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Fig. S-1: The photo of ECHG system


Table S-1: Graphite furnace temperature program applied for modification of graphite tube with lanthanum.

| Step | Start temp. (oC) | End temp. (으) | Ramp time (s) | Hold time (s) | Ar flow rate (mL/min) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Drying | 80 | 150 | 40 | 0 | 200 |
| Ashing | 400 | 800 | 20 | 0 | 200 |
| Atomization | 1400 | 1400 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| Cooling | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 200 |

Fig. S-2: EDX spectra of the surfaces of the electrode. (A) new electrode, (B) after 10 experiments (C) after 100 experiments.




Fig. S-3: The absorbance vs. time plots obtained by applying Pb HCL, Sn HCL, W HCL and TI HCL. Concentration of $\mathrm{Tl}(\mathrm{I}): 250 \mathrm{ng} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$. In the case of Pb and Sn signals, the applied cathode was $\mathrm{Sn}-\mathrm{Pb}$ alloy. All other conditions was set at the optimum values.


Table S-2: The levels of the factors used for screening step in the two-level Plackett-Burman design.

| Parameter | Low level | High level |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Atomizing temperature $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | 1500 | 2300 |
| Trapping temperature $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | 150 | 450 |
| Ar flow rate $\left(\mathrm{mL} \mathrm{min}^{-1}\right)$ | 0 | 100 |
| Catholyte concentration $\left(\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}\right)$ | 0.001 | 0.01 |
| Anolyte concentration $\left(\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}\right)$ | 0.1 | 0.5 |
| Electrolytic current $(\mathrm{A})$ | 0.1 | 0.2 |
| Electrolysis time (s) | 150 | 300 |
| Carrier gas flow rate $\left(\mathrm{mL} \mathrm{min}^{-1}\right)$ | 20 | 50 |

Fig S-4: The statistical evaluation of the obtained results using the Pareto chart with a minimum t-value of 3.182 at a confidence level of 95.0\%. Concentration of $\mathrm{TI}(\mathrm{I}): 1000 \mathrm{ng} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$.


