SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

Nanotopography Regulates Motor Neuron Differentiation of Human Pluripotent Stem Cells

Weiqiang Chen,*^{a,b} Shuo Han,^a Weyi Qain,^b Shinuo Weng,^a Haiou Yang,^{a,c} Yubing Sun,^a Luis G. Villa-Diaz,^d Paul H. Krebsbach,^e and Jianping Fu*^{a,f,g}

^aDepartment of Mechanical Engineering, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI 48105, USA;
^bDepartment of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering, New York University, New York, NY 10012, USA; ^cShanghai Children's Medical Center, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai, 200062, China; ^dDepartment of Biological Sciences, Oakland University, Rochester, MI 48105, USA; ^eSchool of Dentistry, University of California Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA 90095, USA;
^fDepartment of Biomedical Engineering, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI 48105, USA;
^gDepartment of Cell and Developmental Biology, University of Michigan Medical School, Ann Arbor, MI 48109, USA.

*Correspondence should be addressed to W. Chen (email: wchen@nyu.edu) or J. Fu (email: jpfu@umich.edu).

Supplementary Figures 1 to 12



Fig. S1. Characterization of nanoengineered nanotopographic surfaces. (a) Schematic of nanotopography generated by RIE on glass surfaces. (b) Nanoroughness as a function of RIE process time. (c) AFM topographs of glass substrates before (*left*; $R_q = 1.3$ nm) and after (*middle&right*; $R_q = 101$ nm & 203 nm) RIE processing. (d) XPS survey spectra measured for glass substrates before (*blue* curve) and after RIE nanoetching (*red* curve). (e) Merged phase-contrast and anti-vitronectin immunofluorescence intensity at the dash lines (I-III) in e. No significant difference in fluorescence intensity of the adsorbed vitronectin proteins was observed in the unprocessed smooth and RIE-processed nanorough regions.



Fig. S2. (**a&b**) Photograph showing a 4-inch glass wafer with nanotopographic features (**a**) before cut and placed into tissue culture dishes (**b**). (**c**) Representative SEM images showing hPSCs plated on smooth ($R_q = 1$ nm) and nanorough ($R_q = 150$ nm) glass surfaces.



Fig. S3. Representative immunofluorescence images showing temporal expression of pluripotency (Oct3/4; red) and neuroectodermal (PAX6; green) markers during neural induction of hPSCs in neural induction medium on smooth (top; $R_q = 1$ nm) and nanorough (bottom; $R_q = 200$ nm) glass substrates as indicated.



Fig. S4. qRT-PCR analysis for temporal expression of pluripotency (*OCT4* and *NANOG*; **a&b**) and neuroectodermal (*PAX6* and *SOX1*; **c&d**) markers during neural induction of hPSCs. hPSCs were cultured in neural induction medium on smooth ($R_q = 1$ nm) and nanorough ($R_q = 200$ nm) surfaces. Expression level of *OCT4*, a pluripotency marker, was reduced on both nanorough and smooth glass substrates at day 2. *NANOG*, another gene associated with pluripotency, decreased more significantly at both day 4 and 6 on nanorough ($R_q = 200$ nm) glass substrates compared with smooth controls ($R_q = 1$ nm). Genes associated with neural lineages, including *PAX6* and *SOX1*, showed greater levels of expression on nanorough surfaces after day 2 when compared to smooth controls. Expression level of each gene was normalized to data from undifferentiated hPSCs. Data represent the mean \pm s.e.m with n = 3. *P*-values were calculated using the Student's paired sample *t*-test. *, P < 0.05; **, P < 0.01.



Fig. S5. (a) Representative immunofluorescence images showing PAX6+ NEs and p75+ NCs after 8 days of culture on smooth ($R_q = 1$ nm) and nanorough ($R_q = 100$ nm & 200 nm) glass surfaces. (b) Percentages of p75+ NC cells derived from hPSCs at day 8 as a function of nanoroughness. Data represent the mean \pm s.e.m. with n = 3. *P*-values were calculated using the Student's paired sample *t*-test. **, P < 0.01.



Fig. S6. (a) Representative immunofluorescence images showing Tuj1+ cells after 24 days of differentiation in MN differentiation medium on smooth ($R_q = 1$ nm) and nanorough ($R_q = 200$ nm) glass substrates. (b-c) Bar plots showing normalized Tuj1+ (b) and HB9+ (c) cell numbers at day 24 as a function of surface nanoroughness as indicated.



Fig. S7. Purity and yield of motor neurons (MNs) derived from hPSCs are improved on nanorough substrates with a 32-day differentiation protocol. (a) Schematic diagram showing experimental design for sequential neural induction, patterning, and maturation of MNs from hPSCs. hPSCs were cultured on vitronectin-coated smooth ($R_q = 1$ nm) and nanorough ($R_q = 200$ nm) glass substrates in neural induction medium containing the dual Smad inhibitors SB and LDN for 8 days and then in MN differentiation medium containing purmorphamine (Pur), basic fibroblast growth factor (bFGF) and retinoic acid (RA) for an additional 8 days. Putative MN progenitor cells collected at day 16 were transferred onto coverslips and cultured in MN maturation medium containing brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF), ascorbic acid, cyclic adenosine monophosphate (cAMP) and insulin-like growth factor 1 (IGF-1) for another 16 days. (b) Representative immunofluorescence images showing Tuj1+ and HB9+ cells at day 32. (c-e) Bar plots showing percentages of HB9+ (c) and Tuj1+ (d) cells and percentages of HB9+ cells in Tuj1+ cells (e) at day 32. Data represent the mean \pm s.e.m. with n = 3. *P*-values were calculated using the Student's paired sample *t*-test. **, P < 0.01.



Fig. S8. (a) Immunofluorescence images showing total β 1 integrin in undifferentiated hPSCs on smooth ($R_q = 1$ nm) and nanorough ($R_q = 200$ nm) glass substrates after 48 hr of culture. (b) Bar graphs showing quantitative results of normalized total β 1 integrin for Oct3/4+ hPSCs cultured on substrates with different nanoroughness as indicated. Error bars represent ± s.e.m. with n = 10. *P*-values were calculated using the Student's paired sample *t*-test. *ns*, P > 0.05.



Fig. S9. Subcellular analysis of focal adhesion (FA) in hPSCs cultured on smooth ($R_q = 1$ nm) and nanorough ($R_q = 200$ nm) glass substrates.



Fig. S10. Representative immunofluorescence images showing nanoroughness-dependent subcellular localization of YAP in hPSCs at day 2 and PAX6+ NEs derived from hPSCs at day 8 on smooth ($R_q = 1$ nm) and nanorough ($R_q = 200$ nm) glass surfaces under different drug treatments as indicated.



Fig. S11. Immunofluorescence images showing actin CSK (green), including cap actin (left panels) and basal actin (right panels) filament organization, in Oct3/4+ hPSCs cultured on smooth ($R_q = 1$ nm) and nanorough ($R_q = 200$ nm) glass substrates as indicated. The confocal microscopy sections show the actin filament network at the apical surface (cap actin) and basal surface (basal actin) of Oct3/4+ hPSCs cultured on smooth ($R_q = 1$ nm) and nanorough ($R_q = 200$ nm) glass substrates. There are thick, parallel, and highly contractile perinuclear cap actin filament bundles observed in the hPSCs on the nanorough substrates, while well-developed basal stress fibers were found and apical perinuclear actin cap is absence in hPSCs on the smooth substrates.



Fig. S12. Nanotopography-triggered signaling controls hPSC behaviors.