Electronic Supplementary Material (ESI) for Nanoscale. This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2017

1 Electronic Supplementary Information

- 2 A smart pH-responsive nano-carrier as a drug delivery system for
- 3 targeted delivery of ursolic acid: suppresses cancer growth and
- 4 metastasis by modulating P53/MMP-9/PTEN/CD44 mediated
- 5 *multiple signaling pathways*

6 Authors:

- 7 Kai Jiang^{a, †}, Ting Chi^{a, †}, Tao Li^a, Guirong Zheng^a, Lulu Fan^a, Yajun Liu^a, Xiufen
- 8 Chen^a, Sijia Chen^a, Lee Jia^a, Jingwei Shao^{a, *}

9 Affiliations:

- 10 a. Cancer Metastasis Alert and Prevention Center, Fujian Provincial Key Laboratory
- 11 of Cancer Metastasis Chemoprevention and Chemotherapy, Fuzhou University,
- 12 Fuzhou 350002, China
- 13 [†]. These authors contributed equally to the work.

14 **<u>* Corresponding author:</u>** Jingwei Shao

- 15 Address: 2 Xueyuan Road, Sunshine Technology Building, 6FL, Fuzhou University,
- 16 Fuzhou, Fujian 350116, China
- 17 **E-mail:** shaojingwei@fzu.edu.cn (J.W. Shao); shaojw12@163.com (J.W. Shao)
- **Telephone:** + 86-13600802402

19

20 Methods

21 Materials

N-cetyltrimethylammonium bromide (CTAB,99%), Tetraethoxysilane (TEOS), 22 23 2-cyanopropyltriethoxysilane (CPTES, 99%), N-Hydroxysulfosuccinimide sodium salt (NHS), N-(3-dimethylaminopropyl)-N-ethylcarbodiimide hydrochloride(EDC), 24 Folic acid, propidium iodide (PI) and 4, 6-diamidino-2-phenylindole(DAPI) were 25 purchased from Aladdin Reagent Inc.. Chitosan was purchased from Shanghai Kabo 26 Reagent Co. Ltd. (Shanghai, China). Ursolic acid (UA, purity > 90%) was purchased 27 from Xi'an Ocean Biological Engineering Co.. Anti-PARP, anti-PTEN, anti-Bcl-2, 28 anti-p53, anti-CD44, anti-MMP-9 and anti-β-action antibodies were obtained from 29 Cell Signaling Technology, Inc.. 30

31 Synthesis of carboxyl functionalized MSN

Carboxyl functionalized mesoporous silica nanoparticles (M-C)32 were synthesized by co-condensation method with a little modification ³³. Typically, 33 cetyltrimethylammonium bromide (CTAB, 1.2 g) was mixed in distilled water (180 34 35 mL) and ethylene glycol (EG, 20 mL) with ammonia aqueous solution (5.5 mL, 25%) 36 and the solution was vigorously stirred for about 30 min at 60 $^{\circ}$ C. After rapidly adding tetraethyl or thosilicate (TEOS, 2.0 mL) and 0.4 mL 2-cyanopropyltriethoxysilane 37 (CPTES, 0.4 mL) into the mixture, vigorous stirring continued for another 2 h at 38 60 °C. Afterwards the solution was kept statically for 20 h at room temperature, 39 followed by centrifugation (13000 rpm, 30 min), and then rinsed three times with 40 Distillation-Distillation H₂O (ddH₂O) and ethanol, respectively. Thereafter, the dried 41 product was dispersed into 9 M H₂SO₄ solution at 100 °C to prepare carboxyl 42 functionalized MSN. Finally, acidic ethanol was configured (about 9 mL HCl in 100 43 mL ethanol), which was used to remove the surfactant templates CTAB through 44 extraction at 65 $^{\circ}$ C for 24 h, and then the above mixture was separated by 45 centrifugation at 15000 rpm to get the pure product. The freeze-dried product of the 46 carboxyl functionalized mesoporous silica nanoparticles was used for further 47 experiments. 48

49 Synthesis of biofunctionalized chitosan

To attach folic acid to chitosan (CS-FA), folic acid was dissolved in anhydrous 50 DMSO solution containing NHS and EDC, and stirred for about 1h at room 51 temperature to make the folic acid completely dissolve. Subsequently, the above 52 solution was slowly added to the solution of 1% (w/v) CS in acetate buffer (pH 4.7). 53 Then, the above mixture was vigorously stirred for 16 h in the dark at room 54 temperature and adjusted to pH of 9 by dropwise addition of aqueous NaOH. Finally, 55 56 the above solution was purified via dialysis (14000 MWCO) first against phosphate buffer solution (pH 7.4) for 3 days, dialysis against water for 3 days. 57

58 Preparation of chitosan or chitosan–folic acid conjugate modified M–C

Chitosan modified carboxyl functionalized mesoporous silica nanoparticles were 59 synthesized. The carboxylic group of mesoporous silica nanoparticles was firstly 60 activated. The details were described as follows: 300 mg M-C were dispersed in 50 61 mL phosphate buffer solution (pH 7.0). Subsequently, EDC/NHS mixture (EDC= 0.2 62 M, NHS= 0.2 M) in 25 mL of phosphate buffer solution (pH 5.0) was put into the 63 64 above solution and then the mixture was stirred for 4 h at room temperature. Independently, 0.1 g of chitosan was dissolved in an aqueous solution of acetic acid 65 (2%, 5 mL) and stirred for 24 h at room temperature to get a transparent solution of 66 chitosan (2% w/v). Finally, 2% chitosan solution was added dropwise to the activated 67 M-C solution and the mixture was stirred 12 h at ambient temperature. The resulting 68 chitosan end-capped nanoparticles (M-CS) were collected by centrifugation and 69 washed with deionized water before freeze-drying. Chitosan-folic acid conjugate 70 modified carboxyl functionalized mesoporous silica nanoparticles (M-CS-FA) and 71 72 folic acid modified carboxyl functionalized mesoporous silica nanoparticles (M-FA) 73 were prepared by the same method.

74 Cell culture

The human epithelial carcinoma cell line (HeLa) and human hepato cellular liver carcinoma cell line (HepG2) were purchased from the cell Bank of Shanghai Institute of cell Biology. HeLa, FR positive tumor cell and HepG2, FR negative tumor cell, were cultured in RPMI-1640 medium containing heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum (FBS, 10%), penicillin (100 U/mL), and streptomycin (100 μ g/mL) in a humidified incubator at 37 °C and 5% CO₂.

81 Preparation of FITC labeled M-CS-FA

Not all amino groups in NH₂-CS-FA reacted with MSN, thus there remaining free NH₂ moieties were utilized for labelling with FITC. Briefly, 50 mg powered M-CS-FA were dissolved in 5 mL deionized water, followed by the addition of 5 mL FITC ethanol solution (0.3 mg/mL). After stirring in the dark for 6 h, the nanoparticles were collected by centrifugation and washed three times with ethanol until the supernatants were colorless. The FITC labelled nanoparticles were used for HeLa and HepG2 cells uptake experiments by confocal microscopy observations.

89 Cell adhesion, invasion and wound healing assay

To explore the anti-adhesion effect of UA@M-CS-FA, fluorescence-based 90 analysis was carried out using 24-well plate. HUVECs were cultured to confluence in 91 24-well culture plates, pretreated with IL-1β (1 ng/mL) for 4 h. And HeLa and HepG2 92 cells were labeled with Rhodamine 123, then co-cultured with the HUVECs 93 94 monolayers in each well, followed by treatment with PBS, 2 µg/mL of free UA, UA@M-C, UA@M-CS, UA@M-CS-FA. After 1 h incubation, cells were washed 95 with PBS to remove the nonadhered cells for three times. Five fields were selected 96 randomly per filter in each group and images were taken under a fluorescence 97 microscope (Zeiss, Germany). The adhesion rate was calculated according to the 98 following equation: 99

adhesion (%)= (the number of adhered cells in samples/the number of adhered
cells in the control group) × 100%.

To assess cell invasion, HeLa cells were seeded into transwell chambers (Costar, Coring Incorporated, USA), which had been pre-coated with Matrigel and bottom chamber of serum RPMI 1640 medium in a 24-well plate was in the presence of free medium, UA, UA@M-C, UA@M-CS, UA@M-CS-FA with final concentration of 2 μ g/mL UA. After 24 h incubation, cells were fixed in methanol for 20 min. And then the transwell chambers were gently removed. The invaded cells in the bottom chamber were stained with crystal violet (0.5%) and then washed with PBS twice. The invaded cells were counted and photographed under a light microscope (Zeiss Co.,
Germany) at × 200 magnification.

For wound healing assay, HeLa cells was cultured in 12-well plates at a density of 4 x 10^5 cells/mL for 1 mL (4 x 10^5 cells/well) overnight. At 80% cell confluence, a scratch wound using a sterile 200 µL pipette tip was performed and then HeLa cells were treated with free medium, UA, UA@M-C, UA@M-CS, UA@M-CS-FA (2 µg/mL). The wound width was measured under a light microscope (Zeiss Co., Germany) at 0 and 24 h after drug treatment to assess the migration ability of HeLa cells. The data were performed in duplicate and analyzed using Image J.

118 Cell cycle analysis

Flow cytometry was used to analyze cell cycle. Briefly, cells were treated with 20 μ g/mL UA, UA@M-C, UA@M-CS, UA@M-CS-FA for 24 h, respectively. Cells were harvested and washed twice with PBS, and fixed in 70% ice-cold ethanol for 24h. After centrifugation, cells were washed with cold PBS twice, and followed by staining for DNA with a mixture solution containing 1% Triton X-100, 0.01% RNase, and 0.05% PI for 30 min at 37 °C in the dark. Cell cycle was imaged by the FACS Aria III flow cytometer.

126 **DAPI staining**

HeLa cells and HepG2 cells treated with 20 μg/mL UA, UA@M-C, UA@M-CS,
UA@M-CS-FA for 24 h, were harvested, washed twice with PBS, and then were
stained for cell nucleus with 1 μg/mL DAPI for 30 min at 37 °C in the dark. Finally,
the cells were observed via fluorescence microscope.

131 Animals assay

All studies involving animals were performed according to the NSFC regulation concerning the care and use of experimental animals and approved by our Animal Care and Use Committee to reduce the suffering and use of animals. To evaluate *in vivo* antitumor activity of UA@M-CS-FA, the tumor volume was measured in a double-blinded manner. HeLa cells (1×10^6) in 200 µL PBS were subcutaneously injected at the right armpit of the 6-week-old male nude mice. After tumor volume reached approximately 40 mm³, the tumor nude mice were divided into four groups

randomly. The mice were treated with PBS, free UA, UA@M-CS and UA@M-CS-FA 139 (11 mg/kg, 200 µL), through tail vein injection at day 1, 4, 7, 10, 13, 16, 19, 22, 25 140 post initial treatment. Tumor volume was calculated according to the following 141 equations: $V = (L \times W^2)/2$ (Where L and W represent the length and width of a tumor, 142 respectively). At the end of treatment for 25 days, all animals were sacrificed, the 143 histologic sections were stained by hematoxylin and eosin (H&E), and tumor tissues 144 were collected for assessment of apoptosis by TUNEL assay. The tunel staining was 145 146 performed in according with the instructions in the protocol for cell sections (Meilun, Dalian, China). Images were acquired via upright microscope (ZEISS Primo Star). 147

To establish the lung metastasis model, nude mice were inoculated with HeLa 148 cells (1 x 10^6) in 200 µL PBS through the tail vein. Tumor cell-injected mice were 149 randomly divided into four groups. They were administered with PBS, free UA, 150 UA@M-CS and UA@M-CS-FA (2 mg/kg, 200 µL), through tail vein injection at day 151 1, 4, 7, 10, 13, 16, 19, 22, 25 post initial treatment. After 25 days' treatment, all 152 animals were sacrificed, all lungs and primary tumors were collected, imaged and 153 154 used for histological examination. To evaluate the in vivo anti-metastasis effects, we counted the macroscopic metastatic nodules in each lung. Hematoxylin and eosin 155 (H&E) were used to stain the histologic sections lungs and tumors. To explore the 156 expression of CD44 of frozen sections, immunohistochemistry (IHC) was performed 157 according to the methods of a commercial kit (Meilune, Dalian, China). To 158 quantitatively examine the number of CD44 positive cells, three fields from each 159 tissue section were randomly selected, photographed and analyzed with the MIQAS 160 analysis system (Biological technology Corp., Shanghai, China). 161

- 162
- 163

A

Nanoparticles	Size (nm)	Zeta Potential(mV)	PDI
MSN	110.10 ± 4.55	-19.03 ± 0.72	0.185 ± 0.090
M-C	122.93 ± 1.79	-29.53 ± 0.70	0.179 ± 0.033
M-CS	184.70 ± 8.48	$+14.86 \pm 0.52$	0.269 ± 0.114
M-CS-FA	199.83 ± 3.87	-23.14 ± 2.01	0.285 ± 0.094

B



164

165 Fig. S1. Characterization of the nanoparticles. (A) The size, zeta potential and PDI

166 of different nanoparticles. (**B**) TEM image of M-CS-FA nanoparticles, about 175nm.

167 (C) AFM image of M-C nanoparticles. (D) AFM image of M-CS-FA nanoparticles.



169 Fig. S2. 1H NMR spectrum of folic acid-chitosan conjugates in an acetic acid

¹⁷⁰ **/D₂O solution** (1/3 v/v).



172 Fig. S3. The absorbance of UA molecules as a function of UA concentration.

171



173

174 Fig. S4. Intracellular mean fluorescence intensity (MFI) of blank cells (Control),

- 175 cells with FITC-labeled M-CS, cells with FITC-labeled M-CS-FA kept (M-CS-FA)
- and cell preincubated with FA before the addition of FITC-labeled M-CS-FA
- 177 (M-CS-FA +FA) in HeLa cells were analyzed by FACS.



178

179 Fig. S5. DAPI staining of UA, UA@M-C, UA@M-CS, UA@M-CS-FA in HeLa

and HepG2 cells. *p<0.05, **p<0.01, ***p<0.01 versus control group, ##<0.05
 versus UA@M-CS group.