## Supporting Information

**Table S1**.Crystal data and structure refinement for ct-[RuCl(CO)(dppb)(bipy)]PF<sub>6</sub>(1) and cc-[RuCl(CO)(dppb)(phen)]PF<sub>6</sub> (6).

**Table S2**. Selected angles for for ct-[RuCl(CO)(dppb)(bipy)]PF<sub>6</sub> and cc-[RuCl(CO)(dppb)(bipy)]PF<sub>6</sub>.

**Table S3**. Contributions, %, of the composing atoms in the frontier orbitals of the Rucomplexes ct-[RuCl(CO)(dppb)(bipy)]PF<sub>6</sub> (**1**), tc-[RuCl(CO)(dppb)(bipy)]PF<sub>6</sub> (**3**) and cc-[RuCl(CO)(dppb)(bipy)]PF<sub>6</sub> (**5**) calculated using the B3LYP/[Ru:SDD;C,H,P,N,Cl:6-311+G\*\*] approach.

**Table S4**. Natural Bonding Orbitals (NBO) charges on the Ru and Ru-bound atoms of the complexes tc-[RuCl(CO)(dppb)(bipy)]PF<sub>6</sub> (**3**), cc-[RuCl(CO)(dppb)(bipy)]PF<sub>6</sub> (**5**), and ct-[RuCl(CO)(dppb)(bipy)]PF<sub>6</sub> (**1**), calculated using the B3LYP/[Ru:SDD;C,H,P,N,Cl:6-311+G\*\*] approach.

**Figure S1.** (C) <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR spectrum of *ct*-[RuCl(CO)(dppb)(bipy)]PF<sub>6</sub> complex in DMSO- $d_6$  at 300 K (A) Expanded regions of 110 – 200 ppm, (B) 5 – 30 ppm at different times.

**Figure S2.** (C) <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H } NMR spectrum of *cc*-[RuCl(CO)(dppb)(bipy)]PF<sub>6</sub> isomer in DMSO $d_6$  at 300 K, (A) Expanded regions of 120 – 210 ppm and (B) 21 – 31 ppm at different times.

**Figure S3.** (C) <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H } NMR spectrum of *tc*-[RuCl(CO)(dppb)(bipy)]PF<sub>6</sub> complex in DMSO- $d_6$  at 300 K. (A) Expanded regions of 110 – 200 ppm and (B) 23 – 31 ppm at different times.

**Figure S4.** A)  ${}^{31}P{}^{1}H$  NMR spectrum of solution after electrolysis of *ct*-[RuCl(CO)(dppb)(bipy)]PF<sub>6</sub> isomer in CH<sub>3</sub>CN and B) cyclic voltammogram of the *ct*-[RuCl(CH<sub>3</sub>CN)(dppb)(bipy)]PF<sub>6</sub> in CH<sub>3</sub>CN.

**Figure S5.** A)  ${}^{31}P{}^{1}H{}$  spectrum of solution after electrolysis of *tc*-[RuCl(CH<sub>3</sub>CN)(dppb)(bipy)]PF<sub>6</sub> carried out for 3 h; B) cyclic voltammogram of *tc*-[RuCl(CH<sub>3</sub>CN)(dppb)(bipy)]PF<sub>6</sub>, C)  ${}^{31}P$  NMR spectra of solution after electrolysis of *cc*-[RuCl(CO)(dppb)(bipy)]PF<sub>6</sub> for 4 h and D) cyclic voltammogram of *cc*-[RuCl(CH<sub>3</sub>CN)(dppb)(bipy)]PF<sub>6</sub>. Conditions: Pt electrode *vs* Ag/AgCl, TBAP 0.1 mol L<sup>-1</sup> in CH<sub>3</sub>CN.

**Figure S6**. <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR spectra of electrolysis products. A) *ct*-[RuCl(CH<sub>3</sub>CN)(dppb)(bipy)PF<sub>6</sub>, B) *tc*-[RuCl(CH<sub>3</sub>CN)(dppb)(bipy)]PF<sub>6</sub> and C) *cc*-[RuCl(CH<sub>3</sub>CN)(dppb)(bipy)]PF<sub>6</sub> in DMSO- $d_6$ .

**Table S1**.Crystal data and structure refinement for ct-[RuCl(CO)(dppb)(bipy)]PF<sub>6</sub>(1) and cc-[RuCl(CO)(dppb)(phen)]PF<sub>6</sub>(6).

Data	Complex (1)	Complex (6)
Empirical formula	$C_{39}H_{36}ClF_6N_2OP_3Ru$	$C_{41}H_{36}ClF_6N_2OP_3Ru$
Molecular weight	892.13	916.15
Color	Yellow	Yellow
Crystal system	Monoclinic	Monoclinic
Space group	P21/c	P21/c
Unit cell dimensions	a = 14.6581(2)	a = 12.4950(4) Å
(Å; °)	b = 16.2338(2);	b = 16.3401(6);
	c = 16.5500(2)	c = 19.2663(6)
	$\beta = 92.759(1)$	$\beta = 101.08$
Volume (Å <sup>3</sup> )	3933.62(9)	3860.2(2)
Unit cell, Z	4	4
Crystal size (mm <sup>3</sup> )	0.22 x 0.22 x 0.19	0.05 x 0.09 x 0.40
Density (calculated; $Mg/m^3$ )	1.506	1.576
Temperature (K)	293(2)	293(2)
Absorption	0.651	0.666
coefficient (mm <sup>-1</sup> )		
F(000)	1808	1856
Wavelength (Mo-	0.71073	0.71073
$K\alpha$ ) (Å)		
Theta range for data	3.10 to 32.03	2.963 to 26.374
collection (°)		15 1 15
Index ranges	$-18 \le h \le 18;$	-15<=h<=15;
	$-20 \le k \le 20;$	-20<=k<=20;
	$-21 \le l \le 24$	-24<=l<=24
Completeness to theta	79.6 %	99.4 %
Reflections collected	10900	28914
Data / restraints /	10900 / 478	7863 / 0 / 496
parameters		
R1 wR2 $[I>2\sigma(I)]$	R1 = 0.0533  wR2 =	R1 = 0.0589
	0.1388	wR2 = 0.1338
R1: wR2 (Total)	R1 = 0.0783. WR2 =	$R = 0.0589$ : $R^{-1} = 0.133$
,	0.1659	
S	1.074	1.201
Largest diff. peak	0.803 and -1.178	0.453 and -0.931
and hole		

Table	<b>S2</b> .	Selected	angles	for	for	<i>ct</i> -[RuCl(CO)(dppb)(bipy)]PF <sub>6</sub>	and	CC-
[RuCl(C	CO)(dp	pb)(phen)]F	PF <sub>6.</sub>					

ct-[ RuCl(CO)(d	opb)(bipy)]PF <sub>6</sub>	cc-[RuCl(CO)(dppb)(phen)]PF <sub>6</sub>		
Angles [	°]	Angles [°]		
C(1)-Ru-N(1)	89.11(12)	C(1)-Ru-N(1)	169.54(14)	
C(1)-Ru-N(2)	86.98(13)	C(1)-Ru-N(2)	91.79(14)	
N(1)-Ru-N(2)	77.11(12)	N(2)-Ru-N(1)	77.93(11)	
C(1)-Ru-P(1)	88.54(11)	C(1)-Ru-P(1)	94.87(12)	
N(1)-Ru-P(1)	105.90(8)	N(2)-Ru-P(1)	89.79(9)	
N(2)-Ru-P(1)	174.57(8)	N(1)-Ru-P(1)	87.14(8)	
C(1)-Ru-Cl	94.91(11)	C(1)-Ru-P(2)	89.32(12)	
N(1)-Ru-Cl	168.63(8)	N(2)-Ru-P(2)	170.33(9)	
N(2)-Ru-Cl	92.46(9)	N(1)-Ru-P(2)	100.48(8)	
P(1)-Ru-Cl	84.87(3)	P(1)-Ru-P(2)	99.68(3)	
C(1)-Ru-P(2)	173.00(12)	C(1)-Ru-Cl	92.28(12)	
N(1)-Ru-P(2)	92.54(7)	N(2)-Ru-Cl	83.27(9)	
N(2)-Ru-P(2)	86.76(8)	N(1)-Ru-Cl	84.64(8)	
P(1)-Ru-P(2)	97.53(3)	P(1)-Ru-Cl	170.19(3)	
Cl-Ru-P(2)	82.24(3)	P(2)-Ru-Cl	87.09(3)	
C(1)-Ru-P(2)	173.00(12)	C(1)-Ru-N(2)	91.79(14)	
N(1)-Ru-P(2)	92.54(7)	C(1)-Ru-N(1)	169.54(14)	

**Table S3**. Contributions, %, of the composing atoms in the frontier orbitals of the Rucomplexes ct-[RuCl(CO)(dppb)(bipy)]PF<sub>6</sub> (**1**), tc-[RuCl(CO)(dppb)(bipy)]PF<sub>6</sub> (**3**) and cc-[RuCl(CO)(dppb)(bipy)]PF<sub>6</sub> (**5**) calculated using the B3LYP/[Ru:SDD;C,H,P,N,Cl:6-311+G\*\*] approach.

Species	номо	LUMO		
( <b>3</b> ) opt.	(C+H) 87	(C=O) 27; (C+H) 56		
( <b>5</b> ) opt.	(C+H) 93	(C=O) 11; (C+H) 82		
( <b>1</b> ) opt.	Ru: 54; Cl: 34;	N: 20; (C+H) 77		
	(C+H) 10			
(1) X-ray*	Ru: 58; Cl: 33	N: 17; (C+H) 80		

\**ct*-[RuCl(CO)(dppb)(bipy)]PF<sub>6</sub> complex calculated using X-ray coordinates.

**Table S4**. Natural Bonding Orbitals (NBO) charges on the Ru and Ru-bound atoms of the complexes tc-[RuCl(CO)(dppb)(bipy)]PF<sub>6</sub> (**3**), cc-[RuCl(CO)(dppb)(bipy)]PF<sub>6</sub> (**5**), and ct-[RuCl(CO)(dppb)(bipy)]PF<sub>6</sub> (**1**), calculated using the B3LYP/[Ru:SDD;C,H,P,N,Cl:6-311+G\*\*] approach.

atoms	complex 3	complex 5	complex 1	complex 1
	(opt.)	(opt.)	(opt.)	(X-Ray)*
Ru	-1.38	-1.28	-0.66	-0.87
N1	-0.40	-0.69	-0.36	-0.36
N2	-0.35	-0.30	-0.37	-0.37
P1	2.79	1.01	1.20	1.30
P2	1.02	0.62	1.09	1.17
Cl	-0.27	-0.26	-0.40	-0.35
C(CO)	0.62	0.60	0.77	0.77
Ο	-0.50	-0.63	-0.44	-0.42

\*ct-[RuCl(CO)(dppb)(bipy)]PF<sub>6</sub> complex calculated using X-ray coordinates.



**Figure S1.** (C) <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR spectrum of *ct*-[RuCl(CO)(dppb)(bipy)]PF<sub>6</sub> complex in DMSO- $d_6$  at 300 k (A) Expanded regions of 110 – 200 ppm, (B) 5 – 30 ppm at different times.



**Figure S2.** (C) <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR spectrum of *cc*-[RuCl(CO)(dppb)(bipy)]PF<sub>6</sub> isomer in DMSO $d_6$  at 300 K, (A) Expanded regions of 120 – 210 ppm and (B) 21 – 31 ppm at different times.



**Figure S3.** (C) <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H } NMR spectrum of *tc*-[RuCl(CO)(dppb)(bipy)]PF<sub>6</sub> complex in DMSO- $d_6$  at 300 K. (A) Expanded regions of 110 – 200 ppm and (B) 23 – 31 ppm at different times.



**Figure S4.** A)  ${}^{31}P{}^{1}H{}$  NMR spectrum of solution after electrolysis of *ct*-[RuCl(CO)(dppb)(bipy)]PF<sub>6</sub> isomer in CH<sub>3</sub>CN and B) cyclic voltammogram of the *ct*-[RuCl(CH<sub>3</sub>CN)(dppb)(bipy)]PF<sub>6</sub> in CH<sub>3</sub>CN.



**Figure S5.** A)  ${}^{31}P{}^{1}H{}$  spectrum of solution after electrolysis of *tc*-[RuCl(CH<sub>3</sub>CN)(dppb)(bipy)]PF<sub>6</sub> carried out for 3 h; B) cyclic voltammogram of *tc*-[ RuCl(CH<sub>3</sub>CN)(dppb)(bipy)]PF<sub>6</sub>, C)  ${}^{31}P$  NMR spectra of solution after electrolysis of *cc*-[RuCl(CH<sub>3</sub>CN)(dppb)(bipy)]PF<sub>6</sub> for 4 h and D) cyclic voltammogram of *cc*-[RuCl(CH<sub>3</sub>CN)(dppb)(bipy)]PF<sub>6</sub>. Conditions: Pt electrode *vs* Ag/AgCl, TBAP 0.1 mol L<sup>-1</sup> in CH<sub>3</sub>CN.



**Figure S6**. <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR spectra of electrolysis products. A) *ct*-[RuCl(CH<sub>3</sub>CN)(dppb)(bipy)]PF<sub>6</sub>, B) *tc*-[RuCl(CH<sub>3</sub>CN)(dppb)(bipy)]PF<sub>6</sub> and C) *cc*-[RuCl(CH<sub>3</sub>CN)(dppb)(bipy)]PF<sub>6</sub>.