1 Influence of multi-walled carbon nanotubes and fullerenes on the bioaccumulation

2 and elimination kinetics of phenanthrene by geophagous earthworms (Metaphire

- 3 guillelmi)
- 4 Haiyun Zhang^a, Weixiao Chen^a, Xiaofang Shen^a, Meng Zhang^a, Yu Yang^b, Jason C.
- 5 White^c, Shu Tao^a, Xilong Wang^{a,*}
- 6 a Laboratory for Earth Surface Processes, College of Urban and Environmental Sciences, Peking
- 7 University, Beijing 100871, China
- 8 ^b Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, University of Nevada, Reno, NV 89557, USA
- 9 ^c Department of Analytical Chemistry, the Connecticut Agricultural Experiment Station, New Haven,
- 10 Connecticut, USA
- 11
- 12 *Corresponding author: Phone: 86-10-62757822; Email: xilong@pku.edu.cn (Xilong Wang)
- 13
- 14
- 15 Supporting information
- 16 Number of tables: 4
- 17 Number of figures: 7
- 18
- 19

Samples -	Elemental composition (%)			Ash	(O+N)/C	$SA(m^2/g)$	Pore volume (cm^3/g)		
	С	Н	Ν	Ο	(%)	(0+10)/C	5/1 (m /g)	V_{mic}	$V_{mes} + V_{mac}$
C ₆₀	99.65	0.18	0.21	0	0	0.002	1.75	0.021	0.005
MW50	96.73	0.26	0.29	0.07	2.65	0.006	77.10	0.054	0.696
MW8	90.33	0.57	0.22	4.83	4.05	0.044	388.18	0.226	0.705

Table S1. Selected physicochemical properties of carbonaceous nanomaterials.

SA: surface area; V_{mic} : micropore volume; $V_{mes} + V_{mac}$: sum of meso- and macro- pore volumes. The C, H, N contents were given as the mean (n = 2); O content was calculated by mass balance. The SAs of samples were derived from N₂ sorption-desorption isotherms using the multipoint BET method. The meso- and macro- pore volumes were obtained from desorption isotherms using the BJH model; the micropore volume was calculated using the Dubinin-Radushkevich (DR) model with $P/P_0 \le 0.05$.

Sorption experiment. Sorption isotherms of phenanthrene to soil, C₆₀, MW50 and MW8 were 20 obtained using a batch equilibrium technique at room temperature (25 ± 1 °C). Given the high surface 21 area of MW8 and MW50, a small amount of these materials was used to reach comparatively low 22 solid-to-liquid ratio. Specifically, 0.4 mg MW8 and 0.8 mg MW50 were added to 100 and 40 mL vials, 23 respectively, which were then amended with background solution to achieve the minimum headspace. 24 25 For soil and C₆₀, 2.5 mg and 1.5 mg were added to 8 mL vial, and the background solution was added 26 to leave a minimum headspace. The background solution (pH = 7.0) contained 0.01 mol/L CaCl₂ to maintain a constant ionic strength and 200 mg/L NaN₃ to inhibit microbial activity. The volume 27 fraction of methanol in the test solution of each vial with phenanthrene added was controlled so as to 28 29 be less than 0.1% (v/v) to avoid co-solvent effects. All vials were lined with aluminum foil, sealed with Teflon screw caps, and then placed on a rotary shaker to mix for 7 days. Our preliminary 30 experiments showed that sorption equilibrium was reached within 5 days. After mixing, the vials were 31 centrifuged at 3000 rpm for 30 min and the supernatant was filtered through 0.2 µm anodic alumina 32 membrane (Whatman International, Germany). No sorption of phenanthrene to the filter during the 33 filtration was detected. The pH of supernatant was found to be unchanged relative to that of the initial 34 35 solution. The equilibrium phenanthrene concentrations were determined by HPLC as described in the main text. In sorbent-free controls, mass loss of the tested compound throughout the experiment was 36 less than 2%. Consequently, the sorbed amount of the tested compound on various sorbents was 37 calculated from the difference between the initial and equilibrium aqueous concentrations. The 38

39 sorption isotherms of phenanthrene by soil and carbonaceous nanomaterials were fitted with40 logarithmic form of the Freundlich model as below:

41
$$\log Q = \log K_f + n \log C_e$$

42 where Q and C_e are equilibrium solid (mg kg⁻¹) and liquid phase (mg L⁻¹) concentrations, respectively.

43 K_f is sorption coefficient ((mg/kg)/(mg/L)ⁿ), and n is the linearity index of sorption isotherms.

44

Table S2. Freundlich model parameters for sorption isotherms of phenanthrene by carbonaceous materials

aamnaund	sorbent	$\log V^{\rm h}$	10	D ² –	K_d ^a	
compound		$\log K_f$	п	Λ-	$0.01 S_w$ c	$0.1S_w$ c
	soil	$3.095{\pm}0.025^{d}$	0.781±0.039e	0.980	3320	2010
Dhananthrana	C ₆₀	3.524 ± 0.042	$0.858 {\pm} 0.061$	0.961	6290	4540
Thenantinene	MW50	4.548 ± 0.025	0.326 ± 0.017	0.979	715000	151000
	MW8	5.523±0.102	0.472 ± 0.040	0.946	3520000	1040000

^a K_d : distribution coefficient (L/kg), $K_d = Q/C_e = K_f \cdot C_e^{n-1}$; ^b K_f ((mg/kg)/(mg/L)ⁿ); ^c S_w : water solubility (mg/L); ^d Standard error of log K_f ; ^e Standard error of n.



45 **Fig. S1.** Sorption isotherms of phenanthrene by soil, C_{60} , MW50 and MW8. Isotherms on soil and C_{60} 46 refer to the top X axis and right Y axis.

Determination of MWCNT uniformity in soil using ¹³C labeling. ¹³Clabeled MWCNTs (outer 48 diameter > 50 nm: 10-20 um in length; > 95% purity) were synthesized via chemical vapor deposition 49 50 of ¹³CH₄/CH₄ (v/v=1:20) as the feedstock gas and Ni/Al₂O₃ as the catalyst by Chengdu Organic Chemistry Co. Ltd., Chinese Academy of Sciences. The 13 C abundance of the MWCNTs was 5.44 ± 51 0.02% (n = 3) and the total C content was $96.21 \pm 5.99\%$ (n = 3) measured by isotope ratio mass 52 spectroscopy (IRMS, MAT 253, Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA). One hundred grams of air-dried soil 53 were added to a glass bottle (250 mL), and was then amended with 100 mg of ¹³C-MWCNTs (dry 54 powder) to yield a concentration of 1000 mg kg⁻¹ dry soil. The bottles were sealed and thoroughly 55 mixed on a rotary shaker at 45 rpm for 72 h. After homogenization, six soil samples of 2 g each were 56 randomly withdrawn from the bottle, ground and passed through a 200 mesh sieve. The δ^{-13} C value of 57 the sample was measured by IRMS and expressed as the following equation: 58

59
$$\delta^{-13}C = \frac{{}^{13}C / {}^{12}C_{\text{sample}} - {}^{13}C / {}^{12}C_{\text{standard}}}{{}^{13}C / {}^{12}C_{\text{standard}}} \times 1000$$

60 where ${}^{13}C/{}^{12}C_{standard}$ (0.01118) is theratio of ${}^{13}C$ to ${}^{12}C$ in the reference material PDB (fossilized calcite 61 standard); ${}^{13}C/{}^{12}C_{sample}$ is the ratio of ${}^{13}C$ to ${}^{12}C$ in the sample that can be obtained as $\delta_{-}{}^{13}C$ value and 62 the ${}^{13}C/{}^{12}C_{standard}$ is known. As a result, the concentration of ${}^{13}C$ -MWCNTs in soil was calculated 63 according to the total C content in the sample and the ${}^{13}C$ abundance in the labeled MWCNTs that had 64 been measured by IRMS.

65

66

Table S3. The concentration and uniformity of ¹³C-MWCNTs in soil after homogenization.

	δ- ¹³ C	¹³ C/ ¹² C	C (%)	¹³ C-MWCNTs (mg/kg soil) Mean ± SD
	17.817	0.011379	10.39	
	16.728	0.011367	10.32	
¹³ C-MWCNTs	8.350	0.011274	10.47	$1065 \ 41 \pm 60.05$
amended soil	9.121	0.011282	10.44	1003.41 ± 09.93
	11.331	0.011307	10.44	
	17.971	0.011381	10.39	



Fig. S2. The residual concentrations of phenanthrene in soil in L and H systems during 35 d exposure. Phe (L) and Phe (H) indicate soil spiked with low and high dose of phenanthrene alone. C_{60} (300), MW50 (300) and MW8 (300) indicate soil amended with 300 mg kg⁻¹ of these CNMs; C_{60} (3000), MW50 (3000) and MW8 (3000) indicate soil amended with 3000 mg kg⁻¹ of these CNMs. Column values with the same letter are not significantly different (one-way ANOVA with Tukey's multiple comparison test, p < 0.05). The statistical analysis was done on the data across all the sampling periods.

78



81 **Fig. S3.** Total ¹⁴C radioactivity (dotted line) and cumulative release of ${}^{14}CO_2$ (solid line) mineralized 82 by the indigenous microbial communities in soil spiked with a low (L) and high (H) dose of 83 phenanthrene.

84

Total ¹⁴C radioactivity as a function of time in earthworm-free soil. Five grams of the air-dried 85 86 soil was added to 40 mL amber vials, and then were spiked with 125 μ L non-labeled phenanthrene dissolved in acetone (80 mg L⁻¹) for the L system and 100 μ L (1000 mg L⁻¹) for the H system, 87 respectively. The initial phenanthrene concentrations in soil were 1.40 and 16.39 mg kg⁻¹ in the L and 88 H systems, respectively, as measured by the method described in the main text. Twenty microliters of 89 ¹⁴C-phenanthrene (9.34 mg L⁻¹, 0.107 MBq mL⁻¹) dissolved in methanol were added to the vial using a 90 microsyringe to yield a radioactivity of 0.0115 µCi g⁻¹ dry soil; the chemical concentration was 0.037 91 mg kg⁻¹ dry soil. The vials were left open and placed in the fume hood for 0.5 h to evaporate the 92 solvent, and were then sealed and thoroughly mixed on a rotary shaker at 45 rpm for 72 h. After 93 homogenization, the soil was moistened with 2 mL deionized water and equilibrated for 12 h, and then 94 was stirred with a stainless-steel spoon. All treatments were run in triplicate and the vials were 95 incubated in the same chamber as the worm experiment. After 1, 4, 8, 14, 20, 28, 35 d, approximately 96 0.4 g soil was sampled from each vial, and was freeze-dried at -50 °C for 72 h. About 0.3 g soil was 97 combusted at 900 °C in a biological oxidizer (OX-500; Zinsser Analytic, Germany). The ¹⁴CO₂ was 98

99 absorbed by 15 mL of alkaline cocktail Oxysolve C-400 (Zinsser Analytic, Germany) and the 100 radioactivity was determined using a liquid scintillation counter (LS 6500, Beckman Coulter, USA).

101 Mineralization of ¹⁴C-labeled phenanthrene by soil indigenous microorganisms. To better understand the fate of phenanthrene in soil, mineralization of ¹⁴C-phenanthrene was evaluated using a 102 respirometer as described in our previous study (Yang et al., 2010). Briefly, 5 g soil spiked with ¹⁴C 103 labeled and non-labeled phenanthrene was prepared using the same method as described in the total 104 105 ¹⁴C radioactivity experiment. The respirometer was made by attaching a 2.0-mL GC vial under the screw cap of a 40 mL amber vial. The GC vial was amended with 1.5 mL of 1 M NaOH solution to 106 capture the ¹⁴CO₂ mineralized by indigenous soil microorganisms. All respirometers were incubated in 107 the chamber with the same condition as worm exposure experiment. After the same time intervals, the 108 109 NaOH solution was sampled and mixed with 4 mL cocktail prior to radioactivity measurement with liquid scintillation counter (LSC). The mineralized percentage was calculated as the ratio of the 110 radioactivity of ¹⁴CO₂ to that of the total ¹⁴C in soil. All respirometers were run in triplicate. 111

112

Total ¹⁴C extractability in soil with hexane/acetone (v/v=1:1). With regard to the rapid loss of total 113 114 phenanthrene during 12 h equilibrium, we hypothesized that most of the compound was retained in soil and not extractable by organic solvent. The soil spiked with ¹⁴C labeled and non-labeled 115 phenanthrene as described above. After the same time intervals, approximately 1.0 g soil was sampled 116 117 from each vial. The freeze-dried soil (0.5 g) was extracted with 20 mL of hexane/acetone (v/v=1:1) using the same microwave-assisted reaction system as described in Section 2.5 of the main text. After 118 filtration, the extract was condensed to approximately 1 mL with a vacuum rotary evaporator, and then 119 120 mixed with 4 mL cocktail to determine the radioactivity of the extract. The extracted residues were 121 collected and combusted at 900 °C in a biological oxidizer; the ¹⁴CO₂ was captured by 15 mL of alkaline cocktail Oxysolve C-400 and the radioactivity was also determined by LSC. The total 122 extracted ¹⁴C fractions are given as percentage of the initial values. 123

124

		Phenanthre	ene (L) ^a		Phenanthrene (H) ^a			
Day	DP	M ^b	Extractability (%)	D	PM ^b	Extractability (%)		
	Extracts	Residues	Mean \pm SD	Extracts	Residues	Mean \pm SD		
	4458.75	6977.79		5039.50	7060.10			
0°	4805.00	8444.56	42.03±1.55	5481.00	9130.64	44.80±1.60		
	4616.00	7084.72		4153.75	4868.70			
	3920.50	7035.44		4140.00	5536.65			
1	3914.25	8524.79	35.92 ± 1.83	4609.50	9000.79	39.63±1.61		
	3671.25	7325.09		3940.00	5372.15			
	3790.75	9378.00		4280.25	8404.50			
4	3536.50	9054.50	31.26±1.80	4094.25	10689.54	34.66±1.66		

Table S4. Total ¹⁴C radioactivity extracted with hexane/acetone (v/v=1:1) from soil.

^a: Soil amended with a low and high dose of phenanthrene; ^b Radioactivity values measured by liquid
scintillation counter; ^c the time after 12 h equilibrium.

4155.75

9203.30

9157.05

3310.25

130

131

132

133



134



Fig. S4. Compact and granular aggregates formed after 7 d exposure with earthworms.

136



Fig. S5. Biomass of earthworms upon amendment with C_{60} , MW50 and MW8 alone (A); CNMs coexposure with low-dose phenanthrene (B); CNMs co-exposure with high-dose phenanthrene (C) during 35 d exposure. Blank indicates soil without any amendment. Phe (L) and Phe (H) indicate soil spiked with low and high dose of phenanthrene alone. C_{60} (300), MW50 (300) and MW8 (300) indicate soil amended with 300 mg kg⁻¹ of these CNMs; C_{60} (3000), MW50 (3000) and MW8 (3000) indicate soil amended with 3000 mg kg⁻¹ of these CNMs. There were no instances of statistically significant differences as a function of treatment across the sampling period.



145 Fig. S6. SOD activities in earthworms upon amendment with C₆₀, MW50 and MW8 alone (A); CNMs 146 co-exposure with low-dose phenanthrene (B); CNMs co-exposure with high-dose phenanthrene (C) 147 during 35 d exposure. Blank indicates soil without any amendment. Phe (L) and Phe (H) indicate soil 148 spiked with a low and high dose of phenanthrene alone. C₆₀ (300), MW50 (300) and MW8 (300) 149 indicate soil amended with 300 mg kg⁻¹ of these CNMs; C₆₀ (3000), MW50 (3000) and MW8 (3000) indicate soil amended with 3000 mg kg⁻¹ of these CNMs. Columns with the same letter are not 150 151 significantly different (one-way ANOVA with Tukey's multiple comparison test, p < 0.05). For each panel, the statistical analysis was done on the data from all of the sampling points. 152



Fig. S7. CAT activities in earthworms with the amendment of C_{60} , MW50 and MW8 alone (A); 153 154 CNMs co-exposure with low-dose phenanthrene (B); CNMs co-exposure with high-dose phenanthrene 155 (C) during 35 d exposure. Blank indicates soil without any amendment. Phe (L) and Phe (H) indicate 156 soil spiked with a low and high dose of phenanthrene alone. C_{60} (300), MW50 (300) and MW8 (300) indicate soil amended with 300 mg kg⁻¹ of these CNMs; C₆₀ (3000), MW50 (3000) and MW8 (3000) 157 158 indicate soil amended with 3000 mg kg⁻¹ of these CNMs. Columns with the same letter are not 159 significantly different (one-way ANOVA with Tukey's multiple comparison test, p < 0.05). For each 160 panel, the statistical analysis was done on the data from all of the sampling points. 161

162 Anti-oxidative stress analysis. On each sampling day, two earthworms were removed from each container, rinsed with deionized water, wiped with filter paper and weighed into a 50 mL plastic 163 centrifuge tube. The tube was immersed in liquid nitrogen, and then amended with cold physiological 164 saline (1:10, w/v) and thoroughly mixed using an IKA T10 homogenizer (IKA, Germany). The 165 homogenate was centrifuged at 4000 rpm and 4 °C for 15 min, and the supernatant was sampled for 166 167 the biochemical assays. SOD, CAT activities and protein content in the supernatant were determined 168 using the diagnostic reagent kits purchased from Jiancheng Bioengineering Institute (Nanjing). All absorbances were measured with a UV-VIS spectrometer (Lambda 35, PerkinElmer). SOD activity 169 170 was determined at 550 nm following McCord and Fridovich (1969), which is based on the 171 measurement of the inhibition of the reduction rate of cytochrome c by the superoxide radical. The 172 activity was expressed as U/mg protein, with one U of SOD corresponding to the quantity of enzyme 173 that caused 50% inhibition of cytochrome c reduction. CAT activity was evaluated by monitoring the residual H₂O₂ absorbance at 405 nm (Goth, 1991). The activity was expressed as U/mg protein. One U 174 175 of CAT is the enzyme that decomposes 1 mM of hydrogen peroxide per min.

176

177 References

- 178 L. Goth, Clin. Chim. Acta., 1991, 196, 143-151.
- 179 J. M. McCord, I. Fridovich, J. Biol. Chem., 1969, 244, 6049-6055.
- Y. Yang, L. Shu, X. L. Wang, B. S. Xing, S. Tao, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 2010, 44, 3339-3344.