Electronic Supplementary Material (ESI) for Green Chemistry. This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2018

Electronic Supplementary Material (ESI) for Green Chemistry. This journal is The Royal Society of Chemistry 2018

Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) for:

Selective Recovery of Lithium from Cathode Scrap of Spent Lithium Iron

Phosphate Batteries: A Green Sustainably Process

Yongxia Yang^{a,b}, Xiangqi Meng^{b,c}, Hongbin Cao^{b*}, Xiao Lin^b, Chenming Liu^b Yong Sun^d, Yi

Zhang^{a,b}, Zhi Sun^{b*}

^a School of Materials Science and Engineering, Northeastern University, Shenyang, Liaoning 110819, China

^b Beijing Engineering Research Center of Process Pollution Control, Division of Environment Technology and Engineering, Institute of Process Engineering, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China

^c School of Chemical Engineering and Technology, Tianjin University, Tianjin 300350, PR China ^d Edith Cowan University School of Engineering, 270 Joondalup Drive Joondalup WA 6027 Australia

*Corresponding author: Zhi Sun (sunzhi@ipe.ac.cn)

National Engineering Laboratory for Hydrometallurgical Cleaner Production Technology

Institute of Process Engineering, Chinese Academy of Sciences

Tel: +86 10 82544844

Fax: +86 10 82544845

No. 1 Beierjie, Zhongguancun, Beijing, China

Eight types of leaching solutions:

- Solution 1: 0.8mol·L⁻¹ oxalic acid with 6 vol.% H₂O₂ at 50°C for 1h, the solid to liquid ratio is 100g·L⁻¹.
- Solution 2: 0.8mol·L⁻¹ citric acid with 6 vol.% H₂O₂ at 50°C for 1h, the solid to liquid ratio is 100g·L⁻¹.
- Solution 3: 0.8mol·L⁻¹ acetic acid with 6 vol.% H₂O₂ at 50°C for 1h, the solid to liquid ratio is 100g·L⁻¹.

Solution 4: 0.8mol·L⁻¹ acetic acid at 50°C for 1h, the solid to liquid ratio is 100g·L⁻¹.

Solution 5: H_2O with 6 vol.% H_2O_2 at 50°C for 1h, the solid to liquid ratio is $100g \cdot L^{-1}$.

- Solution 6: 0.8mol·L⁻¹ hydrochloric acid with 6 vol.% H₂O₂ at 50°C for 1h, the solid to liquid ratio is 100g·L⁻¹.
- Solution 7: 0.4mol·L⁻¹ sulfuric acid with 6 vol.% H_2O_2 at 50°C for 1h, the solid to liquid ratio is 100g·L⁻¹.
- Solution 8: 0.8mol·L⁻¹ nitric acid with 6 vol.% H₂O₂ at 50°C for 1h, the solid to liquid ratio is 100g·L⁻¹.

		Iı	nfluencing factor	Leaching efficiency (%)		
No.	Reaction	Time	Acid	H_2O_2	S/L ratio	
	temperature	(min)	concentration	content	(g/L)	Li
	(°C)		(mol/L)	(vol.%)		
1	25	30	0.2	2	100	22.09
2	25	40	0.4	4	120	87.71
3	25	50	0.6	6	140	86.59
4	25	60	0.8	8	160	91.18
5	40	30	0.4	6	160	87.98
6	40	40	0.2	8	140	55.72
7	40	50	0.8	2	120	61.21
8	40	60	0.6	4	100	91.66

Table S1. Experimental Results of the Orthogonal Design

9	50	30	0.6	8	120	93.74
10	50	40	0.8	6	100	94.54
11	50	50	0.2	4	160	49.41
12	50	60	0.4	2	140	75.41
13	60	30	0.8	4	140	85.10
14	60	40	0.6	2	160	46.39
15	60	50	0.4	8	100	85.15
16	60	60	0.2	6	120	49.17

Table S2. Analysis Results of Orthogonal Experiments for Li

Effect factor	Temperature	Time	Acid	H_2O_2	S/L ratio
K1	71.89	72.23	44.10	51.28	58.69
K2	74.14	71.09	84.06	78.47	72.96
K3	78.27	70.59	79.60	79.57	75.71
K4	66.45	76.86	83.00	81.45	68.74
Extreme	11.75	6 27	28.00	20.17	17.02
deviation	11./3	0.27	58.90	30.17	17.02



Figure S1. Leaching efficiency of Fe from the spent LiFePO₄ under different leaching time (0.8mol·L⁻¹ CH₃COOH, S/L ratio of 120 g·L⁻¹, 6 vol.% H₂O₂)



Figure S2. Leaching efficiency of AI from the spent LiFePO₄ under different leaching time (0.8mol·L⁻¹ CH₃COOH, S/L ratio of 120 g·L⁻¹, 6 vol.% H_2O_2)



Figure S3 Scanning electron microscope (SEM) images of solid samples (a) raw LiFePO4 cathode scrap; (b) final product



Figure S4 TEM images of solid samples (a) raw LiFePO4 cathode scrap; (b) final

product



Figure S5. E-pH diagram of Li-Fe-P-H2O system ([Li]=[Fe]=[P]=1mol/L)¹



Figure S6. Crystal structure of (a) LiFePO₄ and (b) FePO₄

Table S3. The space group and lattice parameters of $LiFePO_4$ and delithiated phase

	FePO ₄	
	LiFePO ₄	FePO ₄
Space Group	P n m a	P n m a
a (Å)	10.334 (1)	9.814 (1)
b (Å)	6.010 (1)	5.789 (1)
c (Å)	4.693 (1)	4.782 (1)

Volume (Å3) 291	1.47 (1)	271.68 (1)
-----------------	----------	------------

Generally, for a chemical reaction: Aa + Bb + ... = cC + dD + ... The change of Gibbs energy for the reaction is expressed as eq. (S1):

$$\Delta G_{\rm r} = \sum v_i G_i (\text{products}) - \sum v_i G_i (\text{reactants}) = (cG_c + dG_D + \dots) - (aG_A + bG_B + \dots)$$
(S1)

Substance	$\Delta_{f}G_{m}^{\theta}(kJ\cdot mol^{-1})$	references
H ⁺ (aq)	0	
Li ⁺ (aq)	-293.31	
CH ₃ COO ⁻ (aq)	-369.31	2
CH ₃ COOH(1)	-389.9	2
$H_2O_2(1)$	-120.35	
$H_2O(l)$	-237.129	
FePO ₄ (s)	-1110.14	3
LiFePO ₄ (s)	-1480.97	, in the second s

Table S4. Thermodynamic data of relevant chemical reactions during leaching



Figure S7. Plots of $1 - (1-X)^{2/3} + 2(1-X)$ vs. t under different reaction temperatures for Li (a). Arrhenius plots for leaching of Li from the cathode scrap calculated by the surface chemical reaction model (b)

Table S5 Purity	y analysis o	f the final	product for Lig		a under the c	ptimized	process
-----------------	--------------	-------------	-----------------	--	---------------	----------	---------

Content	LiCO ₃	Al	Fe	Na	PO4 ³⁻
Composition (wt.%)	99.95(79)	0.0043	0.0016	0.0247	0.0115

Drevention	It is better to prevent waste than to treat or clean up waste
Trevention	after it is formed
A 4	Synthetic methods should be designed to maximize the
Atom Economy	incorporation of all materials used in the process into the final
	product
	Use of auxiliary substances (e.g., solvents separation agents,
Safer solvents and Auxiliaries	etc.) should be made unnecessary, whenever possible and,
	when used, innocuous
	A raw material or feedstock should be renewable rather than
Use of renewable feed stocks	depleting whenever technically and economically practicable
	Energy requirements of chemical processes should be
Design for energy efficiency	recognized for their environmental and economic impacts
	and should be minimized
	Substance and the form of a substance used in a chemical
Innerently safer chemistry	process should be chosen to minimize the potential for
	chemical accidents, including releases, explosions and fires

Table S6 Some principles of green chemistry applied to recover metals $\!\!\!^4$

Table S7 Purity analysis of the	final product for alum	inum foil under the optimized process
---------------------------------	------------------------	---------------------------------------

Content	Al	Fe ₂ O ₃	Li ₂ O	PO ₄ ³⁻
Composition (wt.%)	99.23(04)	0.4223	0.0090	0.3383

Content	FePO ₄	Al	Fe	Li	Р
Composition (wt.%)	99.68(57)	0.0993	33.50(95)	0.2151	19.46(02)

Table S8 Purity analysis of the final product for FePO₄ under the optimized process

Re-synthesis of LiFePO₄/C samples

LiFePO₄/C samples were synthesized via a carbothermal reduction method using recycled FePO₄ and Li₂CO₃ as raw materials. For a typical synthesis, the mixture of FePO₄ and Li₂CO₃ in a molar ratio of 1:1.05, and glucose in a 20:100 weight ratio to LiFePO₄ product were first ball-milled together for 7 h with ethanol as a dispersant. The obtained mixture was heated to 300 °C under an argon atmosphere for 4 h. The mixture was then ground and heated to 700°C under an argon atmosphere for 10 h. The product (*i.e.* LiFePO₄/C) was ground in an agate mortar for later use.

Electrochemical tests

Electrochemical tests were carried out by using CR2025-type coin cells at room temperature. The re-synthesized LiFePO₄/C was mixed with acetylene black and PVDF in a weight ratio of 80:10:10 in NMP. Coin cells used lithium foil as anode and 1.0 mol/L LiPF6 dissolved in ethylene carbonate (EC) and dimethyl carbonate (DMC) in the volume ratio of 1:1 as electrolyte. Meantime, these cells were assembled in the argon-filled glove box with both moisture and oxygen content below 0.1 ppm. Galvanostatic cycling tests were performed on a LAND CT2001A battery tester with the voltage between 2.5 and 4.3V (versus Li+/Li) at the current density of 0.1C (1C=170mA g⁻¹). Rate performance was carried out at the current density of 0.1C, 0.2C, 0.5C, 1C, 2C, 5C.



Figure S8. Electrochemical performances of regenerated LiFePO₄ cathode material at 25°C between 2.5 V and 4.3 V: (a) 0.1 C, (b) cycling performance, (c) rate capability.



Figure S9. Phase diagram of the CH3COONa-water system⁵

Economic and energy consumption prospective of the integrated process

The recycling process in this study can be divided into five parts: NaCl-Discharging and

Dismantling; Leaching; Filtering, Drying and Sieving; Purification; Precipitation. It was assumed that 1 ton of spent LiFePO₄ batteries (18650) were treated by our green recycling process in China. The assumption of the cost of labour: the working day is 300 days per year (average 25 days per month) and the working time is about 8 hours every day. The average wage of per labor is 74318 CNY per year⁶ (\$39 per day, 1\$=6.367 CNY) in china. The assumption of the costs of electricity charge and water price: the industrial electricity charge is \$0.20/kWh (maximum=1.3 CNY, 1 =6.367 CNY)⁷, and the industrial water charge is 0.91/t (maximum)⁸.

Considering the residuals rate and interest rate, depreciation cost of equipment is calculated as Eq.

(S2) while the cost of equipment maintenance cost is calculated as Eq. (S3):

$$C_{D} = C_{o} (1 - r) \times \frac{l}{l - (l + i)^{-n}}$$

$$C_{D} = - - Depreciation cost of equipment$$

$$C_{O} = - - Acquisition cost of equipment$$

$$r = - Residuals rate of equipment, 4\%$$

$$i = - - Interest rate, 10\%$$
(S2)

n - - Service life, year

r

$$M_{c} = 0.05 \times C_{o}$$
 (S3)

 M_C — — Maintenance cost of equipment

 C_O — — Acquisition cost of equipment

And the energy consumption, the cost of energy, water and labor are calculated as Eq. (S4), (S5),

(S6) and (S7):

$$E_p = P \neq$$
(S4)

 E_p — — Energy consumption of the process

P — — — Equipment power, Kw

t — — Working time of equipment

$$C_{p} = E_{p} \mathbf{x} \mathbf{p}_{e} \tag{S5}$$

 C_p — — Cost of energy

 E_p — — Energy consumption of the process

 p_e — — Electricity price for industrial uses,0.20/kWh

$$C_{W} = m \times p_{W}$$
(S6)

 C_w — — — Cost of water m - - Water consumption, ton p_w — — Water price for industrial uses, 0.91/t

$$C_{l} = n \times p_{l}$$
(S7)
$$C_{l} = - \text{Cost of labor}$$

$$n = - \text{number of workers}$$

$$p_{l} = - \text{Wage of per labor, $39/day}$$

Finally, the energy consumption and the cost of every process and revenue of products in this study were calculated in detailed and then a profit was obtained by recycling 1 ton spent LiFePO₄ batteries with the current process as follows in China.

Process I: NaCl-discharging and dismantling:

п

NaCl-discharging requirement: discharging in 5wt.% NaCl solution for 12 h, batteries/solution = 1:10 w/w (assume that the discharging is done at the night before); about 0.5 ton of NaCl and 10 ton of water were needed in the discharging process; one person, a set of automatic conveyor belts (P=7.5kW, per price= \$2000, 2.0 m/s, maximum capacity=1000 m³ /h, service life=5 years) work for 1 hour every day.

$$C_{D} = C_{0} (1 - r) \times \frac{i}{1 - (1 + i)^{-n}} = (\$2000' \frac{1}{300})' (1 - 4\%)' \frac{10\%}{1 - (1 + 10\%)^{-5}} = \$1.69$$

$$M_{c} = 0.05 \times C_{0} = 0.05' (\$2000' \frac{1}{300}) = \$0.33$$

$$E_{p} = P \times t = 7.5kW' 1h = 7.5kW \times h$$

$$C_{p} = E_{p} \times p_{e} = 7.5kW \times h' \$0.2 / kWh = \$1.5$$

$$C_{1} = n \times p_{1} = 1' \$39 = \$39$$

Dismantling: There is no commercial automatic dismantling equipment on the market, a small automated dismantling device was designed for spent LiFePO₄ batteries. The dismantling device as shown in **Figure S10.** (P=2kW, maximum capacity=10kg/h, work time=100h)

 $E_{P} = P \times = 2kW' \quad 100h = 200kW \times h$

 $C_{P} = E_{P} * p_{e} = 200 kW * h'$ \$0.2 / kWh = \$40

Compared with mechanical dismantling, the cost of manual dismantling is also calculated. (manual dismantling maximum capacity=5kg/h, work time=200h, number of workers=25)

 $C_1 = n \times p_1 = 25' \$39 = \$975$



Figure S10. Schematic plot of the dismantling device

The NaCl solution can be used repeatedly, where their costs are not included in the total cost of discharge. The dismantling costs were calculated using laboratory-made dismantling equipment.

Energy consumption: 207.5kW·h;

Cost of energy: \$41.5;

Cost of process: \$41.02;

Total costs: \$82.52.

After this process, about 330kg spent LiFePO₄ cathode scraps can be obtained in this study.

Process I: Leaching

Requirement: One set of glass lining reactor (Internal Electric Heater) (P=45Kw, per price=\$3955, maximum capacity = 1000L/per, service life = 5 years) was used to leaching reaction. In this process, the obtained spent LiFePO₄ cathode scraps were treated in batches of 3 by the reactor,

which means the device needs to work 3 times every day. About 110 kg was reacted in every device every time.

The working time including temperature rise and hold is 1.5 hour every time. About 5ton water was consumed.

$$C_{p} = C_{q} (1 - r) \times \frac{i}{1 - (1 + i)^{n}} = (\$3955' \frac{1}{300})' (1 - 4\%)' \frac{10\%}{1 - (1 + 10\%)^{-5}} = \$3.34$$

$$M_{c} = 0.05 \times C_{q} = 0.05' (\$3955' \frac{1}{300}) = \$0.66$$

$$E_{p} = P \times 4 = 45kW' 1.5h' 3 = 202.5kW \times h$$

$$C_{p} = E_{p} \times p_{q} = 202.5kW \times h' \$0.2 / kWh = \$40.5$$

$$C_{W} = m \times p_{w} = 5t' \$0.91 / t = \$4.55$$

$$C_{l} = n \times p_{l} = 1' \$39 = \$39$$

Energy consumption: 202.5kW \cdots;
Cost of energy: \$40.5;

Cost of process: \$47.55;

Total costs: \$88.05.

Process II Filtering, Drying and Sieving

Filtering requirement: A set of frame filter (P=10Kw, per price=\$8350, maximum capacity = $30m^2$ /per, service life = 5 years) is needed to work for 2 h. About 2 ton of water is consumed.

$$C_{D} = C_{0} (1 - r) \times \frac{i}{1 - (1 + i)^{-n}} = (\$8530' \frac{1}{300})' (1 - 4\%)' \frac{10\%}{1 - (1 + 10\%)^{-5}} = \$7.05$$

$$M_{c} = 0.05 \times C_{0} = 0.05' (\$8530' \frac{1}{300}) = \$1.42$$

$$E_{p} = P \times t = 10kW' 2h = 20kW \times h$$

$$C_{p} = E_{p} \times p_{e} = 20kW \times h' \$0.2 / kWh = \$4$$

$$C_{W} = m \times p_{w} = 2t' \$0.91 / t = \$1.82$$

$$C_{1} = n \times p_{1} = 1' \$39 = \$39$$

Drying requirement: A conveyor drier (P=40Kw, per price=\$15180, maximum capacity = 200kg/per, service life = 5 years) is needed to work for 4h.

$$C_{D} = C_{0} (1 - r) \times \frac{i}{1 - (l + i)^{-n}} = (\$15180' \frac{1}{300})' (l - 4\%)' \frac{10\%}{1 - (l + 10\%)^{-5}} = \$12.81$$

$$M_{c} = 0.05 \times C_{0} = 0.05' (\$15180' \frac{1}{300}) = \$2.53$$

$$E_{p} = P \times 4 = 45kW' 4h = 180kW \times h$$

$$C_{p} = E_{p} \times p_{e} = 180kW \times h' \$0.2 / kWh = \$36$$

$$C_{l} = n \times p_{l} = 1' \$39 = \$39$$

Sieving requirement: A set of vibration screener (Pw=15Kw, per price=\$1260, maximum capacity = 200kg/h, service life = 5 years) is needed to work for 2 h.

$$C_{D} = C_{0} (1 - r) \times \frac{i}{1 - (1 + i)^{n}} = (\$1260' \frac{1}{300})' (1 - 4\%)' \frac{10\%}{1 - (1 + 10\%)^{-5}} = \$1.06$$

$$M_{c} = 0.05 \times C_{0} = 0.05' (\$1260' \frac{1}{300}) = \$0.21$$

$$E_{p} = P \times = 15kW' 2h = 30kW \times h$$

$$C_{p} = E_{p} \times p_{c} = 30kW \times h' \$0.2/kWh = \$6$$

$$C_{p} = E_{p} * p_{e} = 30 kW * h \quad $0.2 / kWh = $$$

$$C_1 = n \times p_1 = 1' \$39 = \$39$$

Energy consumption: 230 kW·h;

Cost of energy: \$46;

Cost of process: \$143.9;

Total costs: \$189.9.

Process V: Purification

Leaching liquid is mechanically evaporated, which is achieved by two multiple-stage evaporators (P = 40 kW, per price = \$36000, maximum capacity = 1ton/hour, service life = 5 years), while the second one is naturally evaporated.

$$C_{D} = C_{0} (1 - r) \times \frac{i}{1 - (1 + i)^{-n}} = (\$36000' \frac{1}{300})' (1 - 4\%)' \frac{10\%}{1 - (1 + 10\%)^{-5}} = \$30.38$$

$$M_{c} = 0.05 \times C_{0} = 0.05' (\$36000' \frac{1}{300}) = \$6$$

$$E_{p} = P \times 40kW' 3h = 120kW \times h$$

$$C_{p} = E_{p} \times p_{e} = 120kW \times h' \$0.2 / kWh = \$24$$

$$C_1 = n \times p_1 = 1' \quad $39 = $39$$

Energy consumption: 120 kW·h;

Cost of energy: \$24;

Cost of process: \$75.38;

Total costs: \$99.38.

Process VI: Precipitation

Requirement: two set of glass lining reactors (Internal Electric Heater) (P=25Kw, per price=\$1955, maximum capacity =500L/per, service life = 5 years) are needed to respectively work for 4h including temperature rise and hold. About 4ton water was consumed.

$$C_{D} = C_{0} (1 - r) \times \frac{i}{1 - (1 + i)^{-n}} = (\$1955' \frac{1}{300})' (1 - 4\%)' \frac{10\%}{1 - (1 + 10\%)^{-5}} = \$1.65$$

$$M_{c} = 0.05 \times C_{0} = 0.05' (\$1955' \frac{1}{300}) = \$0.33$$

$$E_{p} = P \times = 25kW' 4h = 100kW \times h$$

 $C_{P} = E_{P} \times p_{e} = 100 kW \times h' \quad $0.2 / kWh = 20

$$C_{W} = m \times p_{W} = 4t' \$ 0.91 / t = \$ 3.64$$

$$C_{l} = n \times p_{l} = 1' \$39 = \$39$$

Energy consumption: 100 kW·h;

Cost of energy: \$20;

Cost of process: \$44.62;

Total costs: \$64.62.

Therefore, the whole energy consumption (E_T) and the total cost of energy (C_E) the cost of the process (C_c) and the total costs of whole processes (C_T) in this study can be calculated:

$E_T = 207.5 \text{ kW} \cdot \text{h} + 202.5 \text{ kW} \cdot \text{h} + 230 \text{ kW} \cdot \text{h} + 120 \text{kW} \cdot \text{h} + 100 \text{kW} \cdot \text{h} = 860 \text{kW} \cdot \text{h}$

$$C_E = \$41.5 + \$40.5 + \$46 + \$24 + \$20 = \$172$$

 $C_c = \$41.02 + \$47.55 + \$143.9 + \$75.38 + \$44.62 = \352.47

$C_T = \$82.52 + \$88.05 + \$189.9 + \$99.38 + \$64.62 = \524.47

From the perspective of reagent cost and product value, the economic prospective of the integrated process is given in **Table 5**, the revenue can be calculated:

P_r=\$1069.2+\$260.7+\$115.36-\$0.70-\$19.24-\$180.36-\$73.92=\$1171.04

Thus, the total processing revenue (P) can be obtained by treating 1ton spent LiFePO₄ batteries with current green recycling process:

P=*P_r*-*C_T*=\$1171.04-\$524.47=\$646.57

In summary, when dealing with 1ton spent LiFePO₄ batteries, the total profit of whole processes (C_T) , the whole energy consumption (E_T) and the total cost of energy (C_E) in this study are **\$646.57**, **860kW**•h and **\$172**.

References

- 1 Z. Zhongwei and L. I. U. Xuheng, The Chinese Journal of Nonferrous Metals, 2006, 16, 1257-1263.
- 2 X. Fu, Physical Chemistry (Volume 1), Higher Education Press, 2005.
- 3 A. V. Churikov, A. V. Ivanishchev, A. V. Ushakov, I. M. Gamayunova and I. A. Leenson, *Journal of Chemical & Engineering Data*, 2013, **58**, 1747-1759.

4 P. Anastas and N. Eghbali, Chemical Society reviews, 2010, 39, 301-312.

5 K. Seo, S. Suzuki, T. Kinoshita and I. Hirasawa, *Chemical Engineering & Technology*, 2012, **35**, 1013-1016.

6 https://tradingeconomics.com/china/wages (website visiting date 2018-5-17).

7 https://www.ceicdata.com/en/china/electricity-price (website visiting date 2018-5-17).

8 D. J. Shen and J. Wu, International Journal of Water Resources Development, 2017, 33, 198-232.