1 SUPPORTING INFORMATION

- 2 Ageing, dissolution and biogenic formation of nanoparticles, how do these factors
- 3 affect uptake kinetics of silver nanoparticles in earthworm?
- 4
- 5 M. Baccaro¹, A. K. Undas², J. de Vriendt¹, H. J. van den Berg¹, R. Peters², N. W. van
- 6 den Brink¹
- 7 ¹Division of Toxicology, Wageningen University, 6708 WE Wageningen, The
- 8 Netherlands
- 9 ²RIKILT, Wageningen University, 6708 WB Wageningen, The Netherlands
- 10 E-mail: marta.baccaro@wur.nl
- 11

12 S1 Synthesis and characterization of Ag-NP

Silver nitrate (AgNO₃), trisodium citrate (Na₃C₆H₅O₇), and tannic acid (C₇₆H₅₂O₄₆) were 13 purchased from Sigma Aldrich. Briefly, 100 mL volume of aqueous solution containing 14 sodium citrate (SC) (5 mM) and tannic acid (TA) (0.25 µM) was prepared and heated 15 by a heating mantle in a three-neck round-bottomed flask for 15 min under vigorous 16 stirring. After boiling had commenced, 1 mL of AgNO₃ (25 mM) was injected into this 17 solution. The solution became bright yellow. Immediately after the synthesis of Ag 18 seeds and in the same vessel, solution was diluted by extracting 19.5 mL of sample 19 and adding 16.5 mL of MilliQ water. Then, the temperature of the solution was set to 20 90 °C and 500 μ L of SC (25 mM), 1.5 mL of TA (2.5 mM), and 1 mL of AgNO₃ were 21 sequentially injected (time delay \sim 1 min). By repeating and adjusting the amount of 22 Ag precursor injected, different generations of Ag-NPs of progressively larger sizes 23 were grown. Aliquots were purified by centrifugation (10000 g) in order to remove the 24 excess of TA and further redispersed in SC 2.2 mM before sample characterization 25

(Figure S1a, Table S1a). EDX on individual particle (Table S1b) shows that particles
contain Ag (spectrum 11 within the particle) with a small layer of covering film
(spectrum 12, close to the edge of the particle) which is similar in composition to the
surrounding matrix (holey carbon film and additives). No sulphur could be detected.



30

³¹ Figure S1a. Ag-NPs size distribution based on TEM analysis (particle number=318)

32 Table S1a. Mean diameter by intensity distribution, polydispersity Index and Z-potential

33 measured by Dynamic Light Scattering (DLS)

| | Diameter | Standard |
|---------------------|----------|-----------|
| | mean | Deviation |
| | (nm) | (nm) |
| Intensity | 72.94 | 2.02 |
| Polydispesity Index | 0.137 | 0.024 |
| | | Standard |
| | mV | Deviation |
| | | (mV) |
| Z-potential | -57.9 | 1.2 |
| | | |

34

35 Table S1b. Particle elemental composition characterization by TEM-EDX

| 1.5nn proke | Spectrum Label C O Si Ag* *Signal from the to the reduced p | Spectrum 12 91.23 0.89 0.22 3.22 e particles is relation penetration dept | Spectrum 11 81.08 1.97 0.35 10.41 atively small due n. |
|--------------|--|--|--|
| <u>20 nm</u> | | | |

36 Synthesis and characterization of Ag₂S-NP

Briefly, a concentrated solution of AgNO₃ precursor was injected to 1 L volume of 37 aqueous solution containing Na₂S * 9H₂O and PVP 55 kDa under vigorous stirring and 38 [AgNO₃]/[PVP] ratio to get the desired size. The solution became dark grey 39 immediately and it was kept at synthesis temperature for 15 min to ensure complete 40 reaction of the precursors. Resultant Ag₂S nanoparticles were purified by 41 centrifugation (1000 g) in order to remove the excess of S2- and further redispersed in 42 MilliQ water with the same PVP (1 mg/mL) before sample characterization (Figure S1b, 43 Table S1c). EDX on individual particles (Table S1d) shows clear difference between 44 mostly unreacted Ag (spectrum 16) and Ag₂S (spectrum 17) in the Ag/S ratio. This 45 indicates that not all of the Ag-NPs were converted to Ag₂S. Spectrum 18 is the 46 surrounding matrix. 47



48

- ⁴⁹ Figure S1b. Ag-NPs size distribution based on TEM analysis (particle number=759)
- ⁵⁰ Table S1c. Mean diameter by intensity distribution, polydispersity Index and Z-potential
- 51 measured by Dynamic Light Scattering (DLS)

| | Diameter | Standard |
|---------------------|----------|-----------|
| | mean | Deviation |
| | (nm) | (nm) |
| Intensity | 302.8 | 1.1 |
| Polydispesity Index | 0.85 | 0.03 |
| | | Standard |
| | mV | Deviation |
| | | (mV) |
| Z-potential | -2.19 | 0.14 |

52 Table S1d. Particle elemental composition characterization by TEM-EDX



53

54

- 55 S2 Soil characterization
- 56 Table S2. Characterization of natural soil

| Parameter | Value | Unit |
|----------------------|-------|-----------|
| Median granular size | 115 | μm |
| Total nitrogen | 1380 | mg N kg⁻¹ |
| Potassium | 29 | mg K kg⁻¹ |
| pH (in water) | 5.2 | - |
| Organic matter | 5.4 | % |
| CaCO ₃ | 0.2 | % |

57

58 S3 Statistical analysis

- ⁵⁹ Table S3a. Post hoc Tukey multiple comparison of test between particulate (≥ 20nm)
- and total Ag concentrations of all time points in soil treated with Ag-NPs, AgNO₃ and
- ⁶¹ Ag₂S-NPs following one way ANOVA (F (5, 18) = 19.26)). Positive confidence interval
- 62 indicates that concentrations are higher in first factor, and vice versa.

| | Mean Diff. | 99.90 % CI of diff. | P Value |
|--|------------|---------------------|---------|
| Particulate Ag-NP vs. Particulate AgNO ₃ | -0.09551 | -0.782 to 0.591 | 0.9930 |
| Particulate Ag-NP vs. Particulate Ag ₂ S-NP | -0.451 | -1.137 to 0.2355 | 0.1472 |

| Particulate AgNO ₃ vs. Particulate Ag ₂ S-NP | -0.3555 | -1.042 to 0.331 | 0.3538 |
|--|----------|---------------------|---------|
| Particulate Ag-NP vs. Total Ag-NP | -0.7678 | -1.454 to -0.08138 | 0.0037 |
| Particulate AgNO ₃ vs. Total AgNO ₃ | -0.6875 | -1.374 to -0.000996 | 0.0099 |
| Particulate Ag ₂ S-NP vs. Total Ag ₂ S | 0.07815 | -0.6083 to 0.7646 | 0.9972 |
| Total Ag-NP vs. Total AgNO ₃ | -0.01512 | -0.7016 to 0.6713 | >0.9999 |
| Total Ag-NP vs. Particulate Ag ₂ S NP | 0.3169 | -0.3696 to 1.003 | 0.4733 |
| Total Ag-NP vs. Total Ag ₂ S | 0.395 | -0.2914 to 1.081 | 0.2521 |

63

Table S3b. Post hoc Tukey multiple comparison test between concentrations of different forms of Ag in worms exposed to Ag-NPs, AgNO₃ or Ag₂S-NPs (concentrations at 28 days of exposure) following one way ANOVA (F (5, 17) = 194.6).

| | Mean Diff. | 99.90 % CI of diff. | P value |
|--|------------|---------------------|---------|
| Particulate Ag-NP vs. Total Ag-NP | -6.301 | -7.682 to -4.92 | <0.0001 |
| Particulate Ag-NP vs. Particulate AgNO ₃ | 0.2992 | -1.082 to 1.68 | 0.9803 |
| Particulate Ag-NP vs. Total AgNO ₃ | -6.628 | -8.01 to -5.247 | <0.0001 |
| Particulate Ag-NP vs. Particulate Ag_2S -NP | 2.084 | 0.703 to 3.465 | 0.0018 |
| Particulate Ag-NP vs. Total Ag₂S-NP | 1.759 | 0.378 to 3.14 | 0.0086 |
| Total Ag-NP vs. Particulate AgNO $_3$ | 6.600 | 5.321 to 7.879 | <0.0001 |
| Total Ag-NP vs. Total AgNO₃ | -0.3275 | -1.606 to 0.9512 | 0.9599 |
| Total Ag-NP vs. Particulate Ag₂S-NP | 8.385 | 7.106 to 9.6644 | <0.0001 |
| Total Ag-NP vs. Total Ag₂S-NP | 8.060 | 6.781 to 9.339 | <0.0001 |
| Particulate AgNO ₃ vs. Total AgNO ₃ | -6.928 | -8.206 to -5.649 | <0.0001 |
| Particulate AgNO ₃ vs. Particulate Ag ₂ S-NP | 1.785 | 0.5063 to 3.064 | 0.0039 |
| Particulate AgNO₃ vs. Total Ag₂S-NP | 1.460 | 0.1813 to 2.739 | 0.0203 |
| Total AgNO ₃ vs. Particulate Ag ₂ S-NP | 8.713 | 7.434 to 9.991 | <0.0001 |
| Total AgNO ₃ vs. Total Ag ₂ S-NP | 7.109 | 7.109 to 9.666 | <0.0001 |
| Particulate Ag ₂ S-NP vs. Total Ag ₂ S-NP | -0.325 | -1.604 to 0.9537 | 0.9611 |

67

68 S4 Kinetic of uptake and elimination

Table S4. Concentrations of total Ag and particulate Ag (\geq 20 nm) measured in earthworms at different time points during uptake phase and depuration phase (mg Ag kg⁻¹ wet body weight; n=4; mean ± standard deviation).

| | | Uptake phase (days) | | | |
|-------------------|----------------|---------------------|-----------|------------|-----------|
| Ag form | | 7 | 14 | 21 | 28 |
| Ag-NPs | Particulate Ag | 0.23±0.14 | 0.37±0.50 | 2.71±2.03 | 2.26±1.02 |
| | Total Ag | 3.47±0.28 | 5.64±1.53 | 10.54±1.98 | 8.53±0.77 |
| Ag₂S-NPs | Particulate Ag | 0.06±0.03 | 0.12±0.03 | 0.12±0.06 | 0.08±0.03 |
| | Total Ag | 0.19±0.06 | 0.29±0.05 | 0.27±0.02 | 0.50±0.12 |
| AgNO ₃ | Particulate Ag | 0.10±0.06 | 0.31±0.26 | 0.78±0.58 | 1.96±0.61 |
| | Total Ag | 3.08±0.34 | 5.52±0.77 | 10.20±3.03 | 8.89±0.56 |

| | | Depuration phase (days) | | | |
|----------|----------------|-------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Ag form | | 35 | 42 | 49 | 56 |
| Ag-NPs | Particulate Ag | 0.24±0.09 | 0.43±0.09 | 0.54±0.48 | 0.95±0.17 |
| | Total Ag | 4.91±2.68 | 4.56±0.59 | 6.35±0.90 | 2.91±0.15 |
| Ag₂S-NPs | Particulate Ag | 0.09±0.05 | 0.04±0.02 | 0.04±0.02 | 0.06±0.02 |
| | Total Ag | 0.14±0.04 | 0.16±0.01 | 0.12±0.03 | 0.15±0.02 |
| AgNO₃ | Particulate Ag | 0.23±0.17 | 0.07±0.03 | 0.41±0.13 | 0.54±0.18 |
| | Total Ag | 3.75±1.68 | 2.36±0.41 | 6.28±0.98 | 3.45±0.64 |

72

73 S5 Potential dissolution and formation of particulate Ag during TMAH incubation

In order to assess any dissolution of nanoparticles during extraction by TMAH, three 74 different amount of Ag-NP and Ag₂S-NPs were incubated with a solution of TMAH 20% 75 overnight (final concentration 38 ng Ag L⁻¹, 100 ng Ag L⁻¹, 380 ng Ag L⁻¹). Analysis by 76 spICP-MS showed a recovery of 102% and 97% for Ag-NP and Ag₂S-NP, respectively. 77 Additionally, to assess potential spontaneous formation of nano-Ag during extraction 78 step by TMAH, three different amounts of AgNO₃ (45 µL, 90 µl, 135 µL of solution of 1 79 mg mL⁻¹) were added to ≈0.4 g of clean earthworms tissue and incubated following the 80 same TMAH extraction procedure of the samples. SpICP-MS performed on these 81

- samples measured 0.04 %, 0.02 % 0.05 % nano-Ag compared to the total Ag content
- ⁸³ indicating negligible formation of particulate Ag during incubation.