## **Supporting Information**

# The Yin and Yang of Coordinating Co-Solvents in the Size-Tuning of Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> Nanocrystals through Flow Synthesis

Mingxia Jiao<sup>a</sup>, Lihong Jing<sup>b</sup>, Xiaojun Wei<sup>b</sup>, Chunyan Liu<sup>b</sup>, Xiliang Luo<sup>a,\*</sup> and Mingyuan Gao<sup>b,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Key Laboratory of Sensor Analysis of Tumor Marker, Ministry of Education, College of Chemistry and Molecular Engineering, Qingdao University of Science and Technology, Qingdao 266042, China. <sup>b</sup> Institute of Chemistry, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Bei Yi Jie 2, Zhong Guan Cun, Beijing 100190,

China.

#### **Experimental Section**

**Chemicals.** Ferric acetylacetonate (Fe(acac)<sub>3</sub>, 517003), oleylamine (OM, O7805), oleic acid (OA, 364525), 1-octadecanol (258768), 1,2-dodecanediol (213721) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich and used as received. Anisole (A103683) were purchased from Aladdin and used as received. Analytical grade chemicals such as acetone, ethanol, cyclohexane, and tetrahydrofuran (THF) were purchased from Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Beijing, Co., Ltd and used as received. PEG2000 bearing a catechol group (CC-PEG) was a customized product provided by Beijing Oneder Hightech Co., Ltd.

**Preparation of Stock Solutions.** A series of stock solutions were prepared according to the following recipe for flow synthesis of Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> nanocrystals. Typically, Fe(acac)<sub>3</sub> (2.0 mmol), 1-octadecanol (10.0 mmol), OM (6.0 mmol) and OA (6.0 mmol) were mixed in 20 mL anisole in a 50-mL flask. The resultant mixture was stirred at room temperature to form a homogeneous solution. After being purged with nitrogen for 10 min, the stock solution was obtained. For preparing differently sized Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> nanocrystals, the concentrations of OM and OA and species of alcohols were adjusted within the stock solutions.

**Flow Synthesis of Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> nanocrystals.** The stock solution was pumped into a 7.4 m hastelloy tube reactor with an inner diameter of 1.0 mm where the temperature was maintained at 250°C. The system pressure was around 30 bar controlled by a back

pressure regulator. The residence time was set as 3 min. After being cooled by air to room temperature, the resultant mixture was collected via sampling vials. The resultant nanocrystals were precipitated by acetone, collected by centrifugation, washed with acetone for three cycles, and finally redispersed in cyclohexane or THF for further experiments.

**Characterizations.** Transmission electron microscope (TEM) images of the nanocrystals were taken on a JEM-100CXII electron microscope at an acceleration voltage of 100 kV. The particle size was determined by averaging at least 300 particles per sample. Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectra of the nanocrystals, OM and OA were recorded on a Bruker EQUINOX55 FT-IR spectrometer at room temperature. UV–Vis absorption spectra of the iron solutions were recorded at 25°C on a Cary 50 UV–Vis absorption spectrometer. Powder X-ray diffraction (XRD) pattern of the particle sample was recorded on a Regaku D/Max-2500 diffractometer under Cu K*a*<sub>1</sub> radiation ( $\lambda = 1.54056$  Å). The viscosities of solvents were tested by an AR-2000ex rheometer (TA Instruments, USA). Dynamic light scattering (DLS) measurements were carried out at 25°C with a Nano ZS (Malvern) equipped with a solid-state He-Ne laser ( $\lambda = 633$  nm) for measuring the hydrodynamic size of the resultant nanoparticles.

**Ligand exchange.** Approximately 10 mg of the purified nanocrystals and 100 mg of CC-PEG were dissolved in 5 mL THF. Typically, the ligand exchange reaction took place overnight at 40°C. Then, the PEGylated particles were precipitated by cyclohexane, washed with cyclohexane for three cycles, and dried under vacuum at room temperature. The particle powders obtained were found to be readily dissolved in water, supporting that the PEG coating was effectively realized. To remove excess PEG ligand, the resultant aqueous solutions containing the PEGylated particles were purified by ultrafiltration for 4 cycles using 10 kDa MWCO centrifugal filter (Millipore YM-10).

**Relaxivity Measurements.** The relaxivity measurements were carried out on a 3.0 T clinical MRI instrument (Philips Achieva 3.0 T TX). A series of aqueous solutions with different concentrations of CC-PEG-coated Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> particles were prepared and

transferred into 2 mL Eppendorf tubes for longitudinal and transverse magnetic relaxivity measurements. The parameters for  $T_1$  measurements were set as follows: echo time (TE) = 25.3 ms; repetition time (TR) = 500, 1000, 1500, 2000 ms, number of excitations (NEX) = 8. For  $T_2$  measurements, the parameters were set as: TR = 2000 ms; and TE = 20, 40, 60, 80, 100 ms; number of excitations (NEX) = 8.

## **Supplementary Results**



Fig. S1. FTIR spectra of the as-prepared Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> nanocrystals, OM and OA.

It can be found from Fig. S1 that the C=O stretch band of carboxyl group, peaking at 1712 cm<sup>-1</sup> in the spectrum of OA, disappears in the spectrum of Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> nanocrystals, suggesting the carboxylate group of deprotonated OA in the presence of OM coordinates with the particle surface by donating its lone pair of electrons to Fe. The - NH<sub>2</sub> symmetric stretch vibration of OM at 1257 cm<sup>-1</sup> is also greatly reduced for that of the nanocrystals, revealing the coordination between OM and the particle surface.



Fig. S2. Size distributions of  $Fe_3O_4$  nanocrystals (shown in Fig. 1) prepared in the presence of different concentration of OM/OA: (a) 0.3 M, (b) 1.2 M, and (c) 0.6 M, respectively.



Fig. S3. TEM image and histogram of the  $Fe_3O_4$  nanocrystals prepared by adding 11.5 mL anisole instead of OM/OA into the initial stock solution.



Fig. S4. TEM image of the nanocrystals prepared in the presence of 0.15 M OM/OA.



Fig. S5. TEM images of the  $Fe_3O_4$  nanocrystals prepared in the presence of 0.6 M OM/OA, and 10.0 mmol 1,2-dodecanediol (a), no alcohol (b) (the scale bars correspond to 50 nm), and the corresponding histograms.



Fig. S6. Plots of  $R_1$  (a) and  $R_2$  (b) versus the concentration of the PEGylated Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> nanoparticles with different size overlaid with linear fitting curves for extracting the longitudinal and transverse relaxivities.

# The diffusion coefficient D

D can be obtained from Einstein's formula

$$D = \frac{k_{\rm B}T}{6\pi\mu r_{\rm p}}$$
 S(1)

 $k_{\rm B}$  is Boltzmann constant, *T* is reaction temperature,  $\mu$  is the viscosity of solvent, and  $r_{\rm p}$  is the average radius of the nanoparticle.

It can be seen from S(1) that *D* is inversely correlated with  $\mu$ , therefore, due to the increased viscosity of the reaction system in the presence of 1.2 M OM/OA, the diffusion coefficient *D* is smaller than that of the reaction system in the presence of 0.6 M OM/OA.