SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Pilot Scale Study of Sequencing Batch Reactor (SBR) Retrofit with

Integrated Fixed Film Activated Sludge (IFAS): Nitrogen Removal and

Design Consideration

Renzun Zhao^{a,b,c*}, Hong Zhao^b, Rich Dimassimo^b, Guoren Xu^{a*}

- a, State Key Laboratory of Urban Water Resource and Environment, Harbin Institute of Technology, Harbin, China 150090
- b, Veolia Water Solution and Technology Kruger Inc., Cary, NC 27513
- c, Civil and Environmental Engineering Department, Lamar University, Beaumont, TX 77710
- *: Corresponding authors.

Corresponding contact: phone: +01-409-880-8058; fax: +01-409-880-8121; email: renzun@vt.edu, renzun.zhao@lamar.edu

Materials and Methods

Statistical analysis was conducted with Stata/MP 14.0 (College Station, TX).

Results

1. Comparison of $\mathrm{NH_4}^+\text{-}\mathrm{N}$ removal efficiencies in phase 2 and phase 1.

Data:

Phase 2	Phase 1
95%	95%
96%	96%
75%	98%
93%	100%
77%	100%
80%	100%
79%	98%
75%	99%
96%	96%
94%	98%

Stata Results:

Two-sample t test with unequal variances								
Variable	Obs	Mean	Std. Err.	Std. Dev.	[95% Conf.	Interval]		
phase2	10	0.86	0.0298515	0.0943987	0.7924713	0.9275287		
phase1	10	0.98	0.0057735	0.0182574	0.9669394	0.9930606		
combined	20	0.92	0.0202094	0.0903793	0.8777012	0.9622988		
diff		-0.12	0.0304047		0.1880582	0.0519418		
diff = mean	diff = mean(phase2) - mean(phase1) t = -3.9468							
Ho: diff = 0 Satterthwaite's degrees of freedom = 9.67238								
Ha: diff < 0 Ha: diff!= 0 Ha: diff > 0								
Pr(T < t) = 0.0015 $Pr(T > t) = 0.0029$ $Pr(T > t) = 0.9985$								

2. Comparison of TIN removal efficiencies in phase 1, 2, and 3.

Data:

TIN removal efficiency										
Phase 3	Phase 1	Phase 2								
69.30%	59%	64%								
80%	60%	68%								
78.70%	47%	45%								
83.30%	42%	70%								
79%	46%	49%								
78.30%	47%	58%								
73%	23%	58%								
69.30%	50%	55%								
81.30%	52%	69%								
	48%	65%								

Stata Results:

2.1 Phase 3 and 1.

phase 3 and phase1							
Two-sample t te	est with	n unequal var	iances				
Variable	Obs	Mean	Std. Err.	Std. Dev.	[95% Conf.	Interval]	
phase3	9	0.7677778	0.0172222	0.0516667	0.7280633	0.8074923	
phase1	10	0.474	0.0324619	0.1026537	0.400566	0.547434	
combined	19	0.6131579	0.0391806	0.1707842	0.5308425	0.6954733	
diff		0.2937778	0.0367476		0.2147272	0.3728284	
diff = mean(phase32) - mean(phase12) t = 7.9945 Ho: diff = 0 Satterthwaite's degrees of freedom = 13.57							
Ha: diff < 0	Ha: diff < 0 Ha: diff!= 0 Ha: diff > 0						
Pr(T < t) = 1.00	00	Pr(T > t)	= 0.0000	Pr(T > t) = 0	.0000		

2.2 Phase 3 and 2.

phase 3 and phase2							
Two-sample t t	est with	n unequal var	iances				
Variable	Obs	Mean	Std. Err.	Std. Dev.	[95% Conf.	Interval]	
phase3	9	0.7677778	0.0172222	0.0516667	0.7280633	0.8074923	
phase2	10	0.601	0.0271805	0.0859522	0.5395135	0.6624865	
combined	19	0.68	0.0253398	0.1104536	0.6267631	0.7332369	
diff		0.1667778	0.0321774		0.0981789	0.2353766	
diff = mean(p	hase32	2) - mean(pha	se22)	t = 5.	1831		
Ho: diff = 0		Satterthwaite	e's degrees o	f freedom = :	14.9639		
Ha: diff < 0 Ha: diff != 0 Ha: diff > 0 $Pr(T < t) = 0.9999 Pr(T > t) = 0.0001 Pr(T > t) = 0.0001$							
11(1 < t) = 0.33	99	· · (· ~ ·)	- 0.0001	11(1/1)-0	.0001		

3. Comparison of CBOD removal efficiencies in phase 1, 2, and 3.

Data:

	CBOD removal efficiency					
	Phase 1	Phase 2	Phase 3			
	71.67%	91.67%	90.83%			
	93.89%	91.43%	93.00%			
	91.58%	94.53%	88.24%			
	91.92%	97.64%	97.72%			
	95.67%	95.91%	93.33%			
	93.10%	71.33%	69.74%			
	92.31%	94.54%	83.13%			
		94.47%	92.14%			
		95.56%	93.55%			
		94.88%	84.67%			
Mean	90.02%	92.20%	88.64%			

Stata results:

3.1 phase 1 and 2.

codes:

ttest phase1 == phase2, unpaired unequal

Two-sample t test with unequal variances

Variable	Obs	Mean	Std. Err.	Std. Dev.	[95% Conf.	Interval]	
phase1	7	0.9002	0.03103	0.082097	0.824273	0.976127	
phase2	10	0.92196	0.023905	0.075595	0.867882	0.976038	
combined	17	0.913	0.018572	0.076576	0.873629	0.952372	
diff		-0.02176	0.03917		-0.10685	0.063326	
diff = m	ean(phase1)	- mean(phas	e2)	t =	-0.5555		
Ho: diff =	0	Satterthwa	ite's degrees	of freedom :	= 12.3382		
Ha: diff	< 0	Ha: diff!=	0	Ha: diff > 0			
Pr(T < t) =	= 0.2942	$\Pr(T > t)$	=0.5885	Pr(T > t)	= 0.7058		
connet reject the null hypothesis, diff = 0							

cannot reject the null hypothesis, diff = 0

we do not find a statistically significant difference in the means of phase 1 and phase 2

3.2 phases 1 and 3.

. ttest phase1 == phase3, unpaired unequal

Two-sample t test with unequal variances

			-				
Variable	Obs		Mean	Std. Err.	Std. Dev.	[95% Conf.	Interval]
phase1 phase3		7 10	0.9002 0.88635	0.0310298 0.0251241			
combined		17	0.8920529	0.0189852	0.078278	0.8518061	0.9322998

diff 0.01385 0.0399258 0.0725534 0.1002534 diff = mean(phase1) - mean(phase3) t = 0.3469 Ho: diff = 0 Satterthwaite's degrees of freedom = 12.783

Ha: diff < 0 Ha: diff! = 0 Ha: diff! > 0 Pr(T < t) = 0.6328 Pr(|T| > |t|) = 0.7343 Pr(T > t) = 0.3672

we do not find a statistically significant difference in the means of phase 1 and phase

3.3 Phases 2 and 3.

. ttest phase2 == phase3, unpaired unequal									
Two-sample t test with unequal variances									
Variable	Obs	Mean	Std. Err.	Std. Dev.	[95% Conf.	Interval]			
phase2	10	0.92196	0.0239053	0.0755952	0.8678824	0.9760376			
phase3	10	0.88635	0.0251241	0.0794493	0.8295154	0.9431846			
combined	20	0.904155	0.0173647	0.0776571	0.8678104	0.9404996			
diff		0.03561	0.0346797		0.0372623	0.1084823			
diff = mean(phase2) - mean(phase3) $t = 1.0268$ Ho: diff = 0 Satterthwaite's degrees of freedom = 17.9557									
Ha: diff < 0 Ha: diff != 0 Ha: diff > 0 Pr(T < t) = 0.8409 $Pr(T > t) = 0.3181$ $Pr(T > t) = 0.1591$ we do not find a statistically significant difference in the means of phase 2 and phase 3									

3.4 Comparison of CBOD removal efficiencies to 90% in phases 1, 2 and 3.

ttest phase 1 == 0.9

One-sample t test

[95%

Variable Obs Mean Std. Err. Std. Dev. Conf. Interval]

phase1 7 0.9002 0.03103 0.082097 0.824273 0.976127

mean = mean(phase1) t = 0.0064

Ho: mean = 0.9 degrees of freedom = 6

Ha: mean < 0.9 Ha: mean != 0.9 Ha: mean > 0.9 Pr(T < t) = 0.5025 Pr(|T| > |t|) = 0.9951 Pr(T > t) = 0.4975

cannot reject the null hypothesis, the mean of phase =90%

ttest phase2 == 0.9

One-sample t test

[95%

Variable Obs Mean Std. Err. Std. Dev. Conf. Interval]

phase2 10 0.92196 0.023905 0.075595 0.867882 0.976038

mean = mean(phase2) t = 0.9186

Ho: mean = 0.9 degrees of freedom = 9

Ha: mean < 0.9 Ha: mean != 0.9 Ha: mean > 0.9 Pr(T < t) = 0.8089 Pr(|T| > |t|) = 0.3823 Pr(T > t) = 0.1911

cannot reject the null hypothesis, the mean of phase =90%

ttest phase3 == 0.9

One-sample t test

[95%

Variable Obs Mean Std. Err. Std. Dev. Conf. Interval]

phase3 10 0.88635 0.025124 0.079449 0.829515 0.943185

mean = mean(phase3) t = -0.5433

Ho: mean = 0.9 degrees of freedom = 0.9

Ha: mean < 0.9 Ha: mean != 0.9 Ha: mean > 0.9 Pr(T < t) = 0.3001 Pr(|T| > |t|) = 0.6001 Pr(T > t) = 0.6999

cannot reject the null hypothesis, the mean of phase =90%