Electronic Supplementary Information

Metrology of DNA Arrays by Super-Resolution Microscopy

C.M. Green,^a K. Schutt,^a N. Morris,^b R.M. Zadegan,^a W.L. Hughes,^a W. Kuang,^{b*} and E. Graugnard^{a*}

^a Micron School of Materials Science & Engineering, Boise State University, 1910 University Drive, Boise, ID 83725 ^b Department of Electrical & Computer Engineering, Boise State University, 1910 University Drive, Boise, ID 83725

*email: wankuang@boisestate.edu and eltongraugnard@boisestate.edu

Materials and Methods

DNA origami synthesis

Single stranded M13mp18 DNA (scaffold strand) was purchased from Bayou Biolabs (Catalog # P-107) at 1.0 μ g/ μ L in 1 x TE buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl, 1 mM EDTA, pH 8.0). Scaffold concentration was calculated to be 420 nM using the molecular weight of M13mp18/19, as reported by New England Biolabs. Staple strands were purchased unfiltered from Integrated DNA Technologies in 1 x TE buffer at 100 μ M or dry and rehydrated with 1 x TE buffer to 100 μ M. Biotinylated staple strands were purchased HPLC purified from Integrated DNA Technologies dry and rehydrated with 1 x TE buffer to 100 μ M.

Individual cross-shaped DNA origami tiles were prepared with 10 nM scaffold strand, 50 nM body staples, and 100 nM edge staples in 0.5 x TBE buffer (44.5 mM Tris, 44.5 mM boric acid, 1 mM EDTA) with 12.5 mM MgCl₂. Thermal annealing was performed in an Eppendorf Mastercycler Nexus Gradient thermal cycler using the recipe reported in Table S1. After annealing, tiles were stained with 0.2 x SYBR® Gold nucleic acid gel stain and filtered by agarose gel electrophoresis (uncooled, 0.8 % Agarose, 0.5 x TBE, 8 mM MgCl₂) at 70 V for 2 hours. Filtered tiles were cut from the gel and retrieved by compressing the gel between glass slides.

Table S1	Thermocycler recipe	for cross-shaped DN	NA origami tile synthesis.
----------	---------------------	---------------------	----------------------------

Step	Starting temp.	Cycles	ΔT per Cycle	Time per Cycle
#	(°C)	#	(°C)	(min)
1	70	1	0	15
2	70	50	-0.1	0.75
3	65	50	-0.1	0.75
4	60	50	-0.1	0.75
5	55	50	-0.1	2
6	50	50	-0.1	2
7	45	50	-0.1	2
8	40	50	-0.1	1.5
9	35	50	-0.1	1.5
10	30	20	-0.5	0.5
11	20	1	0	Hold

Tile array synthesis

Prior to mixing tiles for array formation, all tile solutions were diluted to 1 nM with TBE/Mg²⁺ buffer (0.5 x TBE, 8 mM MgCl₂) and annealed at 30°C for ten minutes to reduce homogenous tile interactions. Unconstrained tile arrays were assembled by mixing equal parts of A and B tiles at 1 nM in TBE/Mg²⁺ buffer and annealing for 24 hours from 38.5 to 35°C at 3 hours per 0.5°C. After annealing, unconstrained tile arrays were immediately deposited into a fluid well and onto mica (coverslip and mica heated to 35°C prior to deposition). For 2x2-tile arrays, tile polymerization was limited by replacing the sticky-ends of the R and D arms of tile A (Figure S4a) and L and D arms of tile B (Figure S4b) with inert 3 nt polyThymine (pT) extensions, leaving only the defect label strands. 2x2-tile arrays were assembled by mixing equal parts of A and B tiles at 1 nM in TBE/Mg²⁺ buffer and annealing for 24 hours at constant temperature (25, 30, or 35°C). After annealing, 2x2-tile arrays were immediately deposited onto mica, then the array solution was diluted by 4x with TBE/Mg²⁺ buffer and deposited into fluid wells.

AFM imaging

Samples for individual A and B tiles were diluted to 1 nM tiles in TBE/Mg²⁺ buffer and annealed for 10 minutes at 30°C prior to deposition on mica. After annealing, 15 μ L of the tile solution was deposited onto freshly cleaved mica (see above). After 4 min, an additional 100 μ L of TBE/Mg²⁺ buffer was added to the mica surface and gently removed by drawing the excess solution up with a pipette to remove any tiles in solution. This rinsing step was repeated three times. After rinsing, 80 μ L of TBE/Mg²⁺ buffer with nickel (0.5 x TBE, 8 mM MgCl₂, 1 mM nickel (II) acetate) was deposited for imaging. AFM images of individual tiles were acquired in Peak Force Tapping mode in fluid on a Dimension Icon (Bruker) using ScanAsyst fluid probes (Bruker). Typical scanning parameters were 30 Hz scan rate, 256 lines, 1 μ m x 1 μ m area.

Samples for AFM imaging of unconstrained tile arrays and 2x2-tile arrays were prepared by depositing 15 µL of tile arrays at 1 nM (individual tile concentration) in TBE/Mg²⁺ buffer onto freshly cleaved mica (Ted Pella, 25 mm x 75 mm Grade V1 mica sheets, 7.8 mm punched diameter). After four minutes the solution was removed by rinsing with 4 mL DI water and dried with an N2 gun. AFM images of tile arrays were acquired in Peak Force Tapping mode in air on a MultiMode 8 (Bruker) using ScanAsyst HR probes (Bruker). Typical scanning parameters were 0.8 Hz scan rate, 1024 lines, 10 µm x 10 µm area.

Fluid well construction

Open fluid wells were constructed from treated plastic microscope slides (Ted Pella, catalog number: 260225) and Gold Seal® #1 square cover glass (Ted Pella, catalog number: 260341). A ½ in. hole was drilled into the center of the plastic microscope slide using a ½ in. glass and tile bit. For fiducial markers, 50 µL of 200 fM gold nanoparticles in methanol (Nanopartz, 150 nm silane polymer-coated spherical AuNPs, part #: E11-150-Silane-2.5 *custom order) were deposited onto the coverslip. Treated coverslips were attached to drilled microscope slides with two-part epoxy.

Fluid well sample preparation

Fluid wells were rinsed twice with 200 μ L DI water, then 200 μ L of 1 mg/mL biotin-labeled bovine serum albumin (Sigma-Aldrich, catalog number: A8549) in Tris/Na⁺ buffer (1 x Tris-HCl, 150 mM NaCl) was deposited in the fluid well. After two minutes, the fluid well was rinsed twice with 200 μ L Tris/Na⁺ buffer, and 200 μ L of 1mg/mL NeutrAvidin (ThermoFisher Scientific, catalog number: 31000) in Tris/Na⁺ buffer was deposited in the fluid well. After two minutes, the fluid well was rinsed twice with 200 μ L Tris/Na⁺ buffer was deposited in the fluid well. After two minutes, the fluid well was rinsed twice with 200 μ L of 1mg/mL NeutrAvidin (ThermoFisher Scientific, catalog number: 31000) in Tris/Na⁺ buffer was deposited in the fluid well. After two minutes, the fluid well was rinsed twice with 200 μ L TBE/Mg²⁺ buffer. For unconstrained tile arrays and 2x2-tile arrays, the fluid wells were heated to the temperature of the final array annealing step for sample deposition. 200 μ L of TBE/Mg²⁺ buffer was deposited in fluid wells prior to heating. For individual tile samples, fluid wells were not heated for deposition (fluid well deposition temperature ~20°C).

Before deposition into fluid wells, individual tile samples were diluted to 100 pM in TBE/Mg²⁺ buffer and 2x2-tile arrays were diluted to 250 pM in TBE/Mg²⁺ buffer. Tile or tile array solutions were deposited in the fluid well, and after two minutes the fluid well was rinsed with 200 μ L of Tween-20 buffer (0.1% Tween-20, 0.5 x TBE, 18 mM MgCl₂). After five minutes, the fluid well was rinsed twice with 200 μ L of imaging buffer (0.5 x TBE, 18 mM MgCl₂), then 200 μ L of imaging buffer was deposited in preparation for imaging.

Optical setup

Fluorescence imaging was performed on a Nikon Eclipse TiU microscope equipped with a Nikon TIRF illuminator and a Nikon CFI Apo TIRF 100x NA 1.49 objective. An additional 1.5x magnification was used to achieve a total magnification of 150x and a pixel size of 107 nm. The area captured by our system is 55 x 55 µm². A 561 nm laser (Coherent Sapphire) was used for illumination with a 0.5x stop down (~8 mW TIRF illumination). A Chroma TRF49909 ET-561nm filter set was used to spectrally filter laser output. A Princeton Instruments ProEM EMCCD camera, using the imaging software LightField, was set to 25x EM gain and a data acquisition rate of 6.66 Hz. 15,000 frames were captured during each acquisition step (Figure S2). Focal drift was corrected in real time with an optical system and feedback loop developed in house.

Super-resolution Xtal-PAINT imaging

For Xtal-PAINT imaging, two imager strand solutions and one rinsing solution were prepared. Cy3b-labeled imager strands were purchased dual HPLC-filtered from Bio-Synthesis dry and rehydrated to 10 μ M with 1 x TE buffer. The rinsing strand, M1*, was purchased from Integrated DNA Technologies dry and rehydrated to 100 μ M with 1 x TE buffer. Imaging solution 1 consisted of Cy3b-labeled imager strand M1' diluted to 3 nM in imaging buffer (0.5x TBE, 18 mM MgCl₂). Imaging solution 2 consisted of Cy3b-labeled imager strand M2' diluted to 3 nM in imaging buffer. The rinsing solution consisted of rinsing strand M1* diluted to 10 nM in imaging buffer.

For two-color image acquisition, 200 µL of imaging solution 1 was first introduced to the fluid well for defect label imaging. After imaging, the fluid well was washed with the rinsing solution to remove and passivate any remaining M1' imager strands. Following rinsing, 200 uL of imaging solution 2 was introduced to the fluid well for lattice site image acquisition. Two-color image acquisition is depicted in Figure S2.

Green, et al.

Image localization, drift correction, and image post-processing were performed with the ThunderSTORM¹ plugin for ImageJ,² available for download at http://zitmen.github.io/thunderstorm/. The images were filtered to remove localizations with localization uncertainty greater than 5 nm and exported at 40x magnification. Defect label images were pseudo-colored using the 'Cyan Hot' LUT available in ImageJ, and lattice site images were pseudo-colored using the 'Yellow' LUT available in ImageJ.

The localization precision for each super-resolution image was calculated as the mean uncertainty of all points localized with ThunderSTORM. ThunderSTORM calculates the uncertainty of individual localizations using a modified form of the Thompson-Larson-Webb formula.^{3,4} The mean localization precision of tile array super-resolution images was less than 12 nm for all cases reported in this work.

Counting method and statistics

Self-limiting 2x2-tile array hybridization defect counting was performed using ImageJ to track the progress of counting. Hybridization defect counting was performed only on structures that could be confidently identified as 2x2-tile arrays by the presence of defect labels and lattice sites in a recognizable pattern. 2x2-tile arrays were counted by the number of defect labels resolved on bound tile arms (within the array). The number of 2x2-tile arrays counted was reported for each case; counting data is available in Table S4.

Statistical analysis with radial distribution function g(r)

The radial distribution functions of experimental and simulated images were calculated using the 'Radial Distribution Function' plugin for ImageJ which is accessible at http://imagejdocu.tudor.lu/doku.php?id=macro:radial_distribution_function.



Figure S1 | Strand diagram for cross-shaped DNA origami A-tile. Strand diagram exported from caDNAno and altered to depict modifications to the tile for Xtal-PAINT imaging and tile array formation. Individual strand sequences and imager strand sequences can be found in **Tables S6-8**. Original design and naming convention for individual strands were adopted from Liu et al.



BSA-Biotin

Biotin

NeutrAvidin

Figure S2 | Schematic depicting step-wise Xtal-PAINT imaging. (a) Schematic depicting defect label imaging of tile arrays bound to glass coverslip by biotin-avidin binding, with 3 nM imager strand M1' in solution. Biotinylated bovine serum albumin (BSA-Biotin) was used to functionalize the surface and immobilize tile structures by protein binding. (b) Rinse to remove imager strand M1' and deactivate remaining strands with 10 nM M1* imager passivation strands. Imager passivation strands were observed to effectively deactivate imager strands even when added directly to the imaging solution. (c) Lattice site imaging with 3 nM imager strand M2'. All buffer solutions contain 0.5x TBE 18mM MgCl₂, and 15,000 frames were captured at 6.66 Hz during each imaging step.



Figure S3 | Xtal-PAINT and AFM images of individual tiles. (**a**,**b**) For A-tiles and B-tiles imaged by Xtal-PAINT, probability histograms for the number of defect label sites counted per tile are shown (grey bars), where p is the probability of resolving defect label sites on an individual tile arm, and N is the total number of tiles counted. Binomial distributions (red) were generated from the results of counting to calculate p given that each tile has four arms. The data for individual tile counting statistics can be found in **Table S2**. (**c**) Xtal-PAINT image of individual B-tiles displaying defect labels (yellow) and lattice sites (blue). Mean localization precision for defect label and lattice images were 6.0 ± 4.0 nm and 11.3 ± 6.9 nm, respectively. Scale bar, 500 nm. (**d**) AFM image of individual B-tiles on mica, imaged in fluid (0.5x TBE with 12 mM MgCl₂ and 2mM NiCl₂). Image dimensions, 500 nm x 500 nm. To reduce homogeneous interactions between tiles, tile solutions were heated to 30 °C prior to deposition in fluid wells and on mica.

Table S2 | Individual tile counting statistics

	Count (A-tile)	Count (B-tile)	Probability (A-tile)	Probability (B-tile)	Binomial PDF (A-tile)	Binomial PDF (B-tile)
Number of Arms Resolved	#arrays	#arrays	-	-	-	-
4	812	802	0.722	0.800	0.723	0.801
3	234	161	0.208	0.161	0.245	0.183
2	66	33	0.059	0.033	0.031	0.016
1	13	6	0.012	0.006	0.002	0.001
*0	0	0	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000

* Tiles with no arms resolved could not be reliably distinguished in super-resolution images and were not counted



Figure S4 | Self-limiting 2x2-tile array design and Xtal-PAINT images. (a) and (b) Schematics of A-tile and B-tile, respectively, for self-limiting 2x2-tile arrays. Sticky-ends from two arms of each tile were replaced with 3 nt poly-Thymine extensions to deactivate the arms for sticky-end hybridization. Defect label strands on the passivated arms were replaced with modified defect label strands that lack 5' sticky-ends. (c) Xtal-PAINT image of 2x2-tile arrays annealed at 35 °C, displaying defect labels (yellow) and lattice sites (blue). Individual tiles, 2-tile, 3-tile, and 2x2-tile arrays were resolved in the image. (d) AFM image of 2x2-tile arrays annealed at 35 °C and deposited onto mica. Mean localization precision for defect label and lattice images were 5.3 ± 3.6 nm and 8.4 ± 5.5 nm, respectively. Scale bars, 1 µm. AFM height scale bar, 2.5 nm.



Figure S5 | Xtal-PAINT and AFM images of unconstrained tile arrays. (a) and (b) Schematics of A-tile and B-tile, respectively, for unconstrained tile arrays. Defect labels and sticky-ends reside on the outermost helices of each tile arm, and the central helices of each arm were passivated to blunt-end stacking interaction by 3 nt poly-Thymine extensions. (c) and (d) Xtal-PAINT and AFM image of unconstrained tile arrays on a coverslip and on mica, respectively. In the Xtal-PAINT image, large tile structures were observed that appear to consist of several overlapping tile arrays, consistent with structures observed in AFM images of tile arrays on mica. Mean localization precision for defect label and lattice images were 6.1 ± 4.1 nm and 9.0 ± 5.6 nm, respectively. Scale bars, 1 µm.



Figure S6 | Xtal-PAINT lattice images of large tile arrays with curvature. Inverted grayscale Xtal-PAINT lattice images of large tile arrays that were unable to flatten on the surface, indicated by indistinct, blurred regions of the array and/or curved lattice site paths. Blurring was observed in all the arrays due to poorly localized binding events, likely resulting from imager strand binding to lattice sites located outside of the focal plane and/or overlapping binding events. These effects were rarely observed in tile arrays smaller than 1 µm x 1 µm, though it is unlikely that the curvature observed in large arrays was caused by global curvature since no tube-like structures were observed. Rather, tile arrays were unable to relax or flatten on the surface due to immobilization by biotin-avidin binding. Large tile arrays are more likely to experience large fluctuations away from planarity due to local fluctuations of the solution, and any deformation that occurs in tile arrays while binding to the surface may be trapped in the structure. Scale bar, 1 µm.



Figure S7 | Analysis of cross-shaped DNA origami tile dimensions. (a) and (b) Topographic profiles of a cross-shaped DNA origami tile in the directions perpendicular to and parallel to the central indent of the tile, respectively. The dimensions of the tile along both directions are approximately 100 nm, in agreement with the dimensions reported by Liu et. al. Scale bars, 50 nm. AFM height color bar, 5.5 nm. (c) Cando-generated model of the cross-shaped DNA origami tile. The contraction in the dimensions of the Cando model due to curvature and twist were calculated by the difference between the path length of the helices and the straight line distance between each end of a tile arm. The observed contraction in the length of the tile arm was approximately 12%. (d) Radial distribution function of an Xtal-PAINT lattice image of unconstrained tile arrays. The first peak was observed at 10 nm (peak 1). The peak corresponding to the center to center distance between bound tiles in a tile array was observed at 87.4 nm (peak 2), a ~13% contraction in the dimensions of the tile relative to the tile dimensions observed in AFM images of tiles on mica in **a,b**. This result is in agreement with the contraction observed in the Cando tile model. Additional peaks were observed at 123 nm, 195 nm, and 275 nm (peaks 3-5), corresponding to the 2nd, 4th, and 7th nearest neighbor distances for a square lattice with a lattice constant of 87 nm. Peak positions were determined by fitting individual peaks with Gaussian functions. Statistics for the results of peak fitting for peaks 2-5 are provided in **Table S3**.

				·					
	Peak	position	Peak width		sigma	FWHM	Height	Statist	ics
	Value (nm)	Standard Error	Value (nm)	Standard Error	Value (nm)	Value (nm)	Value	Reduced Chi-Sqr	Adj. R-Square
Peak 2	87.40071	0.51087	26.5205	5.14706	13.26025	31.2255	1.63016	0.01118	0.94422
Peak 3	122.7505	7.59605	20.76644	18.22743	10.38322	24.45061	0.46998	0.15444	0.94465
Peak 4	194.92566	6.28781	30.5346	18.75183	15.2673	35.95175	0.65668		
Peak 5	275.39338	11.32762	37.26473	32.74182	18.63236	43.87586	0.43181		

Table S3 Peak fitting statistics for unconfined tile ar	rays
---	------



Figure S8 | Defect counting method for 2x2-tile arrays. (a-d) Examples of 2x2-tile arrays imaged by Xtal-PAINT with 0, 1, 2, and 3 defects, respectively. For self-limiting 2x2-tile array samples annealed at 25, 30, and 35 °C, 2x2-tile arrays were counted by the number of defects resolved at bound arms. The data for 2x2-tile array defect counting can be found in **Table S4. (e)** Example of counting window for 2x2-tile array defect counting. Counting results for sample annealed at 25 °C are shown.

Table S4	Self-limiting	2x2-tile array	y defect	counting	statistics
----------	---------------	----------------	----------	----------	------------

	Count (25°C)	Count (30°C)	Count (35°C)	Probability (25°C)	Probability (30°C)	Probability (35°C)	Binomial PDF (25°C)	Binomial PDF (30°C)	Binomial PDF (35°C)
Number of Defects	#arrays	#arrays	#arrays	-	-	-	-	-	-
0	155	146	231	0.360	0.482	0.606	0.359	0.481	0.605
1	185	132	127	0.429	0.436	0.333	0.419	0.386	0.324
2	71	23	21	0.165	0.076	0.055	0.184	0.116	0.065
3	18	2	2	0.042	0.007	0.005	0.036	0.016	0.006
4	2	0	0	0.005	0.000	0.000	0.003	0.001	0.000

Super-Resolution Metrology of DNA Arrays



Figure S9 | AFM images of tile arrays formed by constant temperature annealing. (a-c) AFM images of unconstrained tile arrays on mica, annealed for three hours at 35, 37, and 40 °C, respectively, in 0.5x TBE 8mM MgCl₂. As expected, the average size of tile arrays was observed to decrease with anneal temperature, and the few arrays observed in the sample annealed at 40 °C likely formed during deposition onto mica. All samples were prepared in parallel and immediately deposited on mica after annealing, though cooling of each solution on contact with mica likely contributed to a small degree of array formation in each case. These results indicate that the temperature of formation of tile arrays by sticky-end hybridization in TBE/Mg²⁺ buffer (0.5x TBE 8 mM MgCl₂) is below 40 °C. Scale bars, 1 μm.



Figure S10 | Simulated radial distribution function of rigid and flexible tile models. (a) Probability distribution of tile defect label positions for a rigid tile model, generated by Monte Carlo methods (N=10⁵). For a rigid model, each point distribution is rotationally symmetric. (b) Probability distribution of tile defect label positions for a "flexible" tile model with an additional degree of freedom to account for twisting, generated by Monte Carlo methods (N=10⁵). In comparison to the rigid model, the point distributions of the flexible tile model are elongated tangent to the tile. Scale bars, 50 nm. Probability color bar, linear from 0 to 1 AU. (c) Radial distribution functions of the rigid and flexible tile models plotted with the experimental g(r) for comparison. For a valid comparison of the shape of each distribution, the contribution of random tile positions was removed from the experimental distribution by subtracting 1 and all distributions were normalized by the maximum values of each distribution (corrections validated in Figure S12). The shape, position, and relative height of the second peak of the experimental distribution could not be accounted for with a rigid tile model, demonstrating the need for a tile model that accounted for the effect of arm twist on the positions of defect labels.

Supplementary Information



Figure S11 | Simulated radial distribution functions for tile arrays. (a) Probability distributions of defect label positions for the flexible models of individual tiles, 2-tile arrays, 3-tile arrays, and 2x2-tile arrays. Scale bars, 100 nm. (b) Simulated images of tile structures with uniform spacing, random orientation, and random defect label positions defined by the corresponding probability distributions in a. The densities of tiles and arrays were equivalent for all images. (c) Radial distribution functions of simulated tiles and arrays corresponding to a and b. For each structure, g(r) was calculated from a stack of 16 images, each image containing 625 evenly spaced structures, a total of 10⁴ simulated structures. (d) Peak fitting of g(r) for the simulated distributions. Each distribution was approximated as a sum of Gaussian distributions, and the results of fitting were used for linear decomposition of experimental spectra.



Figure S12 | Radial distribution function for randomized position and tile distribution. (a) Simulated g(r) for a sample with a non-uniform but known distribution of tiles and arrays. To determine if the distribution of tile arrays in an Xtal-PAINT image could be quantified from g(r), the simulated g(r) was fitted with a linear combination of the individual tile, 2-tile array, 3-tile array, and 4-tile array spectra. The fitted g(r) is plotted along with the simulated g(r). The distribution of tiles and arrays was accurately predicted by the fraction of each component in the fitted g(r), validating the use of g(r) to quantify distributions of tile arrays. (b) Simulated g(r) and fitted spectra for a uniform distribution of tile arrays with randomized positions within the image. (c) Simulated g(r) and fitted spectra for a non-uniform distribution of tile arrays with randomized positions within the image. The spectra used for fitting did not have randomized positions (**Figure S11**), though at low point densities the contribution of randomness can be effectively removed by subtracting 1 from g(r). This is demonstrated by the fitted spectra in **b** and **c**.



Figure S13 | Linear decomposition of experimental g(r) into simulated spectra. (a-c) Experimental, fitted, and component g(r) for constrained 2x2-tile array samples annealed at 25, 30, and 35 °C, respectively. The fitted g(r) were generated by spectral decomposition of the experimental g(r) into a linear combination of spec single tile (X₁), 2-tile array (X₂), 3-tile array (X₃), and 2x2-tile array (X₄) spectra. Fit = $a_1X_1 + a_2X_2 + a_3X_3 + a_4X_4$, where a_1 - a_4 represent the fraction of tiles in each size of tile array out of the total number of tiles. The isolated component spectra are shown in **Figure S11**. The fraction of tiles bound by sticky-end hybridization (a_2 - a_4) was observed to decrease with anneal temperature. The deviation of fitted g(r) from experimental g(r) also decreased with anneal temperature due to a decrease in the fraction of tile structures that are not accounted for by the isolated component spectra (**Figure S14**).

Table S5 | Statistics for fitting of experimental g(r)

	a1		a2		a3		a4		Statistics	
	Value	Standard Error	Reduced Chi-Sqr	Adj. R-Square						
25 °C	0.04254	0.06067	0.35795	0.19343	0.28067	0.22905	0.31885	0.17504	2.09289	0.61456
30 °C	0.14535	0.06201	0.30843	0.19088	0.30118	0.22692	0.24504	0.17802	1.89167	0.72172
35 °C	0.31642	0.04411	0.25982	0.1232	0.22424	0.14351	0.19952	0.11692	0.32711	0.92639



Figure S14 | Xtal-PAINT and AFM images of extended 2x2-tile arrays. (a) AFM image of a self-limiting 2x2-tile array sample on mica. In the image, several tile arrays were observed that failed to terminate at 2x2-tile arrays. The tile array magnified in the image demonstrates out-of-plane sticky-end hybridization, which enables tile arrays to extend beyond the intended 2x2-tile structure. Several larger tile arrays were also observed in the image. (b) Xtal-PAINT image of a self-limiting 2x2-tile array sample. Several large tile structures were resolved that failed to terminate at 2x2-tile array sample. Several large tile arrays due to out-of-plane sticky-end hybridization, closely resembling tile arrays observed in **a**. Mean localization precision for defect label and lattice images were 5.8 ± 3.9 nm and 7.9 ± 5.3 nm, respectively. Scale bars, 1 µm. Inset scale bar, 250 nm.

Table S6 | Strand sequences for cross-shaped DNA origami tile (body strands)

Name	Sequence	Length (bp)	Туре
CO-M-001	AGCTAATGCAGAACGCGCCTGTTTTAATATCC	32	
CO-M-002	CATCCTAATTTGAAGCCTTAAATCTTTTATCC	32	
CO-M-003 [B]	\5Biosg\ TTTTTTTTT TGAATCTTGAGAGATAACCCACAAAACAATGA	42	Biotin-labeled
CO-M-004 [B]	\5Biosg\ TTTTT AATAGCAATAGATGGGCGCATCGTACAGTATC	37	Biotin-labeled
CO-M-005	GGCCTCAGCTTGCATGCCTGCAGGGAATTCGT	32	
CO-M-006	AATCATGGTGGTTTTTCTTTTCACCCGCCTGG	32	
CO-M-007	CCCTGAGAGAGTTGCAGCAAGCGGGTATTGGG	32	
CO-M-008	CGCCAGGGTCATAGCTGTTTCCTGGACGGCCA	32	
CO-M-009 [c]	GTGCCAAGGAAGATCGCACTCCAGATAGGTCA	32	
CO-M-010	CGTTGGTGTAGCTATCTTACCGAATTGAGCGC	32	
CO-M-011 [c]	TAATATCAACCAACGCTAACGAGCCCGACTTG	32	
CO-M-012	CGGGAGGTTTTACGAGCATGTAGAACATGTTC	32	
CO-M-013	CTGTCCAGACGACGACAATAAACAAACCAATC	32	
CO-M-014	AATAATCGCGTTTTAGCGAACCTCGTCTTTCC	32	
CO-M-015	AGAGCCTACAAAGTCAGAGGGTAAGCCCTTTT	32	
CO-M-016	TAAGAAAAGATTGACCGTAATGGGCCAGCTTT	32	
CO-M-017	CCGGCACCCACGACGTTGTAAAACTGTGAAAT	32	
CO-M-018	TGTTATCCGGGAGAGGCGGTTTGCTCCACGCT	32	
CO-M-019	GGTTTGCCCCAGCAGGCGAAAATCAATCGGCC	32	
CO-M-020	AACGCGCGGCTCACAATTCCACACCCAGGGTT	32	
CO-M-021	TTCCCAGTGCTTCTGGTGCCGGAAGTGGGAAC	32	
CO-M-022	AAACGGCGGTAAGCAGATAGCCGAAACTGAAC	32	
CO-M-023	ACCCTGAAATTTGCCAGTTACAAATTCTAAGA	32	
CO-M-024	ACGCGAGGGCTGTCTTTCCTTATCAAGTAATT	32	
CO-M-025	AATATAAAGTACCGACAAAAGGTAATTCCAAG	32	
CO-M-026	AACGGGTAGAAGGCTTATCCGGTAATAAACAG	32	
CO-M-027	CCATATTAATTAGACGGGAGAATTACAAAGTTACC	35	
CO-M-028	GTCGGATTCTCCACCAGGCA	20	
CO-M-029	AAGCGCCAATTAAGTTGGGTAACGAACATACG	32	
CO-M-030	AGCCGGAAGCCAGCTGCATTAATGCTGTTTGATGGTGTCTTCCTGTAG	48	
CO-M-031	CCTGTCGTGCATAAAGTGTAAAGCGATGTGCT	32	
CO-M-032	GCAAGGCGTTCGCCATTCAGGCTGCGCAACTG	32	
CO-M-033	GGAAGCGCTTTATCCCAATCCAAAAAGCAAAT	32	
CO-M-034	CAGATATATTAAACCATACGGAAATTACCCAAAAGAACTGGCATGATTA	49	
CO-M-035	AGGCATTTTCGAGCCAGTACTCATCG	26	
CO-M-036	AGAACAAGTACCGCGCCCAATAGCTAAGAAAC	32	
CO-M-037	GATTTTTTACAGAGAGAATAACATAAAAAACAG	32	
CO-M-038	TTGGGAAGCAGCTGGCTTAAAGCTAGCTATTTTTGAGAGATCTGGAGCA	49	
CO-M-039	CCTAATGAACTGCCCGCTTTCCAGCCCTTATA	32	
CO-M-040	AATCAAAAGAATAGCCCTTTAAATATGCATTCTACTAATAGTAGTAACATTAT	53	
CO-M-041	GAGATAGGGTTGTCAGGATTAG	22	
CO-M-042	TTGCGCTCGTGAGCTAACTCACATGATAGCCC	32	
CO-M-043	TATTACGCGGCGATCGGTGCGGGCGAGGATTT	32	
CO-M-044	CAGCCTTTGTTTAACGTCAAAAATTTTCAATT	32	
CO-M-045	GGAATCATCAAGCCGTTTTTATTTGTTATATA	32	
CO-M-046 [c]	CCAACATGTTGTGCCCGTATA	21	
CO-M-047	ACTATATGCTCCGGCTTAGGTTGGTCATCGTA	32	
CO-M-048	ACCTGAGCAGAGGCGAATTATTCAGAAAATAG	32	

CO-M-049	AGAAGTATAATAGATAATACATTTCTCTTCGC	32	
CO-M-050	TAAAACATCTTTAATGCGCGAACTTAATTGCG	32	
CO-M-051	CTATTAGTCGCCATTAAAAATACCATAGATTA	32	
CO-M-052	GAGCCGTCTAGACTTTACAAACAATTCGACAA	32	
CO-M-053	AATCGCGCAAAAAGAAGTTAGTTAGCTTAAACAGCTTGATACGCCCACGC	49	
CO-M-054	TTTTTAACTAAATGCTGATGCAAAATTGAGAA	32	
CO-M-055	TCGCCATATTTAACAACGTTGCGGGGTTTTAAGCCCAATAGGAACCTTGTCGTC	54	
CO-M-056	CAAGACAAAAATCATAGGTCTGAGACAAACAT	32	
CO-M-057	CAAGAAAAATTGCTTTGAATACCAAGTTACAA	32	
CO-M-058	CTCGTATTGGTGCACTAACAACTAGAACGAAC	32	
CO-M-059	CACCAGCAGGCACAGATTTAATTTCTCAATCATAAGGGAACCGAACTGA	49	
CO-M-060	TGCTGGTAATATCCAGAACAATATAAGCGTAA	32	
CO-M-061	GAATACGTGAAGATAAAACAGAGGATCTAAAA	32	
CO-M-062	TATCTTTAAAATCCTTTGCCCGAACCGCGACCTGC	35	
CO-M-063	CGAAACAAAGTAATAACGGA	20	
CO-M-064	TTCGCCTGCAAAATTAATTACATTAATAGTGA	32	
CO-M-065	ATTTATCAAGAACGCGAGAAAACTAGTATAAAGCCAATAAAGAATACAC	49	
CO-M-066	ATATGCGTTATACAAATTCTTACCTTTTCAAA	32	
CO-M-067	TATATTTTGACGCTGAGAAGAGTCTAACAATT	32	
CO-M-068	TGATTTGATACATCGGGAGAAACACAACGGAG	32	
CO-M-069	TTTGGATTATACCTGATAAATTGTGTCGAAATCGTTATTA	40	
CO-M-070	ATTITAAAGGAATTGAGGAAGGTTTGAGGCGG	32	
CO-M-071		32	
CO-M-072		32	
CO-M-073 [c]		32	
CO-M-074	AGTAGAAAAGTITGAGTAACATTA	24	
CO-M-075		32	
CO-M-076	GTACCTITATTACCTITITTAATGCGATAGCT	32	
CO-M-077 [c]		32	
CO-M-078		32	
CO-M-079		32	
CO-M-080		32	
CO-M-081 [c]		32	
CO-M-082		32	
CO-M-083		32	
CO-M-084 [c]		32	
CO-M-085		32	
CO-M-086 [B]		42	Biotin-labeled
CO-M-087 [B]		37	Biotin-labeled
CO-M-088		32	Biotin laboloa
CO-M-089		32	
CO-M-090		32	
CO-M-091		32	
CO-M-092 [B]		37	Biotin-labeled
CO-M-093 [B]		42	Biotin-labeled
CO-M-094	ACCACATTTTACGAGGCATAGTAATGACTATT	32	Biotin idooled
CO-M-095 [c]		32	
CO-M-096 [c]		32	
CO-M-097 [c]	CTATCATAATTCATCAGTTGAGATTGCTCATT	32	
CO-M-098	CGCGTTTTAATCAGGTCTTTACCCCGAGCAACA	32	
CO-M-099	ATATTTTCTGTAACAGTTGATTCCTCAAATAT	32	
CO-M-100	CCGGAGACGCAAGGATAAAAATTTGTTTAGCT	32	

CO-M-101	ATCAGCTCAAGCCCCAAAAACAGGGAGAAAGG	32	
CO-M-102	AATCAGAAATTTTTTAACCAATAGGAACGCCA	32	
CO-M-103	ATTTCAACAGTCAAATCACCATCACGGTTGAT	32	
CO-M-104	TCATTCCAATTTGGGGCGCGAGCTAAGCCTTT	32	
CO-M-105	AAATCAAAAATTCGAGCTTCAAAGTGGAAGTT	32	
CO-M-106	GTAGAAAGACCCTCGTTTACCAGAATGACCAT	32	
CO-M-107 [c]	CAGACCAGAAGGCTTGCCCTGACGTATTACAG	32	
CO-M-108	CAGAACGAGAAAGAGGACAGATGAACGGTGTA	32	
CO-M-109 [c]	AAAACCAAACTAACGGAACAACATAGAAACAC	32	
CO-M-110 [c]	ACCGGAAGAGTTCAGAAAACGAGACGACGATA	32	
CO-M-111	GGCATCAAACTAAAGTACGGTGTCCGAACCAG	32	
CO-M-112	TTCAACCGAATACTTTTGCGGGAGGAAAAGGT	32	
CO-M-113	TCAAAAATTCAATCATATGTACCCATATGATA	32	
CO-M-114	CTAGCATGAATTCGCGTCTGGCTGTTCCGAAATCGGCAAAATTCGGGAAA	50	
CO-M-115	GACCCTGTTTCTAGCTGATAAATTTCGTAAAA	32	
CO-M-116	AACAGTTAACCAGAGCCGCCGCCAGAACCGCC	32	
CO-M-117		59	
CO-M-118	TAAAACGAAATAGCGAGAGGCTTTCTCAAATG	32	
CO-M-119	CCAACTITGTAGTAAATTGGGCTTTACGTTAA	32	
CO-M-120	AAGTTTTGGTTGGGAAGAAGAATCGAGATGGTTCAATATTTATCGGCCT	49	
CO-M-121	AGAGTACCTATTCATTGAATCCCCTGCAAAAG	32	
CO-M-122 [c]		30	
CO-M-122 [0]		32	
CO-M-124		32	
CO-M-125		56	
CO-M-126		49	
CO-M-127	GTCATAAATTTAATTGCTCCTTTTCTTAATTG	32	
CO-M-128	GTCAGGACCCAGAGGGGGTAATAGGCGGAATC	32	
CO-M-129	AACGAGGCGCAGACGGAACTTTAATCATTGTGTTATACCA	40	
CO-M-130 [D]		40	M2' Dock
CO-M-131 [D]		42	M2 Dock
		32	WIZ DOCK
CO-M-133 [D]		12	M2' Dock
CO-M-134 [D]		42	M2' Dock
CO-M-135 [D]		42	M2 Dock
CO M 136 [ND]		32	WZ DOCK
CO-M-137 [ND]		32	
CO-M-138 [ND]		32	
CO-M-139 [D]		42	M2' Dock
CO-M-140		56	WIZ DOCK
CO-M-141		49	
CO-M-142		32	
CO-M-143		32	
CO-M-144		40	
CO-M-145		40	
CO-M-146	GTAACACTCTCCAAGAGAAGGATTAGGATTA	30	
CO-M-147		30	
CO-M-148		32	
CO-M-149	GGGAGTTAAACGAAAGAGGCGTCGCTCAACAGTAGGGCTTATCCAATCG	<u> </u>	
CO-M-150			
CO-M-151		32	
CO-M-152	AGCAAGGCACCAGAGCCACCACCGGCATTGAC	32	

CO-M-153	AGACTCCTTTGAGGGAGGGAAGGTTTACCATT	32	
CO-M-154	TCAACCGATATTACGCAGTATGTTAGCAAACG	32	
CO-M-155	TCACCGGACGGAAACGTCACCAATGGCGACAT	32	
CO-M-156	GGGTCAGTGAGGCAGGTCAGACGAAATCAAAA	32	
CO-M-157	GGGATAGCGCTCAGTACCAGGCGGTTTTAACG	32	
CO-M-158	AATTGTATCGTTAGTAAATGAATTCATTTTCA	32	
CO-M-159	CAACCTAAAAGGCCGCTTTTGCGGGAGCCTTT	32	
CO-M-160	CCCTCAGCTACGTAATGCCACTACGAAGGCAC	32	
CO-M-161	GGGATTTTAAAAAGGCTCCAAAAGGATCGTCA	32	
CO-M-162	CGTCGAGATCAGAGCCACCACCCTTTCTGTAT	32	
CO-M-163	GATATTCAGTGTACTGGTAATAAGATAAGTGC	32	
CO-M-164	CGATAGCATTTGCCATCTTTTCATTTGGCCTT	32	
CO-M-165	TAGAAAATGCGCCAAAGACAAAAGGAAACCAT	32	
CO-M-166	GTTTACCAACATACATAAAGGTGGCAACATAT	32	
CO-M-167	TATTAGCGGCACCGTAATCAGTAGTTCATATG	32	
CO-M-168 [c]	ATACAGGACAAACAAATAAATCCTAGCCCCCT	32	
CO-M-169	CGCCACCCGGGTTGATATAAGTATTTTTGATG	32	
CO-M-170	TCTCCAAAGCTAAACAACTTTCAACTCAGAAC	32	
CO-M-171	GGGTAAAAAGCGAAAGACAGCATCGTTGAAAA	32	
CO-M-172	GGTAGCAATTCATGAGGAAGTTTCCATTAAAC	32	
CO-M-173	GCGGAGTGATAATAATTTTTTCACGGAACGAG	32	
CO-M-174 [B]	\5Biosg\ TTTTT ATAGGTGTCCTCAGAACCGCCACCCAGTTTCA	37	Biotin-labeled
CO-M-175 [B]	\5Biosg\ TTTTTTTTT CCAGAATGAAGCGTCATACATGGCAGCCCGGA	42	Biotin-labeled
CO-M-176	TCAAGTTTCGGCATTTTCGGTCATCATTAAAG	32	
CO-M-177	ААААGAAACACAATCAATAGAAAACGACAGAA	32	

Table S7 | Strand sequences for cross-shaped DNA origami tile (edge strands)

A-Tile Edge Strands			
CO-A-D1*	CGTAACGTTAATATTTTGTTAATATTTTAAATTGTAAAATACATCT	45	M1 dock/sticky-ends
CO-A-D2*	GTTCATGAGTAATGTGTAGGTTTTTAAATGCAATGCCATACATCT	45	M1 dock/sticky-ends
CO-A-D3*	TTTATTAGATACATTTCGCTAGATTTAGTTTGACCTTT	38	Blocking
CO-A-D4*	TTTATCAAAAAGATTAAGAAAGCAAAGCGGATTGCTTT	38	Blocking
CO-A-D5*	AGTGTATAACGCCAAAAGGAACAACTAATGCAGATACTGTAT	42	sticky-ends
CO-A-D6*	GACATGATATTCATTACCCAAATCTTGACAAGAACCGTGTAT	42	sticky-ends
CO-A-L1*	CGAATTCCTGAACAAGAAAAAATCAACAATAGATAAGATACATCT	45	M1 dock/sticky-ends
CO-A-L2*	AGCATTTGCACCCAGCTACAAAAGATTAGTTGCTATTATACATCT	45	M1 dock/sticky-ends
CO-A-L3*	TTTAATAATAAGAGCAAGAGAATTGAGTTAAGCCCTTT	38	Blocking
CO-A-L4*	TTTGTTTGAGGGGACGACGAACCGTGCATCTGCCATTT	38	Blocking
CO-A-L5*	GCAAACCCGGGTACCGAGGTCTCGACTCTAGAGGATCTGTAT	42	sticky-ends
CO-A-L6*	CTGTTAGCTGATTGCCCTTCACAGTGAGACGGGCAACTGTAT	42	sticky-ends
CO-A-R1	CTGTTGTTAAATAAGAATAAAGTGTGATAAATAAGGCTGTAT	42	sticky-ends
CO-A-R2	GCAAAAAATCGTCGCTATTAAATAACCTTGCTTCTGTTGTAT	42	sticky-ends
CO-A-R3	TTTAAATAAAGAAATTGCGTTAGCACGTAAAACAGTTT	38	Blocking
CO-A-R4	TTTTATTCCTGATTATCAGAGCGGAATTATCATCATTT	38	Blocking
CO-A-R5	AGCATTGCTGAACCTCAAATAATCTAAAGCATCACCTATACATCT	45	M1 dock/sticky-ends
CO-A-R6	CGAATACATTGGCAGATTCACCTGAAATGGATTATTTATACATCT	45	M1 dock/sticky-ends
CO-A-U1	GACATAATAAGTTTATTTTGTCGCAAAGACACCACGGTGTAT	42	sticky-ends
CO-A-U2	AGTGTTGTAGCGCGTTTTCATGCCTTTAGCGTCAGACTGTAT	42	sticky-ends
CO-A-U3	TTTAATTTACCGTTCCAGTGAAAGCGCAGTCTCTGTTT	38	Blocking

Supplementary Information

CO-A-U4	TTTGGTTTAGTACCGCCACATCACCGTACTCAGGATTT	38	Blocking
CO-A-U5	GTTCAACTAAAGGAATTGCGAAGAATAGAAAGGAACAATACATCT	45	M1 dock/sticky-ends
CO-A-U6	CGTAAGAGGACTAAAGACTTTCGGCTACAGAGGCTTTATACATCT	45	M1 dock/sticky-ends

B-Tile Edge Strands			
CO-B-D1*	TTACGGTTAAATAAGAATAAAGTGTGATAAATAAGGCTGTAT	42	sticky-ends
CO-B-D2*	TGAACAAATCGTCGCTATTAAATAACCTTGCTTCTGTTGTAT	42	sticky-ends
CO-B-D3*	TTTAAATAAAGAAATTGCGTTAGCACGTAAAACAGTTT	38	Blocking
CO-B-D4*	TTTTATTCCTGATTATCAGAGCGGAATTATCATCATTT	38	Blocking
CO-B-D5*	ACACTTGCTGAACCTCAAATAATCTAAAGCATCACCTATACATCT	45	M1 dock/sticky-ends
CO-B-D6*	ATGTCACATTGGCAGATTCACCTGAAATGGATTATTTATACATCT	45	M1 dock/sticky-ends
CO-B-L1*	AACAGCGTTAATATTTGTTAATATTTAAATTGTAAAATACATCT	45	M1 dock/sticky-ends
CO-B-L2*	TTTGCTGAGTAATGTGTAGGTTTTTAAATGCAATGCCATACATCT	45	M1 dock/sticky-ends
CO-B-L3*	TTTATTAGATACATTTCGCTAGATTTAGTTTGACCTTT	38	Blocking
CO-B-L4*	TTTATCAAAAAGATTAAGAAAGCAAAGCGGATTGCTTT	38	Blocking
CO-B-L5*	ATGCTATAACGCCAAAAGGAACAACTAATGCAGATACTGTAT	42	sticky-ends
CO-B-L6*	ATTCGGATATTCATTACCCAAATCTTGACAAGAACCGTGTAT	42	sticky-ends
CO-B-R1	ATTCGAATAAGTTTATTTTGTCGCAAAGACACCACGGTGTAT	42	sticky-ends
CO-B-R2	ATGCTTGTAGCGCGTTTTCATGCCTTTAGCGTCAGACTGTAT	42	sticky-ends
CO-B-R3	TTTAATTTACCGTTCCAGTGAAAGCGCAGTCTCTGTTT	38	Blocking
CO-B-R4	TTTGGTTTAGTACCGCCACATCACCGTACTCAGGATTT	38	Blocking
CO-B-R5	TTTGCACTAAAGGAATTGCGAAGAATAGAAAGGAACAATACATCT	45	M1 dock/sticky-ends
CO-B-R6	AACAGGAGGACTAAAGACTTTCGGCTACAGAGGCTTTATACATCT	45	M1 dock/sticky-ends
CO-B-U1	ATGTCTCCTGAACAAGAAAAAATCAACAATAGATAAGATACATCT	45	M1 dock/sticky-ends
CO-B-U2	ACACTTTGCACCCAGCTACAAAAGATTAGTTGCTATTATACATCT	45	M1 dock/sticky-ends
CO-B-U3	TTTAATAATAAGAGCAAGAGAATTGAGTTAAGCCCTTT	38	Blocking
CO-B-U4	TTTGTTTGAGGGGACGACGAACCGTGCATCTGCCATTT	38	Blocking
CO-B-U5	TGAACCCCGGGTACCGAGGTCTCGACTCTAGAGGATCTGTAT	42	sticky-ends
CO-B-U6	TTACGAGCTGATTGCCCTTCACAGTGAGACGGGCAACTGTAT	42	sticky-ends

Passivation Edge Strands

CO-A-R1 / B-D1	TTTGTTAAATAAGAATAAAGTGTGATAAATAAGGCTTT	38	Blocking
CO-A-R2 / B-D2	TTTAAATCGTCGCTATTAAATAACCTTGCTTCTGTTTT	38	Blocking
CO-A-R3 / B-D3	TTTAAATAAAGAAATTGCGTTAGCACGTAAAACAGTTT	38	Blocking
CO-A-R4 / B-D4	TTTTATTCCTGATTATCAGAGCGGAATTATCATCATTT	38	Blocking
CO-A-R5 / B-D5	TGCTGAACCTCAAATAATCTAAAGCATCACCTAATACATCT	41	M1 Dock
CO-A-R6 / B-D6	ACATTGGCAGATTCACCTGAAATGGATTATTTAATACATCT	41	M1 Dock
CO-A-D1 / B-L1	CGTTAATATTTTGTTAATATTTAAATTGTAAAAATACATCT	41	M1 Dock
CO-A-D2 / B-L2	TGAGTAATGTGTAGGTTTTTAAATGCAATGCCAATACATCT	41	M1 Dock
CO-A-D3 / B-L3	TTTATTAGATACATTTCGCTAGATTTAGTTTGACCTTT	38	Blocking
CO-A-D4 / B-L4	TTTATCAAAAAGATTAAGAAAGCAAAGCGGATTGCTTT	38	Blocking
CO-A-D5 / B-L5	TTTATAACGCCAAAAGGAACAACTAATGCAGATACTTT	38	Blocking
CO-A-D6 / B-L6	TTTGGATATTCATTACCCAATCTTCGACAAGAACCTTT	38	Blocking

Table S8 | Strand sequences for DNA-PAINT imager strands

Imager Strands

M1' - Cy3b	CTAGATGTAT/Cy3b/	10	M1' Imager Strand
M2' - Cy3b	ACTCACAAGT/Cy3b/	10	M2' Imager Strand

References

- 1. M. Ovesný, P. Křížek, J. Borkovec, Z. Svindrych and G. M. Hagen, *Bioinformatics*, 2014, **30**, 2389–90.
- 2. C. A. Schneider, W. S. Rasband and K. W. Eliceiri, Nat. Methods, 2012, 9, 671–675.
- 3. R. E. Thompson, D. R. Larson and W. W. Webb, *Biophys. J.*, 2002, **82**, 2775–83.
- 4. M. Ovesny, PhD thesis, Charles University in Prague, 2016, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/311426573_Computational_methods_in_single_molecule_localization_microscopy