Electronic Supplementary Material (ESI) for New Journal of Chemistry. This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry and the Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique 2017

Electronic Supporting Information (ESI)

Covalently anchored sulfamic acid on cellulose as heterogeneous solid acid catalyst for the synthesis of structurally symmetrical and unsymmetrical 1,4-dihydropyridine derivatives

Shrikrishna Karhale^{1,3}, Chandrakant Bhenki¹, Gajanan Rashinkar², Vasant Helavi^{*1}

¹Department of Chemistry, Rajaram College, Kolhapur 416004, Maharashtra, India

²Department of Chemistry, Shivaji University, Kolhapur 416004, Maharashtra, India

³Department of Chemistry, A. M. A. & N. C. S. College, Rajapur, Ratnagiri, Maharashtra, India

Address correspondence to Vasant B. Helavi, Department of Chemistry, Rajaram College, Kolhapur,

416004, M.S., India.

E-mail: <u>vbhelavi@gmail.com</u>

Tel.: +91 231 2537840; fax: +91 231 2531989.

Experimental:

Dimedone (Thomas Baker), (3-aminopropyl)trimethoxysilane (Sigma Aldrich), chlorosulphonic acid (spectrochem), ethylacetoacetate (spectrochem) and aryl aldehydes (Spectrochem and Thomas Baker) were used as received. The NMR spectra were recorded on Bruker AC (300 MHz and 400 MHz for ¹H NMR and 75 MHz and 100 MHz for ¹³C NMR) spectrometer using TMS as an internal standard in DMSO- d_6 or CDCl₃. Chemical shifts (δ) are expressed in ppm. Solid state CP/MAS ¹³C-NMR spectrum was recorded on JEOL-ECX400 spectrometer. The FT-IR spectra were recorded on ALPHA Bruker FT-IR spectrophotometer. The size and morphology of Cell-Pr-NHSO₃H were observed by using FESEM of MIRA3 TESCAN microscope with an accelerating voltage of 10 kV.

General procedure for the synthesis of polyhydroquinoline derivatives:

A mixture of ethylacetoacetate (1 mmol), dimedone (1 mmol), NH₄OAc (1.2 mmol), aryl aldehyde and Cell-Pr-NHSO₃H (0.05 g, 0.0076 mmol) was refluxed in ethanol (4 mL). Upon the

completion of reaction (monitored by TLC), reaction mixture was diluted with hot ethanol (10 mL) and filtered to remove the catalyst. The evaporation of solvent in *vacuo* afforded the crude product which was recrystallized from ethanol to get the desired polyhydroquinolines.

General procedure for the synthesis of 1,8-dioxo-decahydroacridine derivatives:

In a 25 mL round bottomed flask, Cell-Pr-NHSO₃H (0.05 g, 0.0076 mmol) was added to the mixture of dimedone (2 mmol), aromatic aldehyde (1 mmol), and NH₄OAc (1.2 mmol) in ethanol (4 mL). The resulting mixture was refluxed for an appropriate time. Upon the completion of reaction (monitored by TLC), reaction mixture was diluted with hot ethanol (10 mL) and filtered to remove the catalyst. The evaporation of solvent in *vacuo*, afforded the crude product which was recrystallized from ethanol to obtain the desired 1,8-dioxo-decahydroacridines.



Fig. 1: CHN analysis of Cell-Pr-NH₂





Spectral data of representative compounds:

Ethyl 4-phenyl-2,7,7-trimethyl-5-oxo-1,4,5,6,7,8-hexahydroquinoline-3-carboxylate (Table 2, entry 1):

Yield 90%, Mp. 201-203°C, (Mp. 203-204°C)^[1]; FT-IR (KBr) (cm⁻¹): 3283, 3216, 3079, 2929, 1696, 1642, 1479, 1276, 1066, 993, 836, 713, 694; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆): δ (ppm) 9.08 (s, 1H, NH), 7.15 (s, 5H, Ar-H), 4.85 (s, 1H, CH), 3.95-3.99 (q, 2H, CH₂), 2.30-2.44 (q, 2H, CH₂), 2.27 (s, 3H, CH₃), 2.13-2.19 (d, 2H, *J* = 16.2 *Hz*, CH₂), 1.94-1.99 (d, 2H, *J* = 16.2 *Hz*, CH₂), 1.09-1.13 (t, 3H, *J* = 6.9 *Hz*, 6.9 *Hz*, CH₃), 0.99 (s, 1H, CH₃), 0.83 (s, 1H, CH₃); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆): δ (ppm) 14.5, 18.6, 26.8, 29.5, 32.5, 36.3, 50.6, 59.6, 104.2, 110.3, 126.2, 127.8, 128.2, 145.4, 148.0, 150.3, 167.4, 195.1.

Ethyl 4-(4-nitrophenyl)-2,7,7-trimethyl-5-oxo-1,4,5,6,7,8-hexahydroquinoline-3-carboxylate (Table 2, entry 2):

Yield 92%, Mp. 246-248°C, (Mp. 245-247°C)^[1]; FT-IR (KBr) (cm⁻¹): 3272, 3185, 3071, 2962, 2929, 1700, 1604, 1487, 1377, 1287, 1069, 864, 829, 603; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆): δ (ppm) 8.08-8.10 (d, 2H, *J* = 6.6 *Hz*, Ar-H), 7.48-7.51 (d, 2H, *J* = 6.6 *Hz*, Ar-H), 6.49 (s, 1H, NH), 5.17 (s, 1H, CH), 4.02-4.11 (m, 2H, CH₂), 2.40 (s, 3H, CH₃), 2.17-2.36 (q, 4H, CH₂), 1.17-1.20 (t, 3H, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 7.2 *Hz*, CH₃), 1.09 (s, 3H, CH₃), 0.91 (s, 1H, CH₃); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆): δ (ppm) 14.1, 19.46, 27.0, 29.3, 32.7, 37.2, 40.9, 50.6, 60.0, 104.8, 111.0, 123.3, 128.9, 144.5, 146.2, 148.8, 154.4, 166.8, 195.4.

Ethyl 4-(4-methoxyphenyl)-2,7,7-trimethyl-5-oxo-1,4,5,6,7,8-hexahydroquinoline-3-carboxylate (Table 2, entry 6):

Yield 86%, Mp. 257-259°C, (Mp. 258-259°C)^[1]; FT-IR (KBr) (cm⁻¹): 3272, 3189, 3071, 2954, 2833, 1698, 1647, 1485, 1211, 1029, 847, 761, 610; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆): δ (ppm) 8.85 (s, 1H, NH), 7.05-7.07 (d, 2H, *J* = 7.5 *Hz*, Ar-H), 6.67 (s, 2H, Ar-H), 4.78 (s, 1H, CH), 3.95-3.97 (s, 2H, CH₂),

3.65 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 2.25-2.32 (m, 5H, CH₃, CH₂), 1.99-2.09 (q, 2H, CH₂), 1.12-1.16 (t, 3H, *J* = 6.6 *Hz*, 6.6 *Hz*, CH₃), 1.01 (s, 3H, CH₃), 0.86 (s, 1H, CH₃); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆): δ (ppm) 14.6, 18.6, 26.9, 29.5, 32.4, 35.4, 50.7, 55.1, 59.5, 104.7, 110.7, 113.3, 128.8, 140.3, 144.9, 150.2, 157.6, 167.5, 195.4.

Ethyl 4-(2,5-Dimethoxyphenyl)-2,7,7-trimethyl-5-oxo-1,4,5,6,7,8-hexahydroquinoline-3-carboxylate (Table 2, entry 9):

Yield 84%, Mp. 191-192°C, (Mp. 190-192°C)^[2]; FT-IR (KBr) (cm⁻¹): 3298, 3206, 3076, 2957, 2833, 1687, 1606, 1494, 1210, 1025, 717, 595; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- d_6): δ (ppm) 8.11 (s, 1H, NH), 6.75-6.76 (d, 1H, $J = 2.8 \ Hz$, Ar-H), 6.61-6.63 (d, 1H, $J = 8.8 \ Hz$, Ar-H), 6.50-6.53 (dd, $J_1 = 8.8 \ Hz$, $J_2 = 3.2 \ Hz$, Ar-H), 5.08 (s, 1H, CH), 3.89-3.95 (m, 2H, CH₂), 3.65 (s, 6H, OCH₃), 2.14-2.26 (m, 5H, CH₃, CH₂), 1.94-2.09 (q, 2H, CH₂), 1.08-1.12 (t, 3H, $J = 6.8 \ Hz$, 7.2 Hz, CH₃), 0.96 (s, 3H, CH₃), 0.84 (s, 1H, CH₃); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO- d_6): δ (ppm) 14.2, 18.6, 26.8, 29.5, 32.3, 33.4, 40.5, 50.8, 55.4, 56.1, 59.2, 104.0, 109.9, 111.7, 117.2, 136.5, 144.4, 150.1, 151.9, 152.9, 168.0, 195.2;

1,8-dioxo-decahydroacridines

3,3,6,6-Tetramethyl-9-(phenyl)-1,8-dioxo-decahydroacridine (Table 3, entry 1):

Yield 90%, Mp. 190-192°C, (Mp. 190-191°C)^[3]; FT-IR (KBr) (cm⁻¹): 3313, 3073 2939, 1632, 1595, 855, 749; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ (ppm) 11.95 (s, 1H, NH), 7.12-7.31 (m, 5H, Ar-H), 5.57 (s, 1H, CH), 2.37-2.44 (m, 8H, CH₂), 1.26 (s, 6H, CH₃), 1.12 (s, 6H, CH₃); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, DMSO*d*₆): δ (ppm) 27.4, 29.6, 31.4, 32.7, 115.5, 125.8, 126.8, 128.2, 138.1, 189.3, 190.4.

3,3,6,6-Tetramethyl-9-(4-nitrophenyl)-1,8-dioxo-decahydroacridine (Table 3, entry 5):

Yield 90%, Mp. 283-285°C, (Mp. 284-286°C)^[3]; FT-IR (KBr) (cm⁻¹): 3108, 2957, 2666, 1702, 1658, 1582, 1372, 1251, 1044, 963, 928, 850, 733, 675, 587; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ (ppm) 11.80 (s, 1H, NH), 8.12-8.15 (d, 2H, *J*= 8.7 *Hz*, Ar-H), 7.24-7.27 (d, 2H, *J*= 8.7 *Hz*, Ar-H), 5.55 (s, 1H, CH),

2.37-2.47 (m, 8H, CH₂), 1.24 (s, 6H, CH₃), 1.12 (s, 6H, CH₃); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ (ppm) 27.4, 29.5, 31.4, 33.2, 46.4, 46.9, 114.9, 123.5, 127.6, 146.1, 146.5, 189.5, 190.9.

3,3,6,6-Tetramethyl-9-(4-bromophenyl)-1,8-dioxo-decahydroacridine (Table 3, entry 7):

Yield 84%, Mp. > 300°C, (Mp. 310-312°C)[4]; FT-IR (KBr) (cm⁻¹): 3203, 3079, 2955, 1691, 1657, 1450, 1239, 1127, 1007, 894, 775, 657, 584; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ (ppm) 11.89 (s, 1H, NH), 7.38-7.40 (d, 2H, *J*= 8.7 *Hz*, Ar-H), 6.96-6.98 (d, 2H, *J*= 8.1 *Hz*, Ar-H), 5.46 (s, 1H, CH), 2.35-2.45 (m, 8H, CH₂), 1.23 (s, 6H, CH₃), 1.11 (s, 6H, CH₃); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ (ppm) 27.4, 29.5, 31.4, 32.4, 115.2, 119.6, 128.6, 131.2, 137.3, 189.4, 190.6.

3,3,6,6-Tetramethyl-9-(3,4,5-trimethoxyphenyl)-1,8-dioxo-decahydroacridine (Table 3, entry 9):

Yield 80%, Mp. 260-262°C, (Mp. 258-261°C)^[5]; FT-IR (KBr) (cm⁻¹): 3204, 2952, 2928, 2867, 1662, 1584, 1450, 1371, 1153, 1006, 875, 768, 606, ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ (ppm) 12.02 (s, 1H, NH), 6.35 (s, 2H, Ar-H), 5.50 (s, 1H, CH), 2.36-2.42 (m, 8H, CH₂), 1.24 (s, 6H, CH₃), 1.12 (s, 6H, CH₃); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ (ppm) 26.8, 29.9, 31.0, 32.7, 46.3, 47.0, 55.8, 60.8, 104.2, 115.5, 133.7, 135.9, 152.8, 189.2, 190.3.

FT-IR, ¹H-NMR and ¹³C-NMR Spectra of Ethyl 4-phenyl-2,7,7-trimethyl-5-oxo-1,4,5,6,7,8hexahydroquinoline-3-carboxylate (Table 2, entry 1):





FT-IR, ¹H-NMR and ¹³C-NMR Spectra of Ethyl 4-(4-nitrophenyl)-2,7,7-trimethyl-5-oxo-1,4,5,6,7,8-

hexahydroquinoline-3-carboxylate (Table 2, entry 2):







ALPHA 100508 100 BRUKER 95 Transmittance [%] 06 85 80 3272.26 -3189.55 -3071.63 2954.75 2833.93 698.09 647.28 602.15 1377.02 069.10 1485.81 847.47 644.45 610.08 761.61 3500 3000 2500 2000 1500 1000 Wavenumber cm-1 $<^{7.075}_{7.050}$ -4.786 -3.973 -3.365 -2.323 659 2.093 0.862 .991

FT-IR, ¹H-NMR and ¹³C-NMR Spectra of Ethyl 4-(4-methoxyphenyl)-2,7,7-trimethyl-5-oxo-1,4,5,6,7,8-hexahydroquinoline-3-carboxylate (Table 2, entry 6):



ppm



FT-IR, ¹H-NMR and ¹³C-NMR Spectra of Ethyl 4-(2,5-Dimethoxyphenyl)-2,7,7-trimethyl-5-oxo-

1,4,5,6,7,8-hexahydroquinoline-3-carboxylate (Table 2, entry 9):







FT-IR, ¹*H-NMR* and ¹³*C-NMR* Spectra of 3,3,6,6-Tetramethyl-9-(phenyl)-1,8-dioxodecahydroacridine (Table 3, entry 1):







FT-IR, ¹*H-NMR* and ¹³*C-NMR* Spectra of 3,3,6,6-*Tetramethyl-9-(4-nitrophenyl)-1,8-dioxo*decahydroacridine (Table 3, entry 5):







decahydroacridine (Table 3, entry 7):



FT-IR, ¹H-NMR and ¹³C-NMR Spectra of 3,3,6,6-Tetramethyl-9-(3,4,5-trimethoxyphenyl)-1,8-dioxo-

decahydroacridine (Table 3, entry 10):







References:

- 1. Z. Zarnegar, J. Safari and Z. M. Kafroudi, *New J. Chem.*, 2015, **39**, 1445-1451.
- M. Ghorbani, S. Noura, M. Oftadeh, E. gholami and M. A. Zolfigol, *RSC Adv.*, 2015, 5, 55303-55312.
- 3. A. Amoozadeh, S. Golian and S. Rahmani, *RSC Adv.*, 2015, **5**, 45974-45982.
- 4. M. Kiani and M. Mohammadipour, *RSC Adv.*, 2017, 7, 997-1007.
- 5. C. A. Navarro, A. Cesa, C. A. Sierra and C. Ochoa-Puentes, *RSC Adv.*, 2016, **6**, 65355-65365.