Electronic Supplementary Material (ESI) for Polymer Chemistry. This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2017

# **Supporting Information**

A New Echelon of Precision Polypentenamers: Highly Isotactic Branching on Every Five Carbons Stefan Brits, William J. Neary, Goutam Palui, & Justin G. Kennemur

Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, Florida State University, Tallahassee, FL

32306, USA

## Table of Contents:

Synthesis of Mosher acid and DACH-Phenyl Trost Ligand	S3
Figure SI-1. <sup>1</sup> H NMR spectra of <i>rac</i> -1	S4
Figure SI-2. <sup>13</sup> C NMR spectra of <i>rac</i> -1	S5
Figure SI-3. <sup>1</sup> H- <sup>1</sup> H COSY spectra of <i>rac</i> -1	S6
Figure SI-4. GC-EI/MS of rac-1	S7
Figure SI-5. <sup>1</sup> H NMR spectra of <i>rac-3</i>	<b>S</b> 8
Figure SI-6. <sup>13</sup> C NMR spectra of <i>rac</i> -3	S9
Figure SI-7. <sup>1</sup> H- <sup>1</sup> H COSY spectra of <i>rac</i> -3	S10
Figure SI-8. GC-EI/MS of rac-3	S11
Figure SI-9. <sup>1</sup> H NMR spectra of <i>rac</i> -2	S12
Figure SI-10. <sup>13</sup> C NMR spectra of <i>rac</i> -2	S13
Figure SI-11. <sup>1</sup> H- <sup>1</sup> H COSY spectra of <i>rac</i> -2	S14
Figure SI-12. GC-EI/MS of rac-2	S15
Figure SI-13. <sup>1</sup> H NMR spectra of <i>rac</i> -4	S16
Figure SI-14. <sup>13</sup> C NMR spectra of <i>rac</i> -4	S17
Figure SI-15. <sup>1</sup> H- <sup>1</sup> H COSY spectra of <i>rac</i> -4	S18
Figure SI-16. GC-EI/MS of rac-4	S19
Figure SI-17. <sup>1</sup> H NMR spectra of (S)-1	S20
Figure SI-18. <sup>13</sup> C NMR spectra of (S)-1	S21
Figure SI-19. GC-EI/MS of (S)-1	S22
Figure SI-20. <sup>1</sup> H NMR spectra of (S)-2-MTPACP ester	S23
Figure SI-21. <sup>1</sup> H- <sup>1</sup> H COSY spectra of (S)-2-MTPACP ester	S24

Figure SI-22. <sup>1</sup> H NMR spectra of (S)-2-MTPACP ester for % enantiomeric excess	S25
Figure SI-23. <sup>19</sup> F NMR of (S)-2-MTPACP ester for % enantiomeric excess	S26
<b>Figure SI-24.</b> <sup>1</sup> H NMR of ( <i>S</i> )-3	S27
<b>Figure SI-25.</b> <sup>13</sup> C NMR of ( <i>S</i> )-3	S28
Figure SI-26. GC-EI/MS of (S)-3	S29
Figure SI-27. <sup>1</sup> H NMR spectra of (R, R)-DACH Phenyl Trost ligand	
Figure SI-28. <sup>13</sup> C NMR spectra of (R, R)-DACH Phenyl Trost ligand	
Figure SI-29. <sup>31</sup> P NMR spectra of (R, R)-DACH Phenyl Trost ligand	S32
Figure SI-30. <sup>1</sup> H NMR spectra of Poly[(S)-3]	S33
Figure SI-31. <sup>13</sup> C NMR spectra of Poly[(S)-3]	S34
Figure SI-32. <sup>1</sup> H- <sup>1</sup> H COSY spectra of Poly[(S)-3]	S35
Figure SI-33. <sup>1</sup> H-NMR of Poly(S)-3 determination %HT and % trans.	S36
Figure SI-34. SEC RI trace of Poly(S)-3.	S37
Figure SI-35. <sup>1</sup> H NMR of spectra of Poly(rac-3)	S38
Figure SI-36. <sup>13</sup> C NMR of spectra of Poly(rac-3)	S39
Figure SI-37. <sup>1</sup> H- <sup>1</sup> H COSY of spectra of Poly(rac-3)	S40
Figure SI-38. <sup>1</sup> H-NMR of Poly( <i>rac</i> -3) determination %HT and % trans.	
Figure SI-39. SEC RI trace of Poly( <i>rac</i> -3).	S42
Figure SI-40. <sup>1</sup> H NMR spectra of Poly(rac-2)	S43
Figure SI-41. <sup>13</sup> C NMR spectra of Poly(rac-2)	S44
Figure SI-42. <sup>1</sup> H- <sup>1</sup> H COSY spectra of Poly(rac-2)	S45
Figure SI-43. <sup>1</sup> H-NMR of Poly( <i>rac-2</i> ) determination %HT and % trans.	S46
Figure SI-44. SEC RI trace of Poly( <i>rac</i> -2).	S47
Figure SI-45. <sup>1</sup> H NMR spectra of Poly(rac-1)	S48
Figure SI-46. <sup>13</sup> C NMR spectra of Poly(rac-1)	S49
Figure SI-47. <sup>1</sup> H- <sup>1</sup> H COSY spectra of Poly(rac-1)	S50
Figure SI-48. <sup>1</sup> H-NMR of Poly( <i>rac-2</i> ) determination %HT and % trans.	S51
Table SI-49. Equilibrium conversion of Poly(rac-3)	S52
Figure SI-50. Conversion vs. time of Poly(rac-3)	S53
Figure SI-51. TGA curve of Poly( <i>rac</i> -1), poly( <i>rac</i> -2), and poly(rac-3)	S54
Figure SI-52. DSC overlay of all polymer samples	S55

#### Mosher acid synthesis for the determination of % enantiomeric excess:<sup>1</sup>

To a flame dried 4mL vial equipped with a stir bar, 7.1 mg (84.4  $\mu$ mol, 1 eq.) of (S)-1 and 1.00 mL of DCM that was previously run through a plug of silica gel were added. To a separate dry vial, 0.0613 g (261.6  $\mu$ mol, 3.1 eq.) of (R)-(+)- $\alpha$ -methoxy- $\alpha$ -trifluoromethylphenylacetic was added and dissolved in 0.32 mL of DCM. This solution was then added to (S)-1. To the solution, 0.0545g (261.4  $\mu$ mol, 3.1 eq.) of DCC and 0.0323g (261.4  $\mu$ mol, 3.1 eq.) of DMAP were added and capped. The mixture was allowed to stir for 3 hours. At this time, the mixture was run through a cotton plug and concentrated. The mixture was purified with a pipet column in 4:1 hexanes:EtOAc. The product was then concentrated and placed on the high-vacuum for 30 minutes. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  (ppm): 7.51 (m, 2H), 7.40 (m, 3H), 6.15 (ddd, J = 5.7, 2.6, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 5.92 (m, 1H), 5.86 (m, 1H), 3.55 (s, 1H), 2.50 (dtdd, J = 15.8, 8.9, 4.2, 2.4 Hz, 1H), 2.37 (m, 2H), 1.95 (m, 1H)

### (R, R)-DACH Phenyl Trost ligand:<sup>2,3</sup>

The Trost ligand was synthesized following previous literature<sup>1</sup> with the following modifications. The brown solid was purified with 97.5:2.5 DCM:MeOH via column chromatography and recrystallized twice from MeCN to yield a white solid. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  (ppm): 7.60 – 7.55 (m, 2H), 7.35 – 7.17 (m, 24H), 6.94 – 6.88 (m, 2H), 6.32 (d, J = 6.9 Hz, 2H), 3.82 – 3.73 (m, 2H), 1.90 – 1.82 (m, 2H), 1.69 – 1.61 (m, 2H), 1.28 – 1.15 (m, 2H), 0.98 (td, J = 15.1, 13.8, 6.2 Hz, 2H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  (ppm): 169.29, 140.88, 140.72, 137.84, 137.76, 137.70, 136.73, 136.58, 134.27, 133.95, 133.82, 130.16, 128.75, 128.60, 128.54, 128.51, 128.49, 128.45, 128.40, 53.89, 32.00, 24.66. <sup>31</sup>P NMR (243 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  -9.78.



Figure S1. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectrum of *rac*-1, (in \*CDCl<sub>3</sub> 400 MHz)



Figure S2. <sup>13</sup>C-NMR spectrum of *rac*-1, (in \*CDCl<sub>3</sub> 150 MHz)



**Figure S3.** <sup>1</sup>H-<sup>1</sup>H COSY spectrum of *rac*-1, (in CDCl<sub>3</sub> 600 MHz)



**Figure S4.** The mass spectrum at RT 4.08-4.12 min for *rac*-1. The molecular peak is observed at m/z 84.07.



**Figure S5.** <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectrum of *rac*-3, (in \*CDCl<sub>3</sub> 500 MHz).



Figure S6. <sup>13</sup>C-NMR spectrum of *rac*-3, (in \*CDCl<sub>3</sub> 150 MHz)



**Figure S7.** <sup>1</sup>H-<sup>1</sup>H COSY spectrum of *rac*-3, (in CDCl<sub>3</sub> 500 MHz).



Figure S8: The mass spectrum at RT 8.27-8.32 min for the sample *rac*-3 The molecular peak is observed at m/z 198.15.



Figure S9. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectrum of *rac*-2, (in \*CDCl<sub>3</sub> 400 MHz)



Figure S10. <sup>13</sup>C-NMR spectrum of *rac*-2, (in \*CDCl<sub>3</sub> 150 MHz)



Figure S11. <sup>1</sup>H-<sup>1</sup>H COSY spectrum of *rac*-2, (in CDCl<sub>3</sub> 600 MHz)



**Figure S12.** The mass spectrum at RT 5.60-5.65 min for the sample *rac*-2. The molecular peak is observed at m/z 156.10.



Figure S13. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectrum of *rac*-4, (in \*CDCl<sub>3</sub> 400 MHz)



Figure S14. <sup>13</sup>C-NMR spectrum of *rac*-4, (in \*CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 150 MHz)



**Figure S15.** <sup>1</sup>H-<sup>1</sup>H COSY spectrum of *rac*-4, (in CDCl<sub>3</sub> 600 MHz)



**Figure S16:** The mass spectrum at RT 5.74-5.81 min of *rac*-4. The molecular peak is observed at m/z 126.04.



Figure S 17. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectrum of (S)-1 (in \*CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz)



Figure S 18. <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum of (S)-1 (in CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 150 MHz)



**Figure S19:** The mass spectrum at RT 4.08-4.12 min for (*S*)-1. The molecular peak is observed at m/z 84.06.



Figure S 20. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectrum of (*S*)-2-MTPACP ester (in \*CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz).



Figure S21. <sup>1</sup>H-<sup>1</sup>H COSY spectrum of (S)-2-MTPACP ester, (in CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz,).





**Figure S 23**. <sup>19</sup>F NMR spectrum of (*S*)-2-MTPACP ester (in CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 376.5 MHz,). Deconvolution of the peaks were used to determine the integration of the two peaks.  $% ee = \frac{area \ major}{(area \ major + area \ min^{[m]})} * 100 = 90.4 \% \ ee$ 





**Figure S 25.** <sup>13</sup>C NMR of (*S*)-3 (in CDCl3, 100 MHz)



**Figure S 26:** The mass spectrum at RT 8.27-8.34 min of (*S*)-3. The molecular peak is observed at m/z 198.16.



Figure S 27. <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of Trost ligand (in \*CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 600 MHz) # DCM



Figure S 28. <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra of the TROST Ligand (in CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 150 MHz)



Figure S 29. <sup>31</sup>P NMR spectrum of Trost ligand (in CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 243 MHz)



Figure S 30. <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of Poly[(*S*)-3], (in \*CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz)



Figure S 31. <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum of Poly[(S)-3], (in \*CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 150 MHz)



Figure S 32. <sup>1</sup>H-<sup>1</sup>H COSY spectrum of Poly[(*S*)-3] (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 150 MHz)



**Figure S 33.** <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectrum of Poly[(*S*)-3] (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz). Deconvolution of the peaks were used to determine the %HT and % trans of the polymer.

$$\% HT = \frac{\text{area of } cis - HT + trans - HT}{\text{total area } cis - HT + trans - HH + trans - HT} * 100 = 91.4 \%.$$
  
$$\% \text{ trans} = \frac{\text{area of } trans - HH + trans - HT}{\text{total area } cis - HT + trans - HH + trans - HT} * 100 = 95.6 \%$$



**Figure S 34.** SEC RI trace of Poly(*S*)-3. (Đ – 2.81, Mn – 30.2 kDa)



MHz)



**Figure S 36.** <sup>13</sup>C NMR of spectrum of Poly(rac-3), (in \*CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 150 MHz)



Figure 37. <sup>1</sup>H-<sup>1</sup>H COSY of spectrum of Poly(*rac*-3), (in CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 500 MHz)



**Figure S 38.** <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectrum of Poly(*rac-3*) (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz). ,). Deconvolution of the peaks were used to determine the %HT and % trans of the polymer.

$$\% HT = \frac{\text{area of } cis - HT + trans - HT}{\text{total area } cis - HT + trans - HH + trans - HT} * 100} = 92.1 \%.$$
  
$$\% trans = \frac{\text{area of } trans - HH + trans - HT}{\text{total area } cis - HT + trans - HH + trans - HT} * 100} = 95.6 \%.$$



Figure S 39. SEC RI trace of Poly(*rac*-3). (Đ – 2.56, Mn – 22.3 kDa)



Figure S 40. <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of Poly(*rac*-2), (in \*CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 500 MHz)



Figure S 41. <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum of Poly(*rac-2*), (in \*CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 150 MHz)



Figure S 42. <sup>1</sup>H-<sup>1</sup>H COSY spectrum of Poly(*rac*-2), (in CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 500 MHz)



**Figure S 43.** <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectrum of Poly(*rac-2*) (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz). ,). Deconvolution of the peaks were used to determine the %HT and % trans of the polymer.

$$\% HT = \frac{\text{area of } cis - HT + trans - HT}{\text{total area } cis - HT + trans - HH + trans - HT} * 100 = 68.3 \%.$$
  
$$\% trans = \frac{\text{area of } trans - HH + trans - HT}{\text{total area } cis - HT + trans - HH + trans - HT} * 100 = 94.2 \%$$



**Figure S 44.** SEC RI trace of Poly(*rac*-2). (Đ – 2.07, Mn – 41.6 kDa)



Figure S 45. <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of Poly(*rac*-1), (\*DMF-*d*<sub>7</sub>, 600 MHz)



Figure S 46. <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum of Poly(*rac*-1), (\*DMF<sub>7</sub>, 150 MHz)



Figure S 47. <sup>1</sup>H-<sup>1</sup>H COSY spectra of Poly(*rac*-1) (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 600 MHz)



**Figure S 48.** <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectrum of Poly(*rac*-1) (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz). Deconvolution of the peaks were used to determine the %HT and % trans of the polymer.

$$\% HT = \frac{\text{area of } cis - HT + trans - HT}{\text{total area } cis - HT + trans - HH + trans - HT} * 100 = 57 \%.$$
  
$$\% trans = \frac{\text{area of } trans - HH + trans - HT}{\text{total area } cis - HT + trans - HH + trans - HT} * 100 = 91 \%.$$

Time (min)	conv <sup>a</sup> %
31.5	4.8
52.33	14.5
90	33.1
116	33.3
157	33.3

Table S49: Aliquot characterization of Poly(*rac*-3) at 2.5 M with HG2 at -10 °C.

<sup>a</sup> Determined by <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz in CDCl<sub>3</sub>).



**Figure S50.** Equilibrium conversion of Poly(*rac*-3) with respect to time.



**Figure S51**. TGA analysis of poly(*rac-1*), poly(*rac-2*) and poly(*rac-3*) after equilibration at 110 °C followed by heating at 10 °C min<sup>-1</sup> to 750 °C under argon.



**Figure S52.** Differential scanning calorimetry thermograms of each polymer sample. The thermal range chosen was based on the minimum temperature for the instrument (-70 °C) and the temperature at which thermal decomposition of the polymer is suspected ( $\sim 200$  °C) based on TGA. Jagged baseline noise is present above 100 °C on some samples and was determined to be an artifact of the instrument by repeated cycles where this noise was found inconsistent.

#### **References:**

- 1. T. R. Hoye, C. S. Jeffrey and F. Shao, *Nature Protocols*, 2007, **2**, 2451.
- 2. B. M. Trost, D. L. Van Vranken and C. Bingel, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1992, 114, 9327-9343.
- 3. S. Fuchs, V. Berl and J.-P. Lepoittevin, *European Journal of Organic Chemistry*, 2007, 2007, 1145-1152.