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## Materials

All of solvents were purified according to standard methods. All reagents were commercially obtained from Alfa Aesar Chemical Co. and J\&K Chemical Co. and used without further purification unless otherwise specified. All manipulations involving air-sensitive reagents were performed in an atmosphere of dry argon. 2,6(7),14-Tribromo-triptycene (TRIP-3Br) was synthesized according to the reference procedure. ${ }^{1}$

## Measurements and characterization

NMR spectra were recorded on a BRUKER AVANCE 400 MHz instrument. The residual solvent protons $\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right)$ or the solvent carbons $\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\right)$ were used as internal standards. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR data are presented as follows: the chemical shift in ppm ( $\delta$ ) downfield from tetramethylsilane (multiplicity, coupling constant (Hz), integration). The following abbreviations are used in the NMR data reported: s, singlet; d, doublet; t, triplet; q, quartet; and m, multiplet. UVvis absorption spectra were recorded on a Shimadzu UV-1800 spectrophotometer. Mass spectra were taken on a Bruker Daltonics Inc. spectrometer. Cyclic voltammetry measurements were carried out on a Shanghai Chenhua CHI660C electrochemical workstation. The thermal properties were tested on a Netzsch TG209 F3 thermogravimetric analyzer and a TA Q2000 DSC analyzer. The active layer film thickness data were obtained via the surface profilometer (Bruker Dektak XT). The photoactive area of 4.15 $\mathrm{mm}^{2}$ was achieved using a shadow mask. The $J-V$ test were conducted using a Class AAA solar simulator (SAN-EI) affording a value of $100 \mathrm{~mW} \mathrm{~cm}{ }^{-2}$. The EQE data were obtained using an IPCE measurement system (QE-R3011, Enli Technology Co. Ltd., Taiwan).

## Synthesis

2,6(7),14-Tris(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)-triptycene (TRIP-3B). A mixture of 2,6(7),14-tribromo- triptycene (TRIP-3Br) ${ }^{2}(420 \mathrm{mg}, 0.86 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), bis(pinacolato)diboron ( $740 \mathrm{mg}, 2.91 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{dppf}_{2}{ }_{2}(32 \mathrm{mg}, 0.043 \mathrm{mmol})\right.$,

KOAc ( $420 \mathrm{mg}, 4.28 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and dry toluene ( 20 mL ) was refluxed for 15 h under a nitrogen atmosphere. After removal of the solvent, the residue was dissolved in ethyl acetate $(100 \mathrm{~mL})$. Then the organic phase washed with brine $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$, and dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$. After removal of the solvent, the residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography (eluting with dichloromethane) to afford the product TRIP3B ( $341 \mathrm{mg}, 63 \%$ ) as a white solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 1.29(\mathrm{~s}, 36 \mathrm{H})$, 5.45 (d, 2H), 7.34-7.37 (m, 3H), 7.45 (dd, 3H), $7.80(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100$ MHz): $\delta$ 24.7, 24.8, 25.0, 54.1, 54.4, 83.6, 83.6, 123.1, 123.2, 129.5, 129.6, 129.6, 132.2, 132.3, 132.5, 143.8, 144.1, 144.5, 147.7, 148.1, 148.4. HR-MS (MALDI): m/z $[M]^{+}$cacld for $\mathrm{C}_{38} \mathrm{H}_{47} \mathrm{~B}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{6}, 632.3652$; found, 632.3613 .

TRIP-PDI ${ }_{3}$. A mixture of TRIP-3B ( $95 \mathrm{mg}, 0.15 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{PDI}-\mathrm{Br}(499 \mathrm{mg}, 0.60$ $\mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}(30 \mathrm{mg}),\left(\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3} 138 \mathrm{mg}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol}\right)$ was dissolved in the mixed toluene ( 25 mL ) and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3 \mathrm{~mL})$, and then refluxed for 20 h under a nitrogen atmosphere. After removal of the solvent, the residue was dissolved in dichloromethane ( 200 mL ), and the organic phase washed with brine $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ and dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$. After removal of the solvent, the residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography (eluting with dichloromethane/petroleum ether $15 / 50, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$ to afford the product TRIP-PDI $\mathbf{3}_{3}(169 \mathrm{mg}, 45 \%)$ as a red solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 0.81-0.86(\mathrm{~m}, 36 \mathrm{H}), 1.25-1.41(\mathrm{~m}, 86 \mathrm{H}), 1.59(\mathrm{~s}, 13 \mathrm{H}), 1.70-$ $1.87(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 2.20-2.27(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 5.23(\mathrm{t}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 5.60-5.73(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.05-8.09(\mathrm{~m}$, 18H), 8.53-8.70 (m, 12H); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}$ ): $\delta 165.02,163.96,147.54$, $145.48,141.69,140.05,137.08,135.05,134.70,132.83,131.63,130.55,130.14$, 129.39, 128.76, 128.42, 127.75, 126.44, 125.88, 125.08, 123.76, 123.27, 122.84, 54.96, 54.78, 53.85, 32.61, 31.97, 29.93, 29.42, 27.44, 27.09, 22.79, 14.24. HR-MS (MALDI): m/z [M+1] ${ }^{+}$cacld for $\mathrm{C}_{170} \mathrm{H}_{194} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{12}$, 2512.4788; found, 2534.4747 $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$.
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Scheme S1 Synthetic route to TRIP-PDI 3

NMR spectra of TRIP-3B and TRIP-PDI 3







Figure S1 DSC curves of TRIP-PDI ${ }_{3}$ under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ atmosphere

## SCLC measurements

The hole and electron mobility data was extracted from the dark $J-V$ characteristics
of hole-only devices, ITO/PEDOT:PSS/PBDT-TS1:TRIP-PDI ${ }_{3} / \mathrm{Au}$, and electron only devices ITO/ZnO/PBDT-TS1:TRIP-PDI ${ }_{3} / \mathrm{Al}$ devices. The electrical characteristics were measured with a source/measure unit (Keithley 4200) in a $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ filled glove box. The dark $J-V$ curves were fitted by using the Mott-Gurney equation (eq. 1), where $J$ is the dark current density, $\varepsilon_{0}$ is the vacuum permittivity, $\varepsilon$ is the static dielectric constant of the medium, $\mu_{0}$ is the zero-field mobility, $\gamma$ is the disorder parameter, $V$ is the effective voltage and $L$ is the film thickness. For the case of holeonly devices the built in voltage $\left(\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{bi}}\right)$ value of 0 V and for electron only devices $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{bi}}=$ 1.5 V was used. ${ }^{2}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
J(V)=\frac{9}{8} \varepsilon_{0} \varepsilon_{r} \mu_{0} \exp (0.89 \gamma \sqrt{V} / L) \frac{V^{2}}{L^{3}} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$



Fig. S2 $J_{0.5}-V$ curves of the electron-only devices based on PBDT-TS1:TRIP-PDI ${ }_{3}$ films.


Fig. S3 $J_{0.5}-V$ curves of the electron-only devices based on PBDT-TS1:TRIP-PDI ${ }_{3}$ films and TRIP-PDI ${ }_{3}$

Table S1. The detailed photovoltaic performances of the solar cells based on PBDTTS1 and TRIP-PDI ${ }_{3}$

| D/A <br> (w/w) | Spin-coating <br> (rpm) | $\begin{gathered} J_{s c} \\ \left(\mathrm{~mA} \mathrm{~cm}^{-2}\right)^{\mathrm{b}} \end{gathered}$ | $V_{o c}(\mathrm{~V})$ | FF | PCE (\%) | Aver. PCE $\left( \pm \text { Std. dev.) (\%) }{ }^{\text {c }}\right.$ | Thickness $(\mathrm{nm})^{\mathrm{d}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1.5: 1^{\text {a }}$ | 1000 | 10.27 | 0.96 | 0.35 | 3.45 | --- | 110 |
|  | 1500 | 9.19 | 0.96 | 0.41 | 3.61 | $3.53( \pm 0.112)$ | 73 |
|  | 2000 | 8.52 | 0.96 | 0.41 | 3.35 | --- | 56 |
| $1: 1^{\text {a }}$ | 1000 | 10.68 | 0.98 | 0.37 | 3.87 | --- | 120 |
|  | 1500 | 9.77 | 0.98 | 0.43 | $4.11^{\text {e }}$ | $3.98( \pm 0.102)$ | 74 |
|  | 2000 | 8.62 | 0.98 | 0.46 | 3.89 | --- | 63 |
| $1: 1$ | 1500 | 10.26 | 0.96 | 0.46 | 4.53 | $4.41( \pm 0.095)^{\text {f }}$ | 85 |
|  | 1500 | 11.43 | 0.95 | 0.40 | 4.34 g | -- | 85 |
|  | 1500 | 10.83 | 0.96 | 0.42 | 4.37 h | -- | 85 |
|  | 1500 | 10.43 | 0.97 | 0.40 | $4.05^{\text {i }}$ | $3.89( \pm 0.102)$ | 87 |
|  | 1500 | 10.22 | 0.96 | 0.41 | $4.02{ }^{\text {j }}$ | $3.92( \pm 0.10)$ | 84 |
|  | 1500 | 11.17 | 0.97 | 0.40 | 4.33 k | $4.22( \pm 0.10)$ | 86 |
| $1: 1.5^{\text {a }}$ | 1000 | 7.11 | 0.97 | 0.31 | 2.14 | --- | 188 |
|  | 1500 | 10.33 | 0.98 | 0.38 | 3.85 | $3.68( \pm 0.114)$ | 122 |
|  | 2000 | 9.89 | 0.97 | 0.36 | 3.45 | --- | 110 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ As-cast. ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Calculated by convoluting the spectral response with the AM 1.5 G spectrum $\left(100 \mathrm{~mW} \mathrm{~cm}{ }^{-2}\right)$. ${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ Average values from 6 pieces of devices. ${ }^{\mathrm{d}}$ The thickness data were obtained via the surface profilometer (Bruker Dektak XT). ${ }^{\mathrm{e}}$ Without additive. ${ }^{\mathrm{f}}$ With $0.5 \% 1,8$-diiodooctane (DIO). ${ }^{g}$ With $1.0 \%$ DIO. ${ }^{h}$ With $1.5 \%$ DIO. ${ }^{\mathrm{I}}$ With $0.5 \% 1$-chloronaphthalene CN. ${ }^{\mathrm{j}}$ With $0.5 \% \mathrm{~N}$ methyl pyrrolidone (NMP). ${ }^{\mathrm{K}}$ With $0.5 \%$ diphenyl ether( DPE).


Fig. S4 $J-V$ curves of OSCs based on PBDT-TS1 and TRIP-PDI ${ }_{3}$ : a) with different D/A ratios, c) with different amount of additive and e) with different additives; EQE spectra of PBDT-TS1:TRIP-PDI ${ }_{3}$ based OSCs: d) with different D/A ratios, d) with different amount of additive and f) with different additives.


Fig. S5 Morphology images of the D/A blend film: a), b) and c) are the AFM height images, a) with $0.5 \% \mathrm{CN}, \mathrm{b}$ ) with $0.5 \%$ NMP, c) with $0.5 \% \mathrm{DPE} ; \mathrm{d}$ ), e) and f) are the AFM phase images, d) with $0.5 \% \mathrm{CN}, \mathrm{e}$ ) with $0.5 \% \mathrm{NMP}, \mathrm{f})$ with $0.5 \% \mathrm{DPE}$.
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