cone, $\mathrm{s}_{\text {cone }}$, as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{\text {cone }}=r_{1} / \cos (\alpha) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the slant height $s_{\text {cone }}$, we then calculate the $\beta$ as:
$\sin (\beta)=r_{1} * / s_{\text {cone }}$

For $\mathrm{V}_{\text {cone - culture, }}$, the height, $\mathrm{h}_{\text {cone - culture, }}$, in equation (3) can similarly be substituted for:

With $r_{2}$ also being the top radius of the culture frustum. Given these substitutions, equation (1) can be rewritten as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{\text {culture }}=1 / 3 * \pi * r_{1}{ }^{3} * \operatorname{cotangent}(\beta)-1 / 3 * \pi * r_{2}{ }^{3} * \operatorname{cotangent}(\beta) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

To calculate $r_{2}$, we can rewrite this to:

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{2}=\left(r_{1}^{3}-\left(3 * V_{\text {culture }}\right) /(\pi * \text { cotangent }(\beta))\right)^{1 / 3} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Tthe height of the culture ( $h_{\text {culture }}$ ) can then be calculated from $V_{\text {culture }}$ and $r_{1}$ and $r_{2}$ as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{\text {culture }}=3 * V_{\text {culture }} /\left(\pi *\left(r_{2}^{2}+r_{1}^{2}+r_{2} * r_{1}\right)\right) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $r_{1}, r_{2}$, and $h_{\text {culture }}$, we can finally calculate the lateral surface area as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{\text {lateral }}=\pi *\left(r_{1}+r_{2}\right) * V\left(\left(r_{1}-r_{2}\right)^{2}+h_{\text {culture }}\right) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the top area as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{\text {top }}=\pi^{*} r_{2}{ }^{2} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure S1: surface area representation of a culture volume in a flask. Both Erlenmeyer flasks and the culture volumes were approximated as frustum shapes. To calculate the surface areas of the culture volumes, its base radius, $r_{1}$, top radius, $r_{2}$, and height, $h_{\text {culture }}$ needed to be derived. s: slant height, $r$ : radius, $h$ : height.

## Roux bottle

For the Roux bottle used by Atsumi et al. (2009), the relevant surface was calculated as the sum of the squares making up the sides and top of the culture volume within the rectangular bottle. The dimensions of the bottle were obtained from Sigma Aldrich ${ }^{1}$

For the column-photobioreactor used by Gao et al. (2012), the culture volume was approximated as a cylinder, calculated from the dimensions of the column specified in the paper (height: 0.255 m , bottom radius: 0.055 m ). The surface area was subsequently calculated as a range from a lateral cross-section of the cylinder (minimum area; assuming the light were to only hit one side of the column), to the culture cylinder's total lateral surface (maximum area).

For the 1-liter culture bottle used by Ruffing et al, the culture was similarly approximated as a cylinder based on the specified dimensions of a 1-liter-capacity glass media bottle by Sigma Aldrich ${ }^{2}$. The surface area range was defined as ranging the bottom of the bottle (minimum area, assuming light were to hit from the top) to the sum of the lateral surface area and the bottom (maximum area).

## Flat-panel bioreactor

The dimensions of the flat-panel bioreactor used by Zavrel et al. were specified in ${ }^{3}$. With these dimensions and light specified to hit from one side only, we calculated the rectangular total surface area.

## S2 - Electrofuel studies: used surface areas

For the majority of electrofuel publications included in our analysis, the input energy was provided through direct current. The total energy input for these studies was therefore derived from the specified applied voltage ( $V$ ) and the total charge in coulombs, which was in turn specified by the current $(A)$ and the duration of the experiment. These metrics were specified directly in the publication, and a known surface area was therefore not required.

Two studies used light-sensitive electrodes and required surface area data to calculate the total energy input. For Nichols et al., the area dimensions of the light-sensitive electrodes were specified in the publication, which allowed for the calculation of total input energy based on the illumination data ( $\mathrm{W} \mathrm{cm}^{-2}$ ). For Liu et al. (2015), the dimensions of the nanowire-array was not specified. The authors reported a peak efficiency of $0.38 \%$, with a photocurrent of $35 \mathrm{~mA} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$. This translates into a total surface area of roughly $2 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$. This value was therefore used as the surface area in subsequent calculations.

## S3-efficiency calculations

Efficiencies were calculated based on the total input and output energy as follows:

$$
\eta_{\text {solar2product }}=E_{\text {out }} / E_{\text {in }} * 100
$$

The total input energy $\left(\mathrm{E}_{\text {in }}\right)$ for the cyanobacteria-based studies was derived from the total duration of the experiment in seconds $(\mathrm{t})$, the light regime in mol photons $\mathrm{m}^{-2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}(\mathrm{I})$, and the relevant surface area in $\mathrm{m}^{2}(\mathrm{a})$, which together specified the total amount of input of photons in moles:

$$
\text { mole photons }=1 * a * t
$$

For white light photons, a conversion factor of $226 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ photons was used to calculate the total input energy in joule:

$$
\mathrm{E}_{\text {in }}=\text { photon input } * 226 * 1000
$$

while for red light photons the energy content used was $190.1 \mathrm{~kJ}^{*} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$.

For the electrofuel studies using a 2-step setup with a PV cell to convert solar energy to electricity, the total input energy in joule was determined by the current $(\mathrm{A})$, voltage $(\mathrm{V})$, and time in seconds $(\mathrm{t})$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{E}_{\text {in }}=\mathrm{V} * \mathrm{~A} * \mathrm{t} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the electrofuel studies based on an integrated setup using light-sensitive electrodes, the input energy in J was quantified by the specified light intensity in $\mathrm{W} \mathrm{m}^{-2}(\mathrm{I})$, the time in seconds ( t ), and the surface area of the electrodes in $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ (a):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{in}}=1 * a * t \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

For all studies, the output energy ( $\mathrm{E}_{\text {out }}$ ) was quantified using the total amount of product formed in moles from the given titer $\left(\mathrm{g} \mathrm{L}^{-1}\right)$, the molecular weight $\left(\mathrm{g} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}\right)$, the volume $(\mathrm{L})$, and using the heat of combustion $\left(\Delta_{\mathrm{c}} \mathrm{H}\right)$ of each product in kJ $\mathrm{mol}^{-1}$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{E}_{\text {out }}=\text { mole product } * \Delta_{\mathrm{c}} H * 1000 \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the free fatty acid product by Ruffing et al., palmitic acid was chosen as a representative FFA for output calculations.
Table S2 and S3 provide an overview of the main metrics used for each study to calculate the input and output energy for cyanobacterial- and electrofuel studies, respectively

Table S1: flask dimensions and calculated surface

| Container type | Container Volume (mL) | Culture volume (mL) | Flask Height (m) | Flask base radius $(m)$ | Flask top radius (m) | lateral <br> surface <br> area (m2) | bottom <br> surface <br> area (m2) | top <br> surface <br> area (m2) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Erlenmeyer flask | 125 | 25 | 0,065 | 0,041 | 0,028 | 0,002 | 0,004 | 0,003 |
| Erlenmeyer flask | 250 | 50 | 0,08 | 0,053 | 0,032 | 0,002 | 0,006 | 0,005 |
| Media bottle | 1000 | 400 | 0,231 | 0,101 | 0,101 | 0,02932 | 0,00801 | 0,00801 |
| Roux bottle | 1000 | 600 | 0,153 | 0,055 | 0,12 | 0,054 | 0,0066 | 0,0066 |
| Columnphotobioreactor | 410 | 300 | 0,58 | 0,03 | 0,03 | 0,04 | $\begin{aligned} & 0,0127 \\ & \text { (side) } \end{aligned}$ | - |
| Flat-panel reactor | 350 | 350 | 0,2 | 0,1 | 0,1 | 0,02 | - | - |

Table S2: cyanobacteria parameters used for efficiency calculations

| Ref | Time (h) | Culture volume ( mL ) | Min/max surface area (m2) | light intensity ( $\mathrm{uE} / \mathrm{m} 2 / \mathrm{s}$ ) | Product | Heat of combustion (kJ/mol) | Titer (g/L) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Atsumi et al, 2009 | 192 | 600 ml | 0.0066 | 55 | Isobutyraldehyde | 2509.918 | 1.1 |
| Gao et al, 2012 | 624 | 300 ml | 0.0127-0.0400 | 100 | Ethanol | 1370.7 | 5.5 |
| Oliver et al, 2013 | 504 | 25 ml | 0.00353-0.00481 | 55 | 2,3-butanediol | 2461 | 2.38 |
| Ruffing et al, 2014 | 480 | 400 ml | 0.00801-0.0373 | 60 | FFA** | 10030.6 | 0.131 |
| Ian et al, 2015 | 384 | 50 ml | 0.00581-0.00782 | 50 | 3-HP | 1419 | 0.665 |
| Hirokawa et al, 2016 | 336 | 50 ml | 0.00581-0.00782 | 100 | 1,3-propanediol | 1859 | 0.2883811 |
| Zavrel et al, 2016 | - | 350 ml | 0,02 | 50 | Ethylene | 1387.4 | - |

Table S3: Electrofuel parameters used for efficiency calculations

| Ref | Time (h) | Volume <br> (mL) | Voltage (V) | Charge (Coulomb) | Surface area (m2) | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Illumination (W } \\ & / \text { cm2) } \end{aligned}$ | Product | Heat of combustion (kJ/mol) | Titer (g/L) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Li et al, 2012 | 105 | 350 | 4 | 94500 |  | - | C4 + C5 | 2994.3 | 0.15 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Torella et al, } \\ & 2015 \end{aligned}$ | 120 | 25 | 3 | 5443.2 |  |  | C3 | 2006.9 | 0.216 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Nichols et al, } \\ & 2015 \end{aligned}$ | 72 | 150 | - | - | 0.0007 | 2.2 | Methane | 882 | 7.35701E-06 |
| Liu et al, 2015 | 120 | 20 | - | - | ~0.0002 | 0.1 | PHB (from acetate) | 1903 | 1.2 |
| Liu et al, 2016 | 144 | 100 | 2 | 2500 |  | - | C3 | 2006.9 | 0.584 |
| Liu et al, 2016 | 144 | 100 | 2 | 2400 |  | - | C4+C5 | 2994.3 | 0.231 |
| Liu et al, 2016 | 144 | 100 | 2 | 2100 |  |  | PHB | 1903 | 0.701 |

Table S4: Solar-to-product efficiency (averaged) and production rates

| Ref | Organism | Product | Procedure; setup | Efficiency | Rate (g/l/h) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Atsumi et al., 2009 | S. elongatus PCC7942 | isobutyraldehyde | dcc; 1L-roux bottle | 4,45 | 5,7 |
| Gao et al., 2012 | Synechocystis sp. PCC6803 | ethanol | dcc; column reactor | 5.01* | 8,8 |
| Oliver et al., 2013 | S. elongatus PCC7942 | 2,3-butanediol | dcc; 125-ml Flask | 1.77* | 4,7 |
| Ruffing et al., 2014 | Synechococcus sp. PCC7002 | free fatty acids | dcc; 1L-culture bottle | 0.66* | 0,3 |
| Ian et al., 2015 | S. elongatus PCC7942 | 3-hydroxy-propionate | dcc; 250-ml Flask | 0.51* | 1,7 |
| Hirokawa et al., 2016 | S. elongatus PCC7942 | 1,3-propanediol | dcc; 250-ml Flask | 0.20 | 0,9 |
| Zavrel et al., 2016 | Synechocystis sp. PCC6803 | ethylene | led; flat-panel reactor | 3,58/0.36 (led/solar) | 1,5 |
| Li et al., 2012 | R. eutropha H 16 | iso- \& 3-methyl-1-butanol | sef; 2-step, formate | 0,10 | 1,4 |
| Torella et al., 2015 | R. eutropha H 16 | iso-propanol | sef; 2-step, hydrogen | 0,31 | 1,8 |
| Liu et al., 2016 | R. eutropha H 16 | PHB | sef; 2-step, hydrogen | 7,38 | 4,9 |
| Liu et al., 2016 | R. eutropha H 16 | iso-propanol | sef; 2-step, hydrogen | 7,80 | 4,1 |
| Liu et al., 2016 | R. eutropha H 16 | iso- \& 3-methyl-1-butanol | sef; 2-step, hydrogen | 3,55 | 1,6 |
| Nichols et al., 2015 | M. barkeri | methane | sef; integrated, hydrogen | 0,00 | 0,05 |
| Liu et al., 2015 | S. ovata | acetate (+ PHB) | sef; integrated, direct et | 0,2 | 4,08 |

## References:

1. Pyrex ${ }^{\circledR}$ Roux culture bottle with offset neck capacity 1000 mL | Sigma-Aldrich.
http://www.sigmaaldrich.com/catalog/product/aldrich/cls12901|?lang=en\&region=US. Accessed August 5, 2017.
2. Wheaton media bottles, glass size 1000 mL , Rubber lined phenolic cap | Sigma-Aldrich.
http://www.sigmaaldrich.com/catalog/product/sigma/z364843?lang=en\&region=US. Accessed August 5, 2017.
3. Nedbal L, Trtílek M, Červený J, Komárek O, Pakrasi HB. A photobioreactor system for precision cultivation of photoautotrophic microorganisms and for high-content analysis of suspension dynamics. Biotechnol Bioeng. 2008;100(5):902-910. doi:10.1002/bit. 21833.
