Electronic Supplementary Material (ESI) for New Journal of Chemistry. This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry and the Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique 2018

Supporting information

BINOL-based differential chromo-fluorescent sensor and its application for miniaturization of 1-2/4-2 bit encoders and decoders

Akul Sen Gupta, Gulshan Kumar, Kamaldeep Paul and Vijay Luxami*

School of Chemistry and Biochemistry, Thapar University, Patiala-147004, INDIA

Email: vluxami@thapar.edu

Table of Contents

Figure S1: ¹H NMR spectrum of probe 1.

Figure S2: ¹³C NMR spectrum of probe 1.

Figure S3: Mass spectrum of probe 1.

Figure S4: ¹H NMR spectrum of compound 2.

Figure S5: ¹³C NMR spectrum of compound 2.

Figure S6: Mass spectrum of compound 2.

Figure S7: Benesi-Hildebrand plot from absorption titration data of receptor (20 μ M) with Al³⁺ ions.

Figure S8. Plot of absorption intensity ratio between 415 and 370 nm (A_{415} / A_{370}) vs [Al³⁺] ions of probe 1 (20 μ M, CH₃OH)

Figure S9. Effect of addition of Cu^{2+} on absorption spectrum of probe 1 (20 μ M, CH₃OH)

Figure S10: Benesi-Hildebrand plot from absorption titration data of receptor (20 μ M, CH₃OH) with Cu²⁺ ions.

Figure S11. Plot of absorption intensity at 430 (A_{430}) vs [Cu^{2+}] ions of probe 1 (20 μ M, CH₃OH) Figure S12. Visual color changes under UV light upon addition of different cations with probe 1 in CH₃OH.

Figure S13: Benesi-Hildebrand plot from emission titration data of receptor (20 μ M) with Al³⁺ in CH₃OH

Figure S14. Plot of emission intensity at 515 nm (F_{515}) vs [Al³⁺] ions of probe 1 (20 μ M, CH₃OH, 10 slit)

Figure S15: Benesi-Hildebrand plot from emission titration data of receptor (20 μ M) with Cu²⁺ in CH₃OH.

Figure S16. Plot of emission intensity at 590 nm (F_{590}) vs [Cu²⁺] ions of probe 1 (20 μ M, CH₃OH, 20 slit)

Figure S17: Benesi-Hildebrand plot from emission titration data of receptor (20 μ M) with Zn²⁺ in CH₃OH.

Figure S18. Plot of emission intensity at 485 nm (F_{485}) vs [Zn²⁺] ions of probe 1 (20 μ M, CH₃OH, 20 slit)

Figure S19. Yellow and green bars represent selectivity of probe 1 (20 μ M) upon addition of different metal ions in CH₃OH at 430 nm and 415 nm, respectively, red and blue bars show the competitive selectivity of probe 1 for Cu²⁺ at 430 and Al³⁺ at 415 nm, respectively in case absorption spectroscopy.

Figure S20. Yellow and green bars represent selectivity of probe 1 (10 μ M) upon addition of different metal ions in CH₃OH at 590 nm and 515 nm, respectively, red and blue bars show the competitive selectivity of probe 1 for Cu²⁺ at 590 and Al³⁺ at 515 nm, respectively in case emission spectroscopy.

Figure S21: Effect of addition of anions on absorption spectrum of probe 1 (20 µM, CH₃OH).

Figure S22: Effect of addition of anions on emission spectrum of probe 1 (20 µM, CH₃OH).

Figure S23. **Figure S20**. Visual color changes under UV light color changes upon different addition of anions with probe 1 in CH₃OH.

Figure S24. Plot of absorption intensity ratio between 395 and 370 nm (A_{395} / A_{370}) vs [CN⁻] ions of probe 1 (20 μ M, CH₃OH)

Figure S25: Benesi-Hildebrand plot from emission titration data of receptor (20 μ M, CH₃OH) with CN⁻ ion

Figure S26. Plot of emission intensity at 495 nm (F_{495}) vs [CN⁻] ions of probe 1 (20 μ M, CH₃OH)

Figure S27. Blue bars represent selectivity of probe 1 (20 μ M) upon addition of different metal ions in CH₃OH and red bars show the competitive selectivity of probe 1 in UV-Vis spectroscopy for CN⁻ ion.

Figure S28. Blue bars represent selectivity of probe 1 (20 μ M) upon addition of different metal ions in CH₃OH and red bars show the competitive selectivity of probe 1 in fluorescence spectroscopy for CN⁻.

General Experimental Conditions

- Synthesis of compound 2: To the stirred solution of 3-hydroxy-2-naphthoic acid (200 mg, 1 mmol) and 4-aminoantipyrine (259 mg, 1.2 mmol) in tetrahydrofuran (30 ml), *o*-(1*H*-benzotriazol-1-yl)-*N*,*N*,*N*',*N*'-tetramethyluroniumtetrafluoroborate (409 mg, 1.2 mmol), *N*,*N*-diisopropylethylamine (206 mL, 1.2 mmol) and hydroxybenzotriazole (172 mg, 1.2 mmol) were added and refluxed for 24 h (checked by TLC). The reaction mixture was washed with water and extracted with CHCl₃ (3 times), the solvent was removed under vacuum and dried over sodium sulphate. The residue was column chromatographed on silica gel by using CHCl₃: MeOH (99:1) to get pure amide as probe 1 in 70% yield (280 mg). Mpt: 202-204 °C; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz): δ 2.27 (s, 3H, CH₃), 3.19 (s, 3H, N-CH₃), 7.11 (s, 1H, ArH), 7.15-7.19 (m, 1H, ArH), 7.33 (d, *J* = 7.64 Hz, 1H, ArH), 7.37-7.40 (m, 2H, ArH), 7.46-7.55 (m, 5H, ArH), 7.90 (s, 1H, ArH), 9.78 (bs, 1H, NH), 11.85 (bs, 1H, OH); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz): δ 11.8 (CH₃), 35.4 (N-CH₃), 107.1, 111.3, 118.1, 123.1, 125.3, 125.7, 127.0, 127.8, 127.9, 129.0, 129.5, 130.8, 134.0, 136.5, 150.8, 154.4 (ArC), 162.4, 167.2 (C=O); MS (ESI) m/z 396.3 (M⁺ + Na).
- Detection limit calculation: The detection limit was calculated based on the titration. To determine the S/N ratio, the emission intensity of the probes was measured 5 times and the standard deviation of blank measurements was determined. The detection limit was then calculated using the equation.

> Detection limit = $3\sigma_{bi}/m$

where σ_{bi} is the standard deviation of blank measurements; m is the slope of intensity versus sample concentration. The detection limit was measured at S/N = 3.



Figure S1: ¹H NMR spectrum of probe 1



Figure S2: ¹³C NMR spectrum of probe 1



Figure S4: ¹H NMR spectrum of Compound 2







Figure S6: Mass spectrum of compound 2.

Calculation of binding constant

Binding constants were calculated according to the Benesi-Hildebrand equation. K_a was calculated following the equation stated below.

$$1/(A-A_o) = 1/{K(A_{max}-A_o)[M^{x+}]^n} + 1/[A_{max}-A_o]$$

Here A_o is the absorbance of receptor in the absence of guest, A is the absorbance recorded in the presence of added guest, A_{max} is absorbance in presence of added $[M^{x^+}]_{max}$ and K is the association constant. The association constant (K) could be determined from the slope of the straight line of the plot of 1/(A-Ao) against 1/[M^{x^+}] and is found to be $0.9 \times 10^4 \, M^{-1}$ in case of case Al³⁺ ions in methanol.



Figure S7: Benesi-Hildebrand plot from absorption titration data of receptor (20 μ M) with Al³⁺ ions.



Figure S8. Plot of absorption intensity ratio between 415 and 370 nm (A_{415} / A_{370}) vs [Al³⁺] ions of probe **1** (20 μ M, CH₃OH)



Figure S9. Effect of addition of Cu^{2+} on absorption spectrum of probe 1 (20 μ M, CH₃OH)



Figure S10: Benesi-Hildebrand plot from absorption titration data of receptor (20 μ M, CH₃OH) with Cu²⁺ ions.



Figure S11. Plot of absorption intensity at 430 (A₄₃₀) vs [Cu²⁺] ions of probe 1 (20 µM, CH₃OH)



Figure S12. Visual color changes under UV light upon addition of different cations with probe 1 in CH₃OH.

By fluorescence method:

The binding constant value of anions with receptor has been determined from the emission intensity data following the modified Benesi–Hildebrand equation,

 $1//\Delta I = 1//\Delta I_{max} + (1/K[C]) (1//\Delta I_{max})$

Here $\Delta I = I - I_{min}$ and $\Delta I \max = I_{max} - I_{min}$, where I_{min} , I, and I_{max} are the emission intensities of receptor observed in the absence of anions, at an intermediate anion concentration, and at a concentration of complete saturation where K is the binding constant and [C] is the anion concentration respectively. From the plot of $[1 / (I_{min} - I)]$ against [C]-1 for receptor, the value of

K has been determined from the slope. The association constant (*K*) as determined by fluorescence titration method for the receptor with aluminium ions in methanol is found to be 5.2×10^3 M⁻¹ (error < 10%).



Figure S13: Benesi-Hildebrand plot from emission titration data of receptor (20 μ M) with Al³⁺ in CH₃OH.



Figure S14. Plot of emission intensity at 515 nm (F_{515}) vs [Al³⁺] ions of probe 1 (20 μ M, CH₃OH, 10 slit)



Figure S15: Benesi-Hildebrand plot from emission titration data of receptor (20 μ M) with Cu²⁺ in CH₃OH.



Figure S16. Plot of emission intensity at 590 nm (F_{590}) vs [Cu²⁺] ions of probe 1 (20 μ M, CH₃OH, 20 slit)



Figure S17: Benesi-Hildebrand plot from emission titration data of receptor (20 μ M) with Zn²⁺ in CH₃OH.



Figure S18. Plot of emission intensity at 485 nm (F₄₈₅) vs [Zn²⁺] ions of probe 1 (20 μ M, CH₃OH, 20 slit)



Figure S19. Yellow and green bars represent selectivity of probe 1 (20 μ M) upon addition of different metal ions in CH₃OH at 430 nm and 415 nm, respectively, red and blue bars show the competitive selectivity of probe 1 for Cu²⁺ at 430 and Al³⁺ at 415 nm, respectively in case absorption spectroscopy.



Figure S20. Yellow and green bars represent selectivity of probe 1 (10 μ M) upon addition of different metal ions in CH₃OH at 590 nm and 515 nm, respectively, red and blue bars show the competitive selectivity of probe 1 for Cu²⁺ at 590 and Al³⁺ at 515 nm, respectively in case emission spectroscopy.



Figure S21: Effect of addition of anions on absorption spectrum of probe 1 (20 µM, CH₃OH).



Figure S22: Effect of addition of anions on emission spectrum of probe 1 (20 µM, CH₃OH).



Figure S23. Visual color changes under UV light color changes upon different addition of anions with probe 1 in CH₃OH.



Figure S25: Benesi-Hildebrand plot from emission titration data of receptor (20 μ M, CH₃OH) with CN⁻ ion.



Figure S26. Plot of emission intensity at 495 nm (F_{495}) vs [CN⁻] ions of probe 1 (20 μ M, CH₃OH)



Figure S27. Blue bars represent selectivity of probe 1 (20 μ M) upon addition of different metal ions in CH₃OH and red bars show the competitive selectivity of probe 1 in UV-Vis spectroscopy for CN⁻ ion.



Figure S28. Blue bars represent selectivity of probe 1 (20 μ M) upon addition of different metal ions in CH₃OH and red bars show the competitive selectivity of probe 1 in fluorescence spectroscopy for CN⁻.