Supplementary information

Magnetic proximity effect and electrical field tunable valley degeneracy in MoS₂/EuS van der Waals heterojunctions

Xiao Liang,*,1,2 Longjiang Deng,1,2 Fei Huang,1,2 Tingting Tang,1,2,3

Chuangtang Wang,^{1,2} Yupeng Zhu,^{1,2} Jun Qin,^{1,2} Yan Zhang,^{1,2} Bo Peng^{1,2}

and Lei Bi,*,1,2

¹National Engineering Research Center of Electromagnetic Radiation Control Materials, University of Electronic Science and Technology of China, Chengdu 610054, China
²State Key Laboratory of Electronic Thin-Films and Integrated Devices, University of Electronic Science and Technology of China, Chengdu, 610054, China
³Information Materials and Device Applications Key Laboratory of Sichuan Provincial Universities, Chengdu University of Information Technology, Chengdu 610225, China

* Corresponding Author: (Xiao Liang) liangxiao920@std.uestc.edu.cn, (Lei Bi) bilei@uestc.edu.cn

Comparing with other TMDs/EuS heterojunctions

To verify our results that the MPE exists at the MoS₂/EuS interface, we have calculated other TMDs/EuS heterojunctions, such as WS₂/EuS and MoSe₂/EuS. Fig. S1 shows the charge density difference distribution and the spin density distribution at the interface for MoS₂/EuS, WS₂/EuS and MoSe₂/EuS in chemical and vdW adsorption states, respectively. It can be seen, both in chemical and vdW adsorption states, the charge density distribution at these three interfaces are similar, and spin polarizations are observed at Mo(W) atomic layer. Fig. S2 show the band structure for MoS₂/EuS, WS₂/EuS and MoSe₂/EuS in chemical and vdW adsorption states. The calculated adsorption distance "*d*", binding energy Δ E, induced magnetic moments and valley Zeeman splitting E_z are listed in the talble S1 below. We can see the TMDs/EuS have similar adsorption way and distance, and the magnetic proximity effect is generally existed in these systems in different lattice mismatch and atoms configurations at the interface of these systems can also impact the MPE. The calculated valley Zeeman splitting of WS₂/EuS and MoSe₂/EuS are 33.2 and 15.6 meV in chemical adsorption states, while 4.7 and 2.5meV in vdW adsorption states.

Considering the measured valley Zeeman splitting rate of $-233 \pm 10 \ \mu eV/T^1$ and $-220 \ \mu eV/T^2$ for single layer WS₂ and MoSe₂ in previous studies, the MPE introduces a MEF of 143 T and 20 T for WS₂/EuS in the chemical and vdW adsorption cases, while 71T and 11T for MoSe₂ in the chemical and vdW adsorption cases.



Figure S1.The charge density difference distribution at the interface of MoS_2/EuS (a, b), WS_2/EuS (e, f) and $MoSe_2/EuS$ (i, j), in which the left column (a, e, i) indicate the chemical adsorption, and the right column (b, f, j) indicate the vdW adsorption. Also shown are the spin density distribution at the Mo (W) atomic layer of MoS_2/EuS (c, d), WS_2/EuS (g, h) and $MoSe_2/EuS$ (k, l), in which the left column (c, g, k) indicate the chemical adsorption, and the right column (d, h, l) indicate the vdW adsorption.

System	MoSe ₂ /EuS		WS ₂ /EuS		MoS ₂ /EuS					
Adsorption type	Chemical	vdW	Chemical	vdW	Chemical	vdW				
<i>d</i> (Å)	2.624	6.820	2.586	6.511	2.554	6.456				
ΔE (meV)	166	32	185	47	192	44				
Mo\W (µ _B /atom)	0.076	0.013	0.118	0.023	0.124	0.024				
E_z (meV)	15.6	2.5	33.2	4.7	37.3	5.1				

Table S1. The adsorption distance "d", binding energy ΔE , averaged induced magnetic moments in Mo (W) and valley Zeeman splitting E_z calculated for MoS₂/EuS, WS₂/EuS and MoSe₂/EuS heterojunctions in chemical and vdW adsorption states.



Figure S2.The band structure calculated for MoS₂/EuS, WS₂/EuS and MoSe₂/EuS heterojunctions in chemical and vdW adsorption states.

Comparing several vdW correction methods

To check the vdW correction method used in our study, we also using other vdW correction method to check our results on MoS_2/EuS system, such as optB88-vdW³ and optB86b-vdW⁴, which are also appropriate for layered systems⁵. Table S2 shows the adsorption distance "*d*", binding energy ΔE , averaged induced magnetic moments calculated for MoS_2/EuS using different vdW correction methods. We can see these three methods give out similar adsorption distance and binding energy, in particular, the magnetic moments induced in Mo atoms are agree well with each other, which indicated the degrees of our estimated MPE at this interface is reasonable. Fig. S3 shows the projected density of states (PDOS) of Mo, S, Eu atoms at the MoS_2/EuS interface using different vdW correction has little effect on the electron structure, in particular, the band gap of MoS_2 .

vdW correction	optB86b-vdW		optB88-vdW		revB86b-vdW-DF2			
Adsorption type	Chemical	vdW	Chemical	vdW	Chemical	vdW		
<i>d</i> (Å)	2.538	6.423	2.634	6.611	2.554	6.456		
ΔE (meV)	198	50	185	48	192	44		
Mo (μ_B /atom)	0.121	0.026	0.112	0.019	0.124	0.024		
S (µ _B /atom)	0.003	0.000	0.000	0.000	-0.005	0.000		
Eu (µ _B /atom)	6.932	6.947	6.910	6.922	6.951	6.982		

Table S2. The adsorption distance "d", binding energy ΔE , averaged induced magnetic moments calculated for MoS₂/EuS using different vdW correction methods.



Figure S3. The calculated projected density of states (PDOS) of Mo, S, Eu atoms at the MoS₂/EuS interface using different vdW correction methods.

Reference

1 A. V. Stier, K. M. McCreary, B. T. Jonker, J. Kono and S. A. Crooker, Nat. Comm. 2016, 7, 10643.

2 D. MacNeill, C. Heikes, K. F. Mak, Z. Anderson, A. Kormányos, V. Zólyomi, J. Park and D. C. Ralph, Phys. Rev. Lett., 2015, 114, 037401.

3 Klimeš J.; Bowler D. R.; Michaelides A. Chemical Accuracy for the van der Waals Density Functional. J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 2009, 22, 022201.

4 Klimeš J.; Bowler D. R.; Michaelides A. Van der Waals Density Functionals Applied to Solids. *Phys. Rev. B* **2011**, *83*, 19513.

5 Björkman T. Testing Several Recent van der Waals Density Functionals for Layered Structures. *J. Chem. Phys.* **2014**, *141*, 074708.