**Electronic Supplementary Information** 

### Enhanced catalytic activity for CO oxidation by the metal– oxide perimeter of TiO<sub>2</sub>/nanostructured Au inverse catalysts

Si Woo Lee,<sup>a,b</sup> Jun Tae Song,<sup>a,c</sup> Jaehoon Kim,<sup>a</sup> Jihun Oh,<sup>\*a,c</sup> and Jeong Young Park <sup>\*a,b</sup>

 <sup>a</sup> Graduate School of EEWS, Korea Advanced Institute of Science and Technology (KAIST) Daejeon 305-701, Republic of Korea
<sup>b</sup> Center for Nanomaterials and Chemical Reactions, Institute for Basic Science, Daejeon 305-701, Republic of Korea
<sup>c</sup> Institute for NanoCentury, Korea Advanced Institute of Science and Technology (KAIST), Daejeon, 305-701, Republic of Korea.

\*To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: jeongypark@kaist.ac.kr, jihun.oh@kaist.ac.kr

KEYWORDS: Nanoporous Au, nanorod Au, TiO2-Au interface perimeter, CO oxidation

#### 1. Anodization and reduction conditions for fabrication of nanoporous Au and nanorod Au.

Figure S1 shows the reduced anodic (RA) treatment including two sequential processes: anodization to form Au oxide and reduction for anodized Au by applying a constant applied potential and current density. The RA treatment with an anodic potential of 2.3 V and reduction current of -3.0 mA cm<sup>-2</sup> forms nanoporous Au. Meanwhile, nanorod Au is obtained via anodization under 2.5 V and reduction at -6.0 mA cm<sup>-2</sup>.



**Figure S1.** Electrochemical synthesis process of Au nanostructures consisting of anodization and reduction in a 0.2 M KHCO<sub>3</sub> solution. (a) Time-dependent current density plots for the Au anodizing step at applied potentials of 2.3 and 2.5 V (vs. RHE) and (b) potential variation during the reduction of the oxidized Au under constant current densities of -3.0 and -6.0 mA cm<sup>-2</sup> for the Au anodized at 2.3 and 2.5 V, respectively.

# 2. Top-view SEM images of as-prepared nanoporous Au and nanorod Au and size distribution histograms for nanorod Au.

Figure S2 shows top-view SEM images of the nanoporous Au and nanorod Au and the size distribution of the diameter for the nanorod Au. As shown in the histogram, the average diameter for the nanorod Au was  $81 \pm 17$  nm.



**Figure S2.** Top-view SEM images of (a) nanoporous Au and (b) nanorod Au. (c) Diameter size distribution histogram for nanorod Au.

## 3. X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) spectra of the TiO<sub>2</sub>/nanoporous Au and TiO<sub>2</sub>/nanorod Au catalysts.

Figure S3 shows the chemical state of the gold (Au 4f) and titanium dioxide (Ti 2p and O 1s) taken on the 0.5 wt% TiO<sub>2</sub>/nanoporous Au and 0.5 wt% TiO<sub>2</sub>/nanorod Au catalyst using X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS, Thermo VG Scientific Sigma Probe system with an Al K $\alpha$  X-ray source (1486.3 eV)). All the binding energies were calibrated to the C 1s peak at 284.8 eV. After TTIP immersion and annealing in an oven, the nanoporous Au and nanorod Au were maintained in their metallic state (84.0 eV), and formation of titanium dioxide can be confirmed from the peak binding energy (458.5 eV) in the Ti 2p spectra and the O–Ti<sup>4+</sup> peak (530.5 eV) in the O 1s spectra. The peak positions of all the components were almost identical for both the TiO<sub>2</sub>/nanoporous Au and TiO<sub>2</sub>/nanorod Au, representing that the electric structures of both nanostructures were almost the same.



Figure S3. XPS spectra of (a), (d) Au 4f, (b), (e) Ti 2p, and (c), (f) O 1s of the 0.5 wt%  $TiO_2/nanoporous$  Au and 0.5 wt%  $TiO_2/nanorod$  Au catalysts.

### 4. Cross-sectional HR-TEM image of the 1.0 wt% TiO<sub>2</sub>/nanoporous Au.

Figure S4 shows a cross-sectional HR-TEM image of the 1.0 wt%  $TiO_2$ /nanoporous Au indicating that an interface between the  $TiO_2$  and Au was not formed because of the high surface coverage of  $TiO_2$  on Au.



Figure S4. A cross-sectional HR-TEM image of the 1.0 wt% TiO<sub>2</sub>/nanoporous Au.