Supporting Information

Ultrathin Ir nanowires as high-performance electrocatalysts for efficient water

splitting in acidic media

Luhong Fu, Fulin Yang, Gongzhen Cheng, and Wei Luo*

College of Chemistry and Molecular Sciences, Wuhan University

Hubei 430072, China

E-mail addresses: wluo@whu.edu.cn

Supplementary Figures: Figure S1- S11



Fig. S1 XPS spectra of Ir 4f for Ir WNWs.



Fig. S2 Size statistics of as-prepared Ir NWs at different growth stages: 5 min (a), 1 h (b), 3 h (c) and 6 h (d).



Fig. S3 XRD patterns of as-synthesized Ir WNWs at different growth stages.



Fig. S4 XPS spectra of Ir 4f for the as-prepared Ir WNWs, shorted Ir NWs, and Ir NPs.



Fig. S5 TEM images of Ir WNWs obtained with different amounts of CTAB: a) 0 g, b) 0.5 g, c) 1 g and d) 3 g.



Fig. S6 Charging currents measured in the non-faradaic potential of 0.35 V-0.45 V (vs. RHE) at different scan rates (0.01, 0.02, 0.03, 0.04 and 0.05 V/s) for Ir WNWs (a) and Ir NPs (b). (c) Charging currents measured at 0.40 V vs. RHE plotted as a function of scan rate. The double layer capacitance of the system is taken from the slopes of the linear fit to the data.



Fig. S7 (a) Cyclic voltammograms of Ir WNWs, Ir NPs, and the commercial Pt/C in N_2 -saturated 0.1 M HClO4 solution at 50 mV s⁻¹. (b) The corresponding ECSAs of Ir WNWs, Ir NPs, and the commercial Pt/C.



Fig. S8 XPS spectra of Ir 4f for Ir WNWs after OER in acidic condition.



Fig. S9 TEM image of Ir WNWs after the stability test.



Fig. S10 (a) The HER polarization curves of Ir WNWs at different growth stages in 0.5 M HClO_4 solution; (b) The corresponding overpotentials at 10 mA cm⁻² for Ir WNWs at different growth stages.



Fig. S11 (a) The OER polarization curves of Ir WNWs at different growth stages in 0.5 M HClO_4 solution; (b) The corresponding overpotentials at 10 mA cm⁻² for Ir WNWs at different growth stages.

Catalysts	Electrolyte	Current density	η /mV	Reference
Ir WNWs	0.5 M HClO ₄	10 mA cm ⁻²	15	This work
		20 mA cm ⁻²	19	
	0.1 M HClO ₄	10 mA cm ⁻²	11	
		20 mA cm ⁻²	14	
IrNi NCs	0.1 M HClO ₄	20 mA cm ⁻²	21	1
Ru@C ₂ N	0.5 M H ₂ SO ₄	10 mA cm ⁻²	22	2
		20 mA cm ⁻²	35	
Ru/C ₃ N ₄ /C	$0.5 \text{ M H}_2 \text{SO}_4$	10 mA cm ⁻²	70	3
Rh ₂ P/C	0.5 M H ₂ SO ₄	5 mA cm ⁻²	5.4	4
Rh/Si	0.5 M H ₂ SO ₄	50 mA cm ⁻²	110	5
Rh-MoS ₂	0.5 M H ₂ SO ₄	10 mA cm ⁻²	47	6
Pt ₃ Ni ₃ NWs	0.5 M H ₂ SO ₄	10 mA cm ⁻²	30	7
	0.05 M H ₂ SO ₄	5 mA cm ⁻²	60	

 Table S1 Comparison of HER activity for different electrocatalysts in acidic
 electrolytes.

Catalysts	Electrolyte	Current density	η /mV	Reference	
	0.5 M HClO ₄	10 mA cm ⁻²	270		
Ir wnws	0.1 M HClO ₄	10 mA cm ⁻²	280	I his work	
3D Ir	0.5 M HClO ₄	10 mA cm ⁻²	303	8	
Ir-Ni NPs	0.05 M H ₂ SO ₄	5 mA cm ⁻²	348	9	
IrNi NCs	0.1 M HClO ₄	10 mA cm ⁻²	280	- 1	
IIIIIIIC3		1500 A g _{Ir} ⁻¹	290		
Ir-Ni oxide	0.1 M HClO ₄	10 mA cm ⁻²	310	10	
Co-IrCu ONC/C	0.1 M HClO ₄	10 mA cm ⁻²	293	11	
IrNiCu DNE/C	0.1 M HClO ₄	10 mA cm ⁻²	300	12	
Invieu Divi/e		460 A g Ir ⁻¹	300		
IrNiOx/ATO	0.05 M H ₂ SO ₄	10 mA cm ⁻²	331	- 13	
		$300 \text{ A g} \text{ Ir}^{-1}$	300		
IrOx-Ir	0.5 M H ₂ SO ₄	10 mA cm ⁻²	295	- 14	
		$80 \text{ A g}_{\text{Ir}}^{-1}$	300		
IrOx/ATO	0.05 M H ₂ SO ₄	10 mA cm ⁻²	360	15	
IrO _x /SrIrO ₃	0.5 M H ₂ SO ₄	10 mA cm ⁻²	275	16	
Ir	1 M H ₂ SO ₄	10 mA cm ⁻²	360	17	
Ru	1 M H ₂ SO ₄	10 mA cm ⁻²	340	17	

 Table S2 Comparison of OER activity for different electrocatalysts in acidic
 electrolytes.

References

- [1] Y. Pi, Q. Shao, P. Wang, J. Guo and X. Huang, Adv. Funct. Mater., 2017, 27, 1700886.
- J. Mahmood, F. Li, S.-M. Jung, M. S. Okyay, I. Ahmad, S.-J. Kim and N. Park, H.
 Y. Jeong, J.-B. Baek, *Nat. Nanotechnol.*, 2017, 12, 441.
- [3] Y. Zheng, Y. Jiao, Y. Zhu, L. H. Li, Y. Han, Y. Chen, M. Jaroniec and S.-Z. Qiao, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2016, 138, 16174.
- [4] H. Duan, D. Li, Y. Tang, Y. He, J. fang, R. Wang, H. Lv, P. P. Lopes, A. P. Paulikas, H. Li, S. X. Mao, C. Wang, N. M. Markovic, J. Li, V. R. Stamenkovic and Y. Li, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2017, **139**, 5494.
- [5] L. Zhu, H. Lin, Y. Li, F. Liao, Y. Lifshitz, M. Sheng, S.-T. Lee and M. Shao, *Nat. Commun.*, 2016, 7, 12272.
- [6] Y. Cheng, S. Lu, F. Liao, L. Liu, Y. Li and M. Shao, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2017, 27, 1700359.
- [7] P. Wang, K. Jiang, G. Wang, J. Yao and X. Huang, *Angew. Chem.*, 2016, 128,13051.
- [8] Y. Pi, N. Zhang, S. Guo, J. Guo and X. Huang, Nano Lett., 2016, 16, 4424.
- [9] J. Lim, S. Yang, C. Kim, C.-W. Roh, Y. Kwon, Y.-T. Kimb and H. Lee, *Chem. Commun.*, 2016, **52**, 5641.
- [10] T. Reier, Z. Pawolek, S. Cherevko, M. Bruns, T. Jones, D. Teschner, S. Selve, A. Bergmann, H. N. Nong, R. Schlögl, K. J. J. Mayrhofer and P. Strasser, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2015, 137, 13031.

- [11] T. Kwon, H. Hwang, Y. J. Sa, J. Park, H. Baik, S. H. Joo and K. Lee, Adv. Funct. Mater., 2017, 27, 1604688.
- [12] J. Park, Y. J. Sa, H. Baik, T. Kwon, S. H. Joo and K. Lee, ACS Nano, 2017, 11, 5500.
- [13]H. N. Nong, H.-S. Oh, T. Reier, E. Willinger, M.-G. Willinger, V. Petkov, D. Teschner and P. Strasser, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2015, 54, 2975.
- [14] P. Lettenmeier, L. Wang, U. Golla-Schindler, P. Gazdzicki, N. A. Cañas, M. Handl, R. Hiesgen, S. S. Hosseiny, A. S. Gago and K. A. Friedrich, *Angew. Chem.*, 2016, **128**, 752.
- [15]H.-S. Oh, H. N. Nong, T. Reier, A. Bergmann, M. Gliech, J. F. de Araújo, E. Willinger, R. Schlögl, D. Teschner and P. Strasser, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2016, 138, 12552.
- [16]L. C. Seitz, C. F. Dickens, K. Nishio, Y. Hikita, J. Montoya, A. Doyle, C. Kirk, A. Vojvodic, H. Y. Hwang, J. K. Norskov and T. F. Jaramillo, *Science*, 2016, 353, 1011.
- [17]C. C. L. McCrory, S. Jung, I. M. Ferrer, S. M. Chatman, J. C. Peters and T. F. Jaramillo, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2015, 137, 4347.