## Reverse Engineering: Transaminase Biocatalyst Development Using Ancestral Sequence Reconstruction

Matthew Wilding,\*<sup>a</sup> Thomas S. Peat,<sup>b</sup> Subha Kalyaanamoorthy,<sup>a</sup> Janet Newman,<sup>b</sup> Colin Scott,<sup>a</sup> and Lars S. Jermiin.<sup>a,c</sup>

### **Supplementary Materials**

#### **Materials and Methods**

#### Multiple Sequence Alignment

An initial set of 249 amino-acids sequences, encoding putative transaminases, was obtained by BLAST analysis of KES23360 at Uniprot.<sup>1</sup> An MSA for the amino-acid sequences was inferred using MAFFT<sup>2</sup> (v. 7.043; MAFFT was chosen because of its accuracy<sup>3, 4</sup>), and Seaview was used to visualise the alignment (v. 4.4.1).<sup>5</sup> A preliminary MSA was inferred for the data using the L-iNS-I option of MAFFT. Using Seaview, the preliminary alignment was manually refined to yield the final alignment.

#### Removal of Duplicate Sequences

Before further analysis of the data, duplicate sequences were identified, and then removed from the final alignment using IQ-TREE (v. 0.9.3).<sup>6</sup> A reduced alignment of 192 unique sequences was produced, realigned as described above and called the "master alignment".

#### Preliminary Survey of Master Alignment

To assess whether the data could be assumed to have evolved under globally SRH conditions, we surveyed the master alignment using Homo (v. 1.0). The 18,336 *p*-values obtained (i.e., one for each pair of sequences) were plotted against the expected distribution of *p*-values (i.e., that which would be obtained if the sequences had evolved under globally SRH conditions) and finally interpreted.

#### Identifying the Optimal Model for Sequence Evolution

The optimal model of sequence evolution for the master alignment was identified by IQ-TREE (v. 0.9.3) using the -m TESTONLY option with IQ-TREE invoked. The optimal model was found to be the LG+I+G4 model.

#### Phylogenetic Analysis

The most likely tree was inferred using the LG+G4 model of sequence evolution. In addition, a nonparametric bootstrap analysis (using the UFBoot method with default settings) was done to determine the consistency of the data. Both procedures were executed using IQ-TREE. The inferred ML tree was used to infer the ancestral sequences.

#### Ancestral Sequence Reconstruction

Ancestral amino-acid sequences were inferred under the ML criterion using FastML<sup>7</sup>, and assuming the LG+G4 model. Both marginal and joint estimates of ancestral sequences were obtained for all the ancestral nodes in the ML tree. Selected ancestral sequences were then identified and chosen for further biochemical analysis (see below).

#### Subcloning, Expression and Purification

Synthetic genes corresponding to the six ancestral proteins were cloned in the same manner as the KES23360 transaminase protein. Each gene was obtained as an *E. coli* codon-optimised synthetic gene in pMA-RQ vector<sup>8</sup> (Invitrogen). DNA for each gene, and the desired expression vector, pETcc2, was digested using *Nde*I and *Bam*HI restriction endonucleases (NEB), separated using a 0.8% agarose gel and purified using a Gel Extraction Kit and following the Manufacturer's Guidelines (Macherey Nagel). Linear gene and vector fragments were ligated using T4 DNA ligase (NEB) and the DNA was transformed into *E. coli* BL21  $\lambda$ DE3 electrocompetent cells by electroporation. Visualised transformants were used to inoculate 10 mL LB medium containing ampicillin (100 µg/mL) and grown overnight at 37 °C with shaking. DNA was isolated using a Bioline Miniprep Kit following manufacturers guidelines and sequenced to validate the final construct for each gene (Macrogen, S.Korea).

Protein overexpression was achieved by growing 500 mL of *E. coli* BL21  $\lambda$ DE3 containing the desired vector in LB media containing ampicillin (100 µg/mL) at 37°C. When the OD<sub>600</sub> reached 0.6-1.0, the cultures were induced by the addition of IPTG (Isopropyl  $\beta$ -D-1-thiogalactopyranoside; 1 mM final concentration) and further incubated at 15 °C for 18 hours. The cells were isolated by centrifugation (4000 x g; 20 minutes) and the supernatant discarded. The pellet was resuspended in imidazole/sodium chloride/potassium phosphate buffer (5 mM/500 mM/10 mM, pH 7.5) and cell lysis was achieved using an Avestin C3 Emulsiflex Homogeniser at 20 kPSI. Cellular debris was pelleted by centrifugation (40,000 x g, 45 minutes) and the supernatant was passed over a HiTrap Chelating HP column (GE Healthcare) on an Åkta FPLC (Fast Protein Liquid Chromatography, GE Healthcare) Protein was eluted with an increasing concentration of imidazole (5-500 mM) and the separated protein was transferred into potassium phosphate buffer (10 mM, pH 7.5), concentrated by centrifugation (GE Healthcare; 10k MWCO) and further purified by gel filtration (Superdex 200; G.E. Healthcare) in the same phosphate buffer. Purity was estimated to be >95% by SDS-PAGE.

#### Crystallography

All protein samples were analysed for buffer preference and stability using DSF.<sup>9</sup> Table S1 shows stability values for KES23360 and ancestral proteins, based on triplicate meltcurves.

Initial crystallisation trials were performed with the KES23360 protein. The protein sample (5 mg/mL in 10 mM KPO<sub>4</sub> buffer, pH 7.5) was used in initial screens (JCSG+, PACT, PS gradient – for details of screens see <a href="http://c6.csiro.au">http://c6.csiro.au</a>). Drops were set up with 200 nL protein mixed with 200 nL reservoir solution and equilibrated against 50 µL of reservoir in SD-2 plates (Molecular Dimensions, UK). Yellow, blunt ended hexagonal bipyramidal crystals grew out of conditions containing 2 M ammonium sulfate at 8 °C. The initial conditions were refined by screening around the initial condition, and by additive optimisation. Each of the ancestral peptides was first screened as supplied (conditions shown in Table S2) in the JCSG screen at 20 °C. The ancestral peptides were transferred into different buffer formulations, based on the results of the DSF experiments, and the reformulated proteins were screened under the optimum conditions determined from the crystal screening, with and without seeding. Seeds from the N16 protein were used for all of the ancestral peptides. Final crystallisation conditions for each of the six ancestral peptides, along with the buffer formulations used, are shown in Table S2.

Crystals were cryoprotected and frozen in liquid nitrogen before data collection at the Australian Synchrotron. The KES23360 data set was collected using 0.5° oscillations due to the long unit cell, whereas the ancestral peptide data sets were collected using 1.0° oscillations. Full datasets were obtained by collecting either 180° or 360° depending on the space group (X-ray data is shown in Table S2). Data were indexed using XDS<sup>10</sup> and scaled using Aimless.<sup>11</sup> The KES23360 structure was solved using PDB code 3GJU as the molecular replacement model in Phaser<sup>12</sup> and all other structures were solved using Phaser with KES23360 as the model. The models were rebuilt manually in Coot<sup>13</sup> and refined using Refmac.<sup>14</sup>

KES23360 was cryoptotected with glycerol. N16, N43 and N48 were cryoprotected with AP/E core 150; N6, N15 and N17 were cryoprotected with the reservoir solution (which contained glycerol) and supplemented with additional glycerol to a final concentration of 25%.

#### Activity Assays

Transaminases were assayed using previously described methods.<sup>15</sup> Activity for each of the transaminases was assessed using enzyme-coupled dehydrogenase assays. A typical assay comprised: 6.25 mM substrate, 0.5 mM pyruvate, 1.25 mM nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide (NAD<sup>+</sup>), 0.035 U of alanine dehydrogenase (ADH; where 1 U corresponds to the amount of enzyme which converts 1 µmol L-alanine per minute at pH 10.0 and 30°C), 2 - 50 nM transaminase, potassium phosphate (100 mM, pH 10). The rates of the transaminases were inferred from the coupled rate of NAD<sup>+</sup> turnover by alanine dehydrogenase, which was dependent on the production of co-product (alanine) by the transaminase. NAD<sup>+</sup> turnover was measured by the change in UV absorbance at 340 nm using a SpectraMax M2 spectrophotometer (Molecular Devices, Australia); reactions were conducted at 28 °C. Kinetic parameters were obtained using the above method, recording initial rates of activity across a range of substrate concentrations. Parameters were subsequently calculated using non-linear regression.

#### Molecular Dynamics Simulations

Models of KES23360, N6 and N43 were prepared in Accelrys Discovery Studio v 3.5 from the experimentally derived structure as a starting point. A model for the HMD:PLP external aldimine intermediate and PLP (for N43) were created in Accelrys Discovery Studio v 3.5 and prepared for MD using the Full Minimization tool in Discovery Studio v 3.5 using the default settings (CHARMm forcefield). HMD-PLP aldimine complexes were manually orientated in the active site using the electron density from native PLP as a guide. For N43 no PLP was solved in the initial X-ray structure, so this was constructed *in silico* and manually orientated based on alignment against KES23360 and N16. Atomic charges were calculated in Accelrys Materials Studio v8.0 using the QEq method and the substrates were manually docked into the active site. Ligands were prepared for MD in AMBER 14<sup>16</sup> using the GAFF forcefield and the protein models were solvated in a TIP3P octahedral solvent box with a minimum 12 Å periodic boundary distance and charge-neutralised by the addition of Na<sup>+</sup> ions. Proteins were prepared using the ff99sb forcefield.

Initial minimisation of both systems was performed using AMBER 14 over 10,000 steps under a constant pressure of 1 Bar. Bonds lengths on bonds involving hydrogen were constrained in SHAKE and force evaluation on these bonds was not performed. 200 ns MD simulations with a step-size of 0.002 ps were performed at 310 K and 1 bar pressure with a 2 ps relaxation time. Trajectories were

analysed using VMD (v. 1.9.2) <sup>17</sup>. Analysis was conducted on 150 ns of the simulation, removing the first 50 ns to ensure the systems had equilibrated as determined through RMSD analysis.

Figure S1 – The complete phylogenetic tree constructed for KES23360. The highlighted region contains the subtree investigated further and contains the KES23360 sequence (blue). Unless shown, all calculated bootstrap values were 100.



# Figure S2 – Multiple Sequence Alignment of the seven peptides. Generated using Clustal Omega. Average Sequence Identity is 67.1%.

KES23360 N6 N15 N16 N17 N43 N48	MTDYAKLFEQDRAHFMHPSTHAHDHASGALPGRIITGASGVRIRDHQGRELLDAFAGLYC MTDFDQLFEQDRAHFMHPSTHAHDHASGALPGRIITGASGIRIRDHEGRELIDAFAGLYC MTDLDQLFEMDRAHFMHPSTHAHDHASGALPGRIITGGKGIRIQDHEGREYIDAFAGLYC MQSLDQLFEMDRAHFMHPSTHAHDHASGALPGRIITGGKGIRIEDHEGREYIDAFAGLYC MTSLDQLFEEDRAHFMHPSTHAHDHASGALPGRIITGGKGIRIEDHEGREYIDAFAGLYC MTSLEQLLEMDRAHFMHPSTHAHDHASGALPGRIITGGKGIRIEDHEGREYIDAFAGLYC MTDLDLLEMDRAHFFHPSTHLRDHASGELPGRIITGGKGIRIQDSEGREYIDAFAGLYC **:* ****** ***** ***************
KES23360 N6 N15 N16 N17 N43 N48	VNIGYGRLEVADAIHEQAKQLAYYHTYVGHASEAIIELSARIIRDWAPAGMKKVYYGLSG VNIGYGRTEVADAIYKQAKELAYYHTYVGHSTEAIIELSSRIIRDWAPAGMKKVYYGLSG VNIGYGRTEVADAIYEQAKELAYYHTYVGHSTEAIIELSSRIIRDWAPAGMKKVYYGMSG VNIGYGRTEVADAIYEQAKQLAYYHTYVGHSTDAIIELSSRIIRDWAPAGMKKVYYGMSG VNIGYGRTEVADAIYEQAKQLAYYHTYVGHSTDAIIELSSRIIRDWAPAGMKKVYYGMSG VNIGYGREEVADAIYEQAKQLAYYHTYVGHSNDPVIELSSRIIRDWAPAGMKKVYYGMSG VNVGYGRTEIADAIYEQAKELAYYHTYVGHSNDPVIELSSRIIRDWAPAGMKKVYYGMSG **:**** *:****::**********************
KES23360 N6 N15 N16 N17 N43 N48	SDANETQVKLVRYYNNVLGRPQKKKIISRQRGYHGSGIVTGSLTGLASFHQHFDLPVEGV SDANETQIKLVRYYNNVLGRPQKKKIISRQRGYHGSGIMTGSLTGLPSFHQHFDLPVEGI SDANETQIKLVWYYNNVLGRPQKKKIISRQRGYHGSGIMTGSLTGLPSFHQHFDLPIERI SDANETQIKLVWYYNNVLGRPNKKKISRERGYHGSGIVTGSLTGLPSFHQHFDLPIDRV SDANETQIKLVWYYNNVLGRPNKKKISRERGYHGSGIVTGSLTGLPSFHQHFDLPIDRV SDANETQIKLVWYYNNVLGRPNKKKISRERGYHGSGIVTGSLTGLPSFHQHFDLPIDRV SDANETQIKLVWYYNNVLGRPNKKKISRQRGYHGSGIMTGSLTGLPSFHQHFDLPIDRV SDANETQIKLVWYYNNVLGRPNKKKISRQRGYHGSGIMTGSLTGLPAFHNHFDLPLEPI *******:**
KES23360 N6 N15 N16 N17 N43 N48	KHTLCPHFYKAPAGMDEAAFVRHCAQELENLILAEGPDTVAAFIGEPVMGTGGIIVPPKG KHTVCPHWYKAPAGMDEAAFVRYCADELEKLILAEGPDTVAAFIGEPVMGTGGIIVPPKG KHTVCPHWYKAPAGMSEAQFVRYCADELEKLILAEGPDTVAAFIGEPVMGTGGIIPPPQG KHTVCPHWYRAPAGMSEAQFVAYCVEELEKLIAREGADTIAAFIAEPVMGTGGIIPPPQG KHTVCPHWYKAPAGMSEAQFVAYCVEELEKLIAREGADTIAAFIAEPVMGTGGIIAPPQG KHTVCPHWYNAPPGMSEAQFVAYCVEELEKLIAREGADTIAAFIAEPVMGTGGIVPPPQG RHTCPHYYRAPAGMSEAEFSRHCADELEKMILAEGPDTVAAFIGEPVMGTGGIVPPPEG :** ***:*.** **.** * :*::***:*
KES23360 N6 N15 N16 N17 N43 N48	YWEAIQAVLAKYDVLLIADEVVCAFGRLGDKMGSQRHAMRPDLITTAKGLTSAYAPLSAV YWEAIQAVLNKYDVLLIADEVVCAFGRLGSKMGSQRYGMRPDLITTAKGLTSAYAPLSAV YWEAIQAVLNKYDILLIADEVVCGFGRLGSKMGSQHYGMKPDLITVAKGLTSAYAPLSGV YWEAIQAVLRKHDILLIADEVVCGFGRLGSKMGAQHYGIKPDLITVAKGLTSAYAPLSGV YWEAIQAVLRKHDILLIADEVVCGFGRLGSKMGAQHYGIKPDLITVAKGLTSAYAPLSGV YWEAIQAVLRKHDILLIADEVVCGFGRLGSKTGSEHYGIKPDLITVAKGLTSAYAPLSAV YWEAIQAVLRKHDILLIADEVVCGFGRLGSKTGSHHYGKPDLITVAKGLTSAYAPLSGV YWEAIQAVLNKYDILLIADEVVCGFGRTGSMFGSHHYGMKPDLITVAKGLTSAYAPLSGV
KES23360 N6 N15 N16 N17 N43 N48	IVGEKVWDVIDSASTREGAMGHGWTYSGHPICAAAALANLDILERENITANAADVGGYLN IVGEKVWDVIEKASQKEGAMGHGWTYSGHPICAAAALANLDILERENLTANAADVGAYLN IVGEKVWDVIEKGSQEHGPMGHGWTYSGHPICAAAALANLDILERENLTGNAADVGAYLQ IVGEKVWDVIEKGSQEHGPMGHGWTYSGHPICAAAALANLDILERENLTGNAADVGAYLQ IVGEKVWDVIEKGSQEHGPMGHGWTYSGHPICAAAALANLDILERENLTGNAADVGAYLQ IVSEKVWDVIEKGSREHGVMGHGWTYSGHPVCAAAALANLDILERENLTGNAADVGAYLQ IVGEKVWKVLEQGSDQYGPIGHGWTYSGHPICAAAALANLDILERENLTGNAADVGAYLQ IVGEKVWKVLEQSSDQYGPIGHGWTYSGHPICAAAALANLDILERENLTGNAADTGAYFQ **.****.*::* . * :*******************
KES23360 N6 N15 N16 N17 N43 N48	QQLRQAFEGHPLVGEVRGDGMLAALEFMADREARTPFDAALKVGPKVSAACLERGMIARA QRLRETFEGHPLVGEVRGDGMLAALEFMADREARTPFDPALKVGPKVSAACLEDGMIARA QRLRETFGGHPLVGEVRGVGMLAALEFMADKDARTPFDPALKVGPKVSAACLEDGMIARA QRLHEAFGAHPLVGEVRGVGMLAALEFMADKDARTPFDPALKVGPKVSAAALEDGMIARA QRLHEAFGAHPLVGEVRGVGMLAALEFMADKDARTPFDPALKVGPKVSAAALEDGVIARA QRLHEAFGAHPLVGEVRGVGMLAALEFMADKGARTPFDPALKVSQKVAAAALEDGLIVRA QRMRETFGDHPLVGEVRGVGMLAALEFMADKGARTPFDPALKVSQKVAAAALEDGLIVRA XMRETFGDHPLVGEVRGVGLMAALEFVADKDKRTRFDPSLKVGPRVSAACLEDGMIARA *:::::* ******** *::***: ** ** :***. :****
KES23360 N6 N15 N16 N17 N43 N48	MPHGDILGFAPPLVLSRAEADEVVGIAKAAVDAVAAEVL MPHGDILGFAPPLVLTRAEADEIVGIAKQAVDEVAGEVL MPHGDILGFAPPLVTTRAEVDEIVGIAKQAVDEVADEVL MPHGDILGFAPPLVTTRAEVDEIVGIVKQAVDEVADEVL LPHGDILGFAPPLVTTRAEVDEIVAIAKEAFDEVADAVL MPHGDILGFAPPLVITRAEVDEIVDIAKQAVDAVADELV :************************************

Figure S3 – The X-ray crystal structure of KES23360 (PDB accession number 5KQT) shown as a monomer (top left) and dimer (top right). The protein is shown in a cartoon representation with the pyridoxal-5'-phosphate (PLP) cofactor shown in stick representation. Bottom – an overlay of the seven proteins illustrating the high overall structural conservation between the proteins.



Table	<b>S</b> 1	_	DSF	anal	vsis
TUDIC	27		231	unu	yJIJ

Protein	Melt temp (KPO <sub>4</sub> buffer;	Highest Melt temp (°C)	Preferred buffer	Comments
	°C)			
KES23360	57	64	50 mM ADA pH 6.5, 200 mM NaCl	
N6	62	69.5	50 mM MOPS pH 7, 200 mM NaCl	
N15	61	64.5/72.5	50 mM ADA pH 6.5, 200 mM NaCl	Two-part unfolding.
N16	66	70	50 mM Tris pH 8, 200 mM NaCl	
N17	62.5	67.5	50 mM Tris pH 8, 200 mM NaCl	
N43	62	69.5	50 mM ADA pH 6.5, 200 mM NaCl	
N48	49/56.5	46/64	50 mM MOPS pH 7, 200 mM NaCl	Two-part unfolding.

Protein	Protein concentration (mg/mL)	Crystallisation Conditions
KES23360	5	1.6 M ammonium sulfate, 1% dioxane, 50 mM MES pH 6.8
N6	10	Tris Chloride (0.1 M, pH 8.53), magnesium chloride (0.155 M), PEG 8000 (15.2 % w/v) and glycerol (12.8 % v/v)
N15	10	Tris Chloride (0.1 M, pH 7.15), magnesium chloride (0.152 M), PEG 8000 (14.9 % w/v) and glycerol (17.1 % v/v)
N16	10	Ammonium formate (0.135 M) and PEG 3350 (20.1 % w/v)
N17	10	Tris Chloride (0.1 M, pH 7.14), magnesium chloride (0.152 M), PEG 8000 (11.9 % w/v) and glycerol (14.8 % v/v)
N43	4	Ammonium formate (0.216 M) and PEG 3350 (16.1 % w/v)
N48	10	Magnesium nitrate (0.03 M) and PEG 3350 ( 15.6 % w/v)

Table S2 – Crystallisation Conditions and X-ray data

PDB	5KQT (KES23360)	5KQU (N6)	5KQW (N15)	
Space group	P6522	P21	P21	
Cell dimensions				
a, b, c (Å)	66.4, 66.4, 343.3	74.1, 146.9, 131.3	66.1, 125.2, 110.5	
α, β, γ (°)	90, 90, 120	90, 97.9, 90	90, 102.0, 90	
Resolution (Å)	47.8-1.99 (2.10-1.99)	49.0-2.62 (2.67-2.62)	47.2-2.23 (2.27-2.23)	
R <sub>merge</sub>	0.136 (0.720)	0.138 (0.742)	0.130 (0.739)	
<b>R</b> <sub>pim</sub>	0.030 (0.160)	0.082 (0.445)	0.125 (0.707)	
CC1/2	0.998 (0.910)	0.995 (0.740)	0.988 (0.654)	
Ι / σΙ	17.6 (4.9)	11.3 (2.5)	9.2 (2.3)	
Completeness (%)	99.9 (99.3)	99.7 (95.1)	99.8 (99.1)	
Redundancy	20.7 (20.7)	7.5 (6.9)	3.8 (3.6)	
Refinement				
Resolution (Å)	47.8 - 1.99	49.0 - 2.62	47.2 - 2.23	
Unique Reflections	30,450	79,422	81,119	
R <sub>work</sub> / R <sub>free</sub> (%)	19.6/ 23.7	18.8/ 22.0	16.4/ 19.2	
No. atoms	3,682	21,243	14,665	
Protein	3,473	21,007	14,071	
PLP / Other	15	90	na	
Water	182	146	564	
B-factors (Å <sup>2</sup> )	23.9	41.2	23.0	
Protein	24.0	41.3	23.1	
PLP / Other	22.8	51.1	na	
Water	26.5	26.4	21.3	
R.m.s. deviations				
Bond lengths (Å)	0.009	0.010	0.013	
Bond angles (°)	1.389	1.504	1.560	

PDB	5KR3 (N16)	5KR4 (N17)	5KR5 (N43)	5 KR6 (N48)	
Space group	P21	P212121	C2221	P21	
Cell dimensions					
a, b, c (Å)	63.5, 122.2, 64.3	67.1, 121.8, 125.6	98.5, 102.5, 199.8	61.1, 123.2, 63.3	
α, β, γ (°)	90, 116.5, 90	90, 90, 90	90, 90, 90	90, 117.6, 90	
Resolution (Å)	41.9-1.95 (1.99-1.95)	45.8-2.00 (2.04-2.00)	48.6-2.10 (2.15-2.10)	48.8-1.99 (2.04-1.99)	
R <sub>merge</sub>	0.077 (0.665)	0.156 (0.726)	0.170 (0.899)	0.075 (0.320)	
<b>R</b> <sub>pim</sub>	0.047 (0.404)	0.092 (0.437)	0.101 (0.532)	0.072 (0.309)	
CC1/2	0.998 (0.965)	0.993 (0.756)	0.994 (0.670)	0.995 (0.859)	
Ι / σΙ	20.4 (3.4)	10.4 (2.4)	9.5 (2.2)	13.0 (3.4)	
Completeness (%)	98.9 (96.3)	99.8 (97.1)	99.6 (97.6)	98.5 (98.1)	
Redundancy	7.3 (7.0)	7.3 (7.0)	7.3 (7.3)	3.7 (3.6)	
Refinement					
Resolution (Å)	41.9 - 1.95	45.8 - 2.00	48.6 - 2.10	48.8 - 1.99	
Unique Reflections	58,359	66,296	56,252	53,036	
R <sub>work</sub> / R <sub>free</sub> (%)	21.9/ 25.7	19.2/ 22.4	20.4/ 24.0	19.5/ 23.9	
No. atoms	7,527	7,504	7,403	7,336	
Protein	7,051	7,015	6,991	7,088	
PLP / Other	30	30	30	30	
Water	446	447	358	218	
B-factors (Å <sup>2</sup> )	18.2	21.1	29.3	22.7	
Protein	18.2	21.2	29.6	22.8	
PLP / Other	31.1	11.5	26.1	22.6	
Water	21.2	23.7	28.7	22.7	
R.m.s. deviations					
Bond lengths (Å)	0.013	0.013	0.009	0.013	
Bond angles (°)	1.563	1.565	1.424	1.533	

Substrate	KES23360	N6	N15	N16	N17	N43	N48
β-Alanine	3.2 ± 0.08	7.8 ± 0.01	4.3 ± 0.02	$0.4 \pm 0.01$	$1.2 \pm 0.04$	$1.8 \pm 0.07$	$0.1 \pm 0.002$
4-Aminobutyrate	48.1 ± 0.7	60.9 ± 2.0	21.9 ± 0.4	4.2 ± 0.06	9.0 ± 0.05	49.7 ± 0.3	$1.4 \pm 0.004$
5-Aminopentanoate	81.4 ± 1.8	56.3 ± 2.2	19.5 ± 0.6	5.5 ± 0.03	$9.6 \pm 0.1$	76.5 ± 0.5	$2.1 \pm 0.01$
6-Aminohexanoate	81.4 ± 1.8	57.7 ± 2.4	20.5 ± 0.6	$4.5 \pm 0.01$	$9.1 \pm 0.1$	74.7 ± 0.5	$1.7 \pm 0.01$
7-Aminoheptanoate	78.6 ± 1.4	56.5 ± 2.9	18.0 ± 0.06	$4.2 \pm 0.02$	8.5 ± 0.2	70.6 ± 0.5	$1.9 \pm 0.01$
8-Aminooctanoate	64.9 ± 1.1	37.9 ± 2.4	17.5 ± 0.6	3.5 ± 0.06	7.6 ± 0.2	61.0 ± 0.3	$1.9 \pm 0.01$
12-Aminododecanoate	8.2 ± 0.3	10.2 ± 0.08	4.6 ± 0.2	$0.6 \pm 0.01$	$1.8 \pm 0.05$	18.7 ± 0.3	0.2 ± 0.002
4-NH <sub>2</sub> -(S)-2-hydroxybutyrate	95.1 ± 0.7	27.0 ± 1.0	14.9 ± 0.5	4.6 ± 0.03	7.5 ± 0.04	50.6 ± 0.1	2.2 ± 0.01
2,4-(S)-Diaminobutyrate	24.0 ± 0.3	6.8 ± 0.03	5.3 ± 0.03	1.5 ± 0.007	3.7 ± 0.04	15.9 ± 0.1	0.5 ± 0.003
1,3-Diaminopropane	0.7 ± 0.07	$1.4 \pm 0.05$	$1.1 \pm 0.006$	$0.1 \pm 0.003$	$0.5 \pm 0.01$	$1.8 \pm 0.04$	0.02 ± 0.002
Putrescine	$15.1 \pm 0.3$	38.8 ± 0.2	17.9 ± 0.09	2.8 ± 0.08	7.9 ± 0.04	32.3 ± 0.4	0.3 ± 0.003
Cadaverine	4.7 ± 0.3	48.6 ± 0.5	21.5 ± 0.6	2.6 ± 0.1	$3.5 \pm 0.1$	62.0 ± 0.5	0.3 ± 0.002
1,6-Hexamethylenediamine	$5.4 \pm 0.3$	17.9 ± 0.3	13.8 ± 0.2	$2.0 \pm 0.02$	6.7 ± 0.05	37.8 ± 0.9	$0.5 \pm 0.01$
1,7-Heptamethylenediamine	5.7 ± 0.2	29.6 ± 0.3	$14.0 \pm 0.2$	$2.1 \pm 0.02$	6.8 ± 0.2	48.7 ± 0.2	$0.6 \pm 0.01$
1,8-Octamethylenediamine	6.8 ± 0.3	24.2 ± 0.5	13.9 ± 0.2	$1.7 \pm 0.03$	$4.6 \pm 0.1$	37.4 ± 0.4	$0.3 \pm 0.01$
1,9-Nonamethylenediamine	$12.2 \pm 0.4$	20.6 ± 0.7	12.1 ± 0.2	$1.7 \pm 0.03$	$6.0 \pm 0.2$	43.7 ± 0.2	0.5 ± 0.005
1,10-Decamethylenediamine	$7.2 \pm 0.2$	47.3 ± 0.3	13.7 ± 0.2	$2.0 \pm 0.02$	$6.1 \pm 0.1$	38.3 ± 0.3	0.6 ± 0.006
Glycine	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.	0.2 ± 0.02	0.2 ± 0.002
L-Lysine	$2.2 \pm 0.1$	N.D.	N.D.	$0.1 \pm 0.009$	2.2 ± 0.05	$1.8 \pm 0.05$	N.D.
D-Lysine	3.9 ± 0.03	10.0 ± 0.09	6.2 ± 0.08	$1.0 \pm 0.01$	3.2 ± 0.05	11.4 ± 0.07	$0.1 \pm 0.001$
L-Ornithine	28.3 ± 0.3	29.1 ± 0.7	$14.8 \pm 0.06$	$1.5 \pm 0.03$	$6.1 \pm 0.1$	$19.1 \pm 0.4$	0.3 ± 0.002
D-Ornithine	2.5 ± 0.2	4.9 ± 0.03	3.3 ± 0.02	0.5 ± 0.0007	$1.4 \pm 0.01$	$5.0 \pm 0.1$	0.03 ± 0.002
L-Arginine	0.7 ± 0.05	$1.7 \pm 0.04$	$1.0 \pm 0.02$	0.2 ± 0.003	0.5 ± 0.007	$1.4 \pm 0.03$	N.D.
D-Arginine	N.D.	N.D.	0.2 ± 0.005	$0.1 \pm 0.001$	$0.1 \pm 0.002$	N.D.	N.D.
L-Glutamate	N.D.	N.D.	$0.4 \pm 0.01$	$0.03 \pm 0.001$	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.
Citrulline	N.D.	$0.9 \pm 0.01$	0.8 ± 0.007	$0.1 \pm 0.002$	0.2 ± 0.006	$1.8 \pm 0.1$	N.D.
N-Acetyl-L-Ornithine	0.7 ± 0.03	1.2 ± 0.05	$1.1 \pm 0.03$	$0.1 \pm 0.001$	$0.3 \pm 0.01$	0.9 ± 0.1	N.D.
Creatine	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.
Taurine	N.D.	N.D.	0.3 ± 0.004	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.
3-Aminoheptanoate	N.D.	0.7 ± 0.02	0.5 ± 0.02	$0.1 \pm 0.002$	$0.4 \pm 0.01$	0.5 ± 0.02	$0.03 \pm 0.002$
3-Aminocyclohexanoate	0.7 ± 0.04	$2.0 \pm 0.01$	$1.0 \pm 0.006$	$0.1 \pm 0.002$	$0.4 \pm 0.003$	7.3 ± 0.1	$0.04 \pm 0.002$
3-D/L-Aminoisobutyrate	N.D.	N.D.	0.5 ± 0.009	$0.1 \pm 0.002$	$0.1 \pm 0.003$	0.5 ± 0.02	N.D.
Gabapentin	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.
Serinol	N.D.	0.5 ± 0.01	0.6 ± 0.02	$0.1 \pm 0.002$	$0.2 \pm 0.01$	0.9 ± 0.04	N.D.
β-Homoleucine	N.D.	N.D.	$0.4 \pm 0.009$	$0.1 \pm 0.0009$	$0.1 \pm 0.006$	N.D.	$0.03 \pm 0.002$
α-Methylbenzylamine	$1.8 \pm 0.1$	10.2 ± 0.09	3.0 ± 0.02	0.4 ± 0.005	$3.0 \pm 0.04$	9.6 ± 0.1	$0.1 \pm 0.002$
2-Aminoindan	$0.7 \pm 0.1$	9.7 ± 0.06	4.7 ± 0.06	$0.8 \pm 0.01$	$3.2 \pm 0.03$	$14.6 \pm 0.2$	$0.1 \pm 0.003$
Isopropylamine	N.D.	$1.2 \pm 0.02$	$0.6 \pm 0.01$	$0.1 \pm 0.001$	$0.5 \pm 0.03$	$4.1 \pm 0.07$	$0.04 \pm 0.003$
Cyclohexylamine	N.D.	$2.4 \pm 0.04$	$1.2 \pm 0.009$	$0.2 \pm 0.002$	0.9 ± 0.03	5.5 ± 0.2	$0.1 \pm 0.003$
6-Aminohexanol	4.3 ± 0.2	$13.8 \pm 0.1$	6.4 ± 0.2	$1.1 \pm 0.01$	$4.9 \pm 0.05$	21.9 ± 0.1	$0.3 \pm 0.01$

Table S3 - Specific Activity data with errors. Specific activity data in nmol/min/mg. Errors are shown to one decimal place or significant figure. N.D. – Activity not detectable

#### **References**

- 1. The UniProt Consortium, *Nucleic Acids Research*, 2014, **42**, D191-D198.
- 2. K. Katoh and D. M. Standley, *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, 2013, **30**, 772-780.
- 3. B. P. Blackburne and S. Whelan, *Bioinformatics*, 2012, **28**, 495-502.
- 4. T. Golubchik, M. J. Wise, S. Easteal and L. S. Jermiin, *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, 2007, **24**, 2433-2442.
- 5. M. Gouy, S. Guindon and O. Gascuel, *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, 2010, **27**, 221-224.
- 6. B. Q. Minh, M. A. T. Nguyen and A. von Haeseler, *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, 2013, **30**, 1188-1195.
- 7. H. Ashkenazy, O. Penn, A. Doron-Faigenboim, O. Cohen, G. Cannarozzi, O. Zomer and T. Pupko, *Nucleic Acids Research*, 2012, **40**, W580-W584.
- 8. Mammalian Gene Collection, pMA Vector Map, <u>http://mgc.nci.nih.gov/Vectors/prot\_pMA</u>.
- 9. S. A. Seabrook and J. Newman, *ACS Combinatorial Science*, 2013, **15**, 387-392.
- 10. W. Kabsch, Acta Crystallographica Section D, 2010, 66, 125-132.
- 11. P. Evans, *Acta Crystallographica Section D*, 2011, **67**, 282-292.
- 12. A. J. McCoy, R. W. Grosse-Kunstleve, P. D. Adams, M. D. Winn, L. C. Storoni and R. J. Read, *Journal of Applied Crystallography*, 2007, **40**, 658-674.
- 13. P. Emsley, B. Lohkamp, W. G. Scott and K. Cowtan, *Acta Crystallographica Section D*, 2010, **66**, 486-501.
- 14. G. N. Murshudov, P. Skubak, A. A. Lebedev, N. S. Pannu, R. A. Steiner, R. A. Nicholls, M. D. Winn, F. Long and A. A. Vagin, *Acta Crystallographica Section D*, 2011, **67**, 355-367.
- 15. M. Wilding, E. F. A. Walsh, S. J. Dorrian and C. Scott, *Microbial Biotechnology*, 2015, **8**, 665-672.
- D. A. Case, V. Babin, J. T. Berryman, R. M. Betz, Q. Cai, D. S. Cerutti, I. Cheatham, T. E., T.A. Darden, R. E. Duke, H. Gohlke, A. W. Goetz, S. Gusarov, N. Homeyer, P. Janowski, J. Kaus, I. Kolossváry, A. Kovalenko, T. S. Lee, S. LeGrand, T. Luchko, R. Luo, B. Madej, K. M. Merz, F. Paesani, D. R. Roe, A. Roitberg, C. Sagui, R. Salomon-Ferrer, G. Seabra, C. L. Simmerling, W. Smith, J. Swails, R. C. Walker, J. Wang, R. M. Wolf, X. Wu and P. A. Kollman, University of California, San Francisco, 2014.
- 17. W. Humphrey, A. Dalke and K. Schulten, *Journal of Molecular Graphics*, 1996, **14**, 33-38.