## Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI)

## Highly Selective Recognition of Al<sup>3+</sup> and I<sup>-</sup> ions using a Bi-functional

## Fluorescent Probe

Zhao Li,‡<sup>a</sup> Jiang-Lin Zhao,‡<sup>b</sup> Yu-Tian Wu,<sup>a</sup> Lan Mu,<sup>a</sup> Xi Zeng,\*<sup>a</sup> Zongwen Jin,\*<sup>b</sup> Gang Wei,\*<sup>c</sup> Ning Xie<sup>e</sup> and Carl Redshaw<sup>d</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Key Laboratory of Macrocyclic and Supramolecular Chemistry of Guizhou Province, Guizhou University, Guiyang 550025, P.R. China

<sup>b</sup> Shenzhen Institutes of Advanced Technology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, 1068 Xueyuan Avenue, Shenzhen 518055, China.

<sup>c</sup> CSIRO Manufacturing, PO Box 218, NSW 2070, Australia

<sup>d</sup> Department of Chemistry, School of Mathematics & Physical Sciences, University of Hull, Hull HU6 7RX, U.K.

<sup>e</sup> College of Life Science, Shenzhen Key Laboratory of Microbial Genetic Engineering, Shenzhen University, Shenzhen 518060, China

<sup>‡</sup> Zhao Li and Jiang-Lin Zhao contributed equally to this work.



Figure S1. <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of intermediate 1 (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 293 K).



Figure S2. <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum of intermediate 1 (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 293 K).



Figure S3. <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of probe L1 (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 293 K).



Figure S4. <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum of probe L1 (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 293 K).



Figure S5. MALDI-TOF-MS spectrum of probe L1.



Figure S6. FT-IR spectrum of probe L1.



**Figure S7.** (a) Fluorescence spectra of probe L1; (b) Absorption spectra of probe L1 (10  $\mu$ M, H<sub>2</sub>O/CH<sub>3</sub>CN, 2/98, v/v, pH 7).



**Figure S8.** Spectral overlap between the energy donor naphthaline emission (black) and acceptor rhodamine absorption (red).



**Figure S9.** The plot of fluorescence intensity of probe L1 as a function of  $Al^{3+}$  concentration (a) and the Job's plot data (b).



**Figure S10.** Fluorescence response of probe L1 (10  $\mu$ M, H<sub>2</sub>O/CH<sub>3</sub>CN, 2/98, v/v, pH 7). Black bars: emission intensity of probe L1 at 585 nm with the addition of the respective metal ions (20 equiv.). Red bars: emission intensity of L1·2Al<sup>3+</sup> complex at 585 nm with the addition of the respective competing ions (20 equiv.). Metal ions including Al<sup>3+</sup>, Li<sup>+</sup>, Na<sup>+</sup>, K<sup>+</sup>, Mg<sup>2+</sup>, Ca<sup>2+</sup>, Ba<sup>2+</sup>, Sr<sup>2+</sup>, Hg<sup>2+</sup>, Pb<sup>2+</sup>, Cd<sup>2+</sup>, Zn<sup>2+</sup>, Co<sup>2+</sup>, Ni<sup>2+</sup>, Cu<sup>2+</sup>, Ag<sup>+</sup> and Fe<sup>3+</sup>,  $\lambda_{ex} = 240$  nm.



**Figure S11.** Non-linear plot of probe L1 (50  $\mu$ M) assuming a 1:2 stoichiometry for association between probe L1 and Al<sup>3+</sup> in CH<sub>3</sub>CN/H<sub>2</sub>O (v/v, 98/2, pH 7) solution by fluorescence spectroscopy;  $\lambda_{ex}/\lambda_{em} = 240 \text{ nm}/585 \text{ nm.}^1$ 

The fluorescence spectrum association constants of Al<sup>3+</sup> were calculated by nonlinear fitting using the following formula [Eq. (1)]:

$$\Delta F_{A1} = \frac{\kappa_{\Delta HG}[H_0] + \kappa_{\Delta HG_2}[H_0]K_1K_2[G]^2}{1 + K_1[G] + K_1K_2[G]^2}$$
(1)

where  $\Delta F_{Al}$  is the change in the fluorescence intensity of the L1 upon gradual addition

of the Al<sup>3+</sup>,  $\kappa_{\Delta HG}$  refers to the different proportionality constant of the complex HG and the free host, and  $\kappa_{\Delta HG_2}$  refers to the different proportionality constant of the complex HG<sub>2</sub> and the free host. The total concentrations of host and guest are denoted by [H] and [G], respectively.

Equation(1) is noting also the relevant mass balance eqn (2), molar concentration of the 1 : 1 complex are denoted by [HG], [HG2] refers to 1 : 2 complex<sup>1</sup>. [H0] = [H] + [HG] + [HG2] (2)

R = 0.9898,  $K_1 = 777.45 (\pm 0.391) M^{-1}$ ,  $K_2 = 3.099 \times 10^5 (\pm 0.391) M^{-2}$ .

Reference:



**Figure S12.** Fluorescence intensity calibration curve of probe L1 (50  $\mu$ M) as a function of Al<sup>3+</sup> concentration in CH<sub>3</sub>CN/H<sub>2</sub>O (v/v, 98/2, pH 7) solution;  $\lambda_{ex}/\lambda_{em} = 240 \text{ nm}/585 \text{ nm}$ . Y = 428.8 X - 177.8, R = 0.9935, LOD = 0.062  $\mu$ M.



**Figure S13.** (a)Absorption spectral changes of probe L1 (pH 7, 50  $\mu$ M, H<sub>2</sub>O/CH<sub>3</sub>CN, 2/98, v/v) solution upon addition of Al<sup>3+</sup> (0 ~ 30  $\mu$ M); (b) the plot of absorbance at 558nm of probe L1 as a function of Al<sup>3+</sup> concentration and (c) the Job's plot data.



Figure S14. MALDI-TOF-MS spectrum of L1·2Al complex.



**Figure S15.** Non-linear plot of probe L1 (50  $\mu$ M) assuming a 1:2 stoichiometry for association between probe L1 and Al<sup>3+</sup> in CH<sub>3</sub>CN/H<sub>2</sub>O (v/v, 98/2, pH 7) solution by absorption spectroscopy.  $\lambda_{max} = 558 \text{ nm.}^1$ 

The UV spectrum association constants of Al<sup>3+</sup> were calculated by nonlinear fitting using the following formula [Eq. (3)]:

$$\Delta A_{A1} = \frac{\varepsilon_{\Delta HG}[H_0]K_1[G] + \varepsilon_{\Delta HG_2}[H_0]K_1K_2[G]^2}{1 + K_1[G] + K_1K_2[G]^2}$$
(3)

where  $\Delta A_{A1}$  is the change in the UV absorption intensity of the L1 upon gradual addition of the Al<sup>3+</sup>, and  $\epsilon_{\Delta HG}$  refers to the different molar absorptivity of the free host and the complex of one host and one guest,  $\epsilon_{\Delta HG_2}$  refers to the different molar absorptivity of the free host and the complex of one host and two guests. The molar concentrations of the free guest are denoted by [H]and [G], respectively.

Equation(3) is noting also the relevant mass balance eqn (2), molar concentration of the 1 : 1 complex are denoted by [HG], [HG2] refers to 1 : 2 complex<sup>1</sup>.

$$[H0] = [H] + [HG] + [HG2]$$
(2)

$$R = 0.9842, K_1 = 1225.8 (\pm 0.312) M^{-1}, K_2 = 2.654 \times 10^5 (\pm 0.312) M^{-2}.$$

Reference:



Figure S16. Absorbance calibration curve of probe L1 (50  $\mu$ M) at 558 nm as a function of Al<sup>3+</sup> concentration in CH<sub>3</sub>CN/H<sub>2</sub>O (v/v, 98/2, pH 7) solution. Y = 1.184 X - 0.3483, R = 0.9055, LOD = 5.8  $\mu$ M.



Figure S17. Absorption spectral changes of probe L1 (10  $\mu$ M, H<sub>2</sub>O/1,4-dioxane, 1/99, v/v) solution upon addition of I<sup>-</sup> (0 ~ 50 equiv.)



**Figure S19.** Fluorescence spectral changes of probe L1 (10  $\mu$ M, 1,4-dioxane/H<sub>2</sub>O, v/v, 99/1) solution upon addition of I<sup>-</sup> (0 ~ 500  $\mu$ M);  $\lambda_{ex}/\lambda_{em} = 315$  nm/415 nm.





**Figure S21.** (a) Absorption response of probe L1 (10  $\mu$ M, 1,4-dioxane/H<sub>2</sub>O, v/v, 99/1). Black bars: the absorbance of probe L1 at 360 nm with the addition of the respective anions (50 eq.). White bars: the absorbance of probe L1 at 360 nm with the addition of the respective competing anions (50 eq.) and I<sup>-</sup> (50 eq.); (b) Fluorescence response of probe L1 (10  $\mu$ M, 1,4-dioxane/H<sub>2</sub>O, v/v, 99/1). Black bars: emission intensity of probe L1 at 415 nm with the addition of the respective anios (50 eq.). White bars: emission intensity of probe L1 at 415 nm with the addition of the respective anios (50 eq.). White bars: emission intensity of probe L1 at 415 nm with the addition of the respective anios (50 eq.). White bars: emission intensity of probe L1 at 415 nm with the addition of the respective competing anions (50 eq.) and I<sup>-</sup> (50 eq.) and I<sup>-</sup> (50 eq.). Anions including I<sup>-</sup>, F<sup>-</sup>, Cl<sup>-</sup>, Br<sup>-</sup>, NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>, H<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub><sup>-</sup>, HSO<sub>4</sub><sup>-</sup>, ClO<sub>4</sub><sup>-</sup>, A<sub>c</sub>O<sup>-</sup> and PF<sub>6</sub><sup>-</sup>.  $\lambda_{ex} = 315$  nm



Figure S22. Absorbance calibration curve of probe L1 (10  $\mu$ M) as a function of I<sup>-</sup> concentration in 1,4-dioxane/H<sub>2</sub>O (v/v, 99/1) solution;  $\lambda_{max} = 360$  nm. Y = 0.00847 X - 0.00598, R = 0.9578, LOD = 0.42  $\mu$ M.



**Figure S23.** None-linear plot of probe L1 (of probe L1(10  $\mu$ M) assuming a 1:1 stoichiometry for association between probe L1 and I<sup>-</sup> in 1,4-dioxane/H<sub>2</sub>O (v/v, 99/1) solution by absorption spectroscopy.  $\lambda_{max} = 360$  nm.

The UV spectrum association constants of I<sup>-</sup> were calculated by nonlinear fitting using the following formula [Eq. (4)]:

$$\Delta F = \frac{\Delta \beta ([H]_0 + [G]_0 + \frac{1}{K_a}) \pm \sqrt{\Delta \beta^2 ([H]_0 + [G]_0 + \frac{1}{K_a})^2 - 4\Delta \beta^2 [H]_0 [G]_0}}{2}$$
(4)

where  $\Delta I$  is the change in the fluorescence intensity of the L1 upon gradual addition of the I<sup>-</sup>, and  $\Delta\beta$  refers to the different molar absorptivity of the free host and the interaction complex. The total concentrations of host and guest are denoted by [H]<sub>0</sub> and [G]<sub>0</sub>, respectively<sup>1</sup>.

 $R = 0.9975, K = 2.08 \times 10^5 (\pm 0.005) M^{-1}$ 

Reference:



**Figure S24.** Fluorescence intensity calibration curve of probe L1 (10  $\mu$ M) as a function of I<sup>-</sup> concentration in 1,4-dioxane/H<sub>2</sub>O (v/v, 99/1) solution;  $\lambda_{ex}/\lambda_{em} = 315$  nm/415 nm.

Y = -1.793 X + 172.1, R = 0.9953,  $LOD = 0.092 \mu M$ .



**Figure S25.** None-linear plot of probeL1 (10  $\mu$ M) assuming a 1:1 stoichiometry for association between probe L1 and I<sup>-</sup> in 1,4-dioxane/H<sub>2</sub>O (v/v, 99/1) solution by fluorescence spectroscopy;  $\lambda_{ex}/\lambda_{em} = 315$  nm/415 nm.

The fluorescence spectrum association constants of I<sup>-</sup> were calculated by nonlinear fitting using the following formula [Eq. (5)]:

$$\Delta I = \frac{\Delta a([\mathrm{H}]_0 + [\mathrm{G}]_0 + \frac{1}{K_a}) \pm \sqrt{\Delta a^2([\mathrm{H}]_0 + [\mathrm{G}]_0 + \frac{1}{K_a})^2 - 4\Delta a^2[\mathrm{H}]_0[\mathrm{G}]_0}}{2}$$
(5)

where  $\Delta I$  is the change in the fluorescence intensity of the L1 upon gradual addition of the I<sup>-</sup>, and  $\Delta \alpha$  refers to the different constant of the free host and the interaction complex. The total concentrations of host and guest are denoted by [H]<sub>0</sub> and [G]<sub>0</sub>, respectively<sup>1</sup>.

 $R = 0.9910, K = 1.04 \times 10^4 (\pm 0.033) M^{-1}$ 

	Our work	Ref. [2]	Ref. [3]	Ref. [4]	Ref. [5]
Detection ions	Al <sup>3+</sup> , I <sup>-</sup>	Al <sup>3+</sup>	Al <sup>3+</sup>	Al <sup>3+</sup>	Al <sup>3+</sup>
Detection	Al <sup>3+</sup> : CH <sub>3</sub> CN/H <sub>2</sub> O	C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>5</sub> OH/H <sub>2</sub> O	CH <sub>3</sub> OH/H <sub>2</sub> O	C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>5</sub> OH/	C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>5</sub> OH
solvent (v/v)	(98/2)	(9/1)	(1/1)	H <sub>2</sub> O(95/5)	
	I-: 1,4-				
	dioxane/H <sub>2</sub> O				
$\mathbf{V}_{i=1}^{i=1}$	(99/1)	95.2	70.2	NTA	02.1
Y leid (%)	/0.3 A 1 <sup>3+</sup> 0.20	85.2 NA	/0.2	NA 0.102	93.1 NA
vield	AF 0.39	NA	0.070	0.102	MA
Detection	Al <sup>3+</sup> 0.073	1.04	0.39	NA	0.2
limit (µM)	I <sup>-</sup> 0.46				
Detection	Al <sup>3+</sup> : Ratiometric	Ratiometric	Single	Single	Single
Method	fluorescence	fluorescence	wavelength	wavelength	wavelength
	Stoke's shift (345	Stoke's shift (143	Stoke's shift	Stoke's	Stoke's shift
	nm);	nm)	(72 nm);	shift (65	(57 nm);
	FRET		ESIPT	nm);	PET
	I: Fluorescence			PET	
	quenching				
	PET				
Application	PC3 Cells	Test strips	HeLa cells	NA	NA
	Ref. [6]	Ref.[7]	Ref.[8]		
Detection ions	Al <sup>3+</sup> , F <sup>-</sup>	Al <sup>3+</sup>	Al <sup>3+</sup>		
Detection	DMSO	CH <sub>3</sub> CN	C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>5</sub> OH		
solvent (v/v)					
Yield (%)	85.0	86.1	53		
Quantum	Al <sup>3+</sup> : 0.0198	NA	NA		
yield	F-: 0.0182				
Detection	Al <sup>3+</sup> : 0.41	0.42	0.22		
limit(µM)	F <sup>-</sup> : 14.36				
Detection	Single wavelength	Ratiometric	Single		
Method	Al <sup>3+</sup> : ICT	fluorescence	wavelength;		
	F: hydrogen	Stoke's shift (/5	PEI		
	oonaea	ши), ІСТ			
Application	HeLa cells	HeLa cells	NA		
approation		110120 00115	1 1/2 1		

Table S1.	Comparison	of probe ]	L1 and other	probes in	literature
-----------	------------	------------	--------------	-----------	------------

[2] Y. Zhang , Y. Fang , N. Z. Xu, M. Q. Zhang, G. Z. Wu and C. Yao, *Chinese Chemical Letters.*, 2016, 27, 1673–1678.

- [3] D. Sarkar, A. Pramanik, S. Biswas, P. Karmakar and T. K. Mondal, *RSC Adv.*, 2014, 4, 30666-30672.
- [4] S. Samanta, B. Nath and J. B. Baruah, Inorg. Chem. Commun., 2012, 22, 98-100.
- [5] J. C. Qin, X. Y. Cheng, K. C. Yu, R. Fang, M. F. Wang and Z. Y. Yang 2015, 7, 6799-6803.
- [6] H. Y. Jeong, S. Y. Lee, J. Han, M. H. Lim and C. Kim, *Tetrahedron.*, 2017, 73, 2690-2697.
- [7] R. Patil, A. Moirangthem, R. Butcher, N. Singh, A. Basu, K. Tayade, U. Fegade, D.
- Hundiwale and A. Kuwar, Dalton Trans., 2014, 43, 2895-2899.
- [8] J. W. Jeong, B. A. Rao and Y. A. Son, Sensor. Actuators B: Chem., 2015, 208, 75-84.