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## 1. Experimental and synthesis

## EXPERIMENTAL

All manipulations were carried out under aerobic conditions. $\mathrm{K}_{2}\left[\mathrm{Pt}(\mathrm{CN})_{4}\right] \cdot 3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ was purchased from Aldrich, $\mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right)_{2} 6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ from Fluka and $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ (pyrazine) from Merck. Nano-pure quality water was used for all reactions run.

Infrared (IR) spectra (400-4000 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) were recorded on a Nicolet FT-IR 6700 spectrometer with samples prepared as KBr pellets. $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}$ and N elemental analyses were performed on a Perkin Elmer 240B elemental analyzer. The TGA curves were obtained on a SETARAM thermal analyzer, model SETSYS-1200. The sample of approximately 8 mg was heated in platinum crucibles, in nitrogen atmosphere at a flow rate of $80 \mathrm{ml} \mathrm{min}^{-1}$, within the temperature range $30-500^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Magnetic susceptibility data were collected on powdered samples of 1 and 2 with a Quantum Design SQUID susceptometer in the 3-290 K temperature range, under various applied magnetic fields.

## SYNTHESIS

The interaction of $\mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right)_{2} \cdot 6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ with $\mathrm{K} 2\left[\mathrm{Pt}(\mathrm{CN})_{4}\right] \cdot 3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and pyrazine in aqueous media-only and at room temperature, led to the isolation of compound $\left\{\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{pyz})\left[\mathrm{Pt}(\mathrm{CN})_{4}\right]\right\} \cdot(\mathrm{pyz}) \cdot 1.2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ with a 1:1:2 molar ratio. Elemental analysis on the isolated product suggested the formulation $\left\{\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{pyz})\left[\mathrm{Pt}(\mathrm{CN})_{4}\right]\right\} \cdot(\mathrm{pyz}) \cdot 1.2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(1)$. The stoichiometric reaction (Reaction 1) leading to the isolation of the aforementioned compound is the following:


## Reaction 1

The new hydrated $\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{II}) / \mathrm{Pt}(\mathrm{II})$ species was isolated using an excess of pyrazine molecules in aqueous solution. For the synthesis of $\left\{\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{pyz})\left[\mathrm{Pt}(\mathrm{CN})_{4}\right]\right\} \cdot(\mathrm{pyz}) \cdot 1.2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (1) pyrazine $(0.30 \mathrm{~g}, 3.75$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in 20 mL of nanopure water while $\mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right)_{2} \cdot 6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.08 \mathrm{~g}, 0.25 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{K}_{2}\left[\mathrm{Pt}(\mathrm{CN})_{4}\right] \cdot 3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.09 \mathrm{~g}, 0.25 \mathrm{mmol})$ were dissolved in 1 mL of nanopure water, respectively. All reagents were dissolved only at room temperature. The aqueous solution of pyrazine was placed in a 50 mL jar with stopper. Following that, a 10 mL vial was placed inside the jar and the aqueous solution of $\mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right)_{2} \cdot 6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ was injected at the bottom of the vial. Finally, the aqueous solution of $\mathrm{K}_{2}\left[\mathrm{Pt}(\mathrm{CN})_{4}\right] \cdot 3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ was injected at the bottom of the jar and the jar was closed. The resulted reaction mixture was left undisturbed at room temperature and after several hours a mixture of yellow crystalline powder and needle like crystals were formed. Single crystals were isolated by filtration and dried in vacuo. Yield $0.4 \mathrm{~g}(30 \%)$. Anal. Calcd. for 1, ( $\left.\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{10.4} \mathrm{FeN}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{1.2} \mathrm{Pt}, \mathrm{M} . \mathrm{W} .: 536.84 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}\right)$ : C, 26.84; H, 1.94; N, 20.86. Found: C, 26.99; H, 2.08; N, 20.91 \%.

For the synthesis of $\left\{\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{pyz})\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{CN})_{4}\right]\right\} \cdot(\mathrm{pyz}) \cdot 1.2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (2) pyrazine ( $0.80 \mathrm{~g}, 10.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in 65 mL of nanopure water while $\mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right)_{2} \cdot 6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.23 \mathrm{~g}, 0.68 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{K}_{2}\left[\mathrm{Pt}(\mathrm{CN})_{4}\right] \cdot 3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ $(0.20 \mathrm{~g}, 0.69 \mathrm{mmol})$ were dissolved in 2.5 mL of nanopure water, respectively. The aqueous solution of pyrazine was placed in an H-tube while in the two sides of the tube were injected the Fe and Pd solutions, respectively. The resulted reaction mixture was left undisturbed at room temperature and after several hours a mixture of yellow crystalline powder and needle like crystals was formed. Single crystals were isolated by filtration and dried in vacuo. Yield $0.4 \mathrm{~g}(30 \%)$. Anal. Calcd. for 2, ( $\left.\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{10.4} \mathrm{FeN}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{1.2} \mathrm{Pd}, \mathrm{M} . \mathrm{W} .: 448.13 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}\right): \mathrm{C}, 32.16 ; \mathrm{H}, 2.34 ; \mathrm{N}, 25.03$. Found: C, 32.32; H, 2.41; N, 24.90 \%.

## 2. Crystallographic description

Single crystals of compounds 1 and 2 were obtained from aqueous solutions according to the described synthetic procedure. Single crystals with approximate dimensions, $0.03 \times 0.06 \times 0.160 \mathrm{~mm}$ for 1 and $0.02,0.04,0.120 \mathrm{~mm}$ for $\mathbf{2}$ were taken from the mother liquor, mounted on a glass fiber using grease and immediately cooled at $-113^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Diffraction measurements for both crystals were made on a Rigaku R-AXIS SPIDER Image Plate diffractometer using graphite monochromated $\mathrm{Cu}_{\mathrm{K}} \mathrm{K}_{\alpha}$ radiation and using the Rigaku Nitrogen gas cryostream system, X-STREAM 2000 for cooling. Data collection ( $\omega$-scans) and processing (cell refinement, data reduction and Empirical absorption correction) were performed using the CrystalClear program package. ${ }^{[1]}$ Important crystallographic
data for compounds $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2}$ are listed in Table S1. The structures of $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2}$ were solved by direct methods using SHELXS-97 ${ }^{[2]}$ and refined by full-matrix least-squares techniques on $F^{2}$ with SHELXL2014/6. ${ }^{[3]}$ All non-H atoms were refined anisotropically and all Hydrogen atoms were introduced at calculated positions and refined as riding on bonded carbon atoms with $U_{\text {iso }}(H)=$ $1.2 U_{\text {eq }}(C)$.
[1] Rigaku/MSC CrystalClear. Rigaku/MSC Inc., The Woodlands, Texas, USA, 2005
[2] G. M. Sheldrick, Acta Cryst., 2008, A64, 112-122
[3] G. M. Sheldrick, Acta Cryst., 2015, C71, 3-8


Fig. S1 View of the structure of $\mathbf{1}$ or $\mathbf{2}$ along the a-axis. Orientation of bridging pyrazine ligands and arrangement of sites $-A$ and $-B$.


Fig. S2 A part of the structure of $\mathbf{1}$ (or 2). Symmetry operations used to generate equivalent atoms: ('): -x,y,-z ; (''): -x,1-y,-z ; ('"') : -1+x,y,z;('"'’):1+x,y,z;(*):1-x,y,-z;(**):x,1-y,z; (***):1-x,1-y,-z; (***): $1+x, 1-y, z$.


Figs. S3 The arrangement of pyrazine guest molecules (A-sites) and oxygen atoms (B-sites) in the lattice of 1 (or 2 ). View in a direction almost parallel to -a crystallographic axis (left) and almost parallel to -b (right).

## Crystallographic Tables

Table S1 Crystallographic parameters and data collection details

| Identification code | 1 [CCDC: 1472612] | 2 [CCDC: 1472613] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Empirical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{10.40} \mathrm{FeN}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{1.20} \mathrm{Pt}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{10.40} \mathrm{FeN}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{1.20} \mathrm{Pd}$ |
| Formula weight | 536.82 | 448.13 |
| Temperature | 160(2) K | 160(2) K |
| Wavelength | 1.54178 Å (Cu K $\alpha$ ) | 1.54178 A |
| Crystal system | Monoclinic | Monoclinic |
| Space Group | P2/m | P2/m |
| Unit cell dimensions | $a=7.2727(2) \AA, \quad \alpha=90^{\circ}$ | $a=7.2800$ (1) $\AA, \quad \alpha=90^{\circ}$ |
|  | $b=7.4681$ (1) $\AA, \beta=95.939$ (1) ${ }^{\circ}$ | $b=7.4700$ (1) $\AA, \beta=95.880(1)^{\circ}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{c}=7.4416$ (2) $\AA, \quad \gamma=90^{\circ}$ | c = 7.4387(1) $\AA$, $\quad \gamma=90^{\circ}$ |
| Volume | 402.01(2) $\AA^{3}$ | 402.40(1) |
| Z | 1 | 1 |
| Calculated density ( $\mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ ) | 2.217 | 1.849 |
| Absorption coefficient (mm ${ }^{-1}$ ) | $23.44 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$ | 16.410 |
| F(000) | 252 | 220 |
| Crystal size (mm) | $0.16 \times 0.05 \times 0.03$ | $0.12 \times 0.04 \times 0.02$ |
| Theta range for data collection | 8.11 to $64.99^{\circ}$ | 8.11 to $64.98{ }^{\circ}$ |
| Limiting indices | $\begin{aligned} & -8<=\mathrm{h}<=8,-8<=\mathrm{k}<=8, \\ & -8<=\mathrm{l}<=8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -8<=h<=8,-8<=k<=8, \\ & -8<=\mid<=8 \end{aligned}$ |
| Reflections collected / unique | $4331 / 742$ [ R (int) $=0.084$ ] | 4498 / 747 [R(int) $=0.0625$ ] |
| Completeness to theta 64.98 | 99.0\% | 99.1 \% |
| Absorption correction | Empirical | Empirical |
| Max. and min. transmission | 1.0 and 0.550 | 1.0 and 0.700 |
| Refinement method | Full-matrix least-squares on $\mathrm{F}^{\wedge} 2$ |  |
| Data / restraints / parameters | 742/0/63 | 747 / 0 / 63 |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{\wedge} 2$ | 1.04 | 1.10 |
| Final R indices [ $1>2$ sigma( 1 ]] | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.044, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.093^{\text {a }}$ | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.0433, \mathrm{wR2}=0.1055^{\text {a }}$ |
| R indices (all data) | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.046, w R 2=0.094^{\text {a }}$ | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.0446, \mathrm{wR2}=0.1065^{\text {a }}$ |
| Largest diff. peak and hole | 2.59 and -2.5 e $\AA^{-3}$ | 1.081 and -1.258 |
| $\begin{aligned} & { }^{\mathrm{a}} R_{1}=\Sigma\left(\left\|F_{\mathrm{o}}\right\|-\left\|F_{\mathrm{c}}\right\|\right) / \Sigma\left(\left\|F_{\mathrm{o}}\right\|\right) \text { and } w R_{2}=\left\{\Sigma \left[w \left({\left.\left.\left.F \mathrm{o}^{2}-F_{c}^{2}\right)^{2}\right] / \Sigma\left[w\left(F_{\mathrm{o}}^{2}\right)^{2}\right]\right\}^{1 / 2},}_{w=1 /\left[\sigma^{2}\left(F_{\mathrm{o}}^{2}\right)+(\alpha P)^{2}+b P\right] \text { and } P=\left(\left(\max F_{o}^{2}, 0\right)+2 F_{c}^{2}\right) / 3 ; a=0.0453, b=1.1717 \text { for } 1 \text { and } a=0.0442,}^{b=1.6923 \text { for } \mathbf{2}}\right.\right.\right. \text {, } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |

Table S2 Fractional atomic coordinates and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters ( $\AA^{2}$ ) for 1.
$\mathrm{U}(\mathrm{eq})$ is defined as one third of the trace of the orthogonalized Uij tensor

|  | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ | $U_{\text {iso }}{ }^{1} / U_{\text {eq }}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Pt | 0.5000 | 0.0000 | 0.5000 | $0.0128(3)$ |
| Fe | 0.5000 | 0.5000 | 0.0000 | $0.0092(6)$ |
| N1 | $0.1903(11)$ | 0.5000 | $-0.0110(12)$ | $0.012(2)$ |
| C1 | $0.0947(10)$ | $0.3480(11)$ | $-0.0053(10)$ | $0.0160(18)$ |
| H1 | 0.1579 | 0.2369 | -0.0086 | $0.022^{1}$ |
| N11 | $0.1680(17)$ | 0.5000 | $0.4417(17)$ | $0.050(4)$ |
| C11 | $0.0811(10)$ | $0.3422(11)$ | $0.4708(12)$ | $0.024(2)$ |
| H11 | 0.1396 | 0.2319 | 0.4483 | $0.033^{1}$ |
| N21 | $0.5098(8)$ | $0.2944(10)$ | $0.2029(9)$ | $0.0157(15)$ |
| C21 | $0.5094(10)$ | $0.1864(11)$ | $0.3101(11)$ | $0.0122(18)$ |
| OW ${ }^{2}$ | $0.050(2)$ | 1.0000 | $0.247(2)$ | $0.056(5)$ |

${ }^{1}$ isotropic displacement parameters $\left(\AA^{2}\right)$
${ }^{2}$ the occupation factor was kept constant to the value of 0.3 during the refinement

Table S3 Fractional atomic coordinates and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters ( $\AA^{2}$ ) for 2.
$\mathrm{U}(\mathrm{eq})$ is defined as one third of the trace of the orthogonalized Uij tensor

|  | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ | $U_{\text {iso }}{ }^{1} / U_{\text {eq }}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Pd | 0.5000 | 0.0000 | 0.5000 | $0.0128(3)$ |
| Fe | 0.5000 | 0.5000 | 0.0000 | $0.0091(4)$ |
| N1 | $0.1905(7)$ | 0.5000 | $-0.0112(7)$ | $0.0122(12)$ |
| C1 | $0.0946(6)$ | $0.3470(6)$ | $-0.0060(7)$ | $0.0176(11)$ |
| H1 | 0.1575 | 0.2360 | -0.0107 | $0.025^{1}$ |
| N11 | $0.1683(11)$ | 0.5000 | $0.4454(11)$ | $0.047(2)$ |
| C11 | $0.0819(6)$ | $0.3429(7)$ | $0.4701(8)$ | $0.0236(13)$ |
| H11 | 0.1395 | 0.2330 | 0.4443 | $0.033^{1}$ |
| N21 | $0.5104(5)$ | $0.2957(6)$ | $0.2006(6)$ | $0.0160(10)$ |
| C21 | $0.5072(6)$ | $0.1858(7)$ | $0.3112(7)$ | $0.0129(10)$ |
| OW $^{2}$ | $0.0508(16)$ | 1.0000 | $0.2493(16)$ | $0.054(3)$ |

${ }^{1}$ isotropic displacement parameters $\left(\AA^{2}\right)$
${ }^{2}$ the occupation factor was kept constant to the value of 0.3 during the refinement

Table S4 Bond lengths [ $\AA$ ] and angles [ ${ }^{\circ}$ ] for 1 and 2

| Pt-C(21) | $1.990(8)$ | $1.979(5)$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Fe-N(21) | $2.149(7)$ | $2.130(5)$ |
| Fe-N(1) | $2.245(8)$ | $2.246(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $1.334(9)$ | $2.246(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)^{\prime}$ | $1.388(14)$ | $1.389(9)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(11)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $1.365(10)$ | $1.353(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(11) \# 3$ | $1.299(15)$ | $1.315(9)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(21)-\mathrm{C}(21)$ | $1.135(11)$ | $1.164(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{C}(21) \# 1$ | $88.8(5)$ | $89.1(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{C}(21) \# 2$ | 180.0 | $180.0(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{C}(21) \# 4$ | $91.2(5)$ | $90.9(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(21)-\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{N}(21)^{* *}$ | $91.2(4)$ | $91.5(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(21)-\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{N}(21)^{*}$ | $88.8(4)$ | $88.5(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(21)-\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | $89.2(2)$ | $89.40(14)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(21)^{*}-\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | $90.8(2)$ | $90.60(14)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)^{* *}$ | $116.6(9)$ | $116.7(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{Fe}$ | $121.5(4)$ | $121.5(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)^{\prime}$ | $121.7(4)$ | $121.6(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)^{* *}-\mathrm{N}(11)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $119.4(12)$ | $120.4(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(11) \# 3-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{N}(11)$ | $120.3(6)$ | $119.7(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{N}(21)-\mathrm{Fe}$ | $177.9(7)$ | $176.7(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(21)-\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{Pt}$ | $178.0(8)$ | $179.6(5)$ |

(\#1): $x,-y, z ; \quad(\# 2): 1-x,-y, 1-z ; \quad(\# 3):-x, y, 1-z ;(\# 4): 1-x, y, 1-z$
(*): 1-x,y,-z; (**): $x, 1-y, z ;\left(^{\prime}\right):-x, y,-z$

## 3. Physical Methods

## Infrared (IR) Spectra

The IR data for 1-2 (Fig. S4) show the occurrence of three main groups of bands. These bands can be assigned to the water molecules ( OH stretching; 3400-3000 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ), the $\mathrm{CN}^{-}$group ( CN stretching; 2170 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) and the characteristic bands belonging to the pyrazine molecule (CN stretching, ring deformation and CH out of plane deformation; 1603-600 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$.


Fig. S4 Comparison of Infrared spectra for 1 and 2.

## Thermogravimetric Analysis (TGA)



Fig. S5 Water loss occurs in the temperature range of 320-430 K for samples 1, 2. Decomposition of these samples occurs at approximately 500 K

## 4. Magnetic Data



Fig. S6 Thermal dependence of the $\chi_{\mathrm{m}} T$ product of compound $\mathbf{2}$ before and after heating to 430 K using a cooling/heating rate of $2 \mathrm{~K} / \mathrm{min}$. In the same Figure (lower) is shown the curves of $\mathrm{\gamma hs}(\mathrm{~T})$, the molar fraction of high-spin (HS) molecules, as a function of temperature along with the derivative of $\gamma_{\mathrm{Hs}}(\mathrm{T})$.

