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Tables S1-S3. Specific amounts of monomers and deprotecting agents used for synthesis of antioxidant polymers of targeted compositions.

Table S1. $\mathrm{P} 2 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{Z}} \mathrm{Hex}$ series and PHex

| Targeted molar content, \% | Polymerization |  |  | Deprotection reaction |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  methacrylamide (1) |  | Yield (\%) | Polymer | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{BBr}_{3} \\ & \text { in } \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \end{aligned}$ |
| 0 | 1.94 g | - | 88 | - | - |
| 5 | 1.84 g | 0.135 g | 83 | 0.500 g | 0.300 mL |
| 10 | 1.75 g | 0.270 g | 83 | 0.509 g | 0.500 mL |
| 15 | 1.65 g | 0.405 g | 77 | 0.520 g | 0.700 mL |
| 100 | - | 2.70 g | 73 | 0.680 g | 4.50 mL |

Table S2. $\mathrm{PBrH}_{\mathrm{Z}} \mathrm{Hex}$ series

| Targeted molar content, \% | Polymerization |  |  | Deprotection reaction |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  methacrylamide (1) |  <br> N -(3,4-dimethoxy-5bromobenzyl) methacrylamide <br> (6) | Yield (\%) | Polymer | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{BBr}_{3} \\ & \text { in } \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \end{aligned}$ |
| 5 | 1.84 g | 0.180 g | 75 | 0.500 g | 0.300 mL |
| 10 | 1.75 g | 0.361 g | 73 | 0.532 g | 0.500 mL |
| 15 | 1.65 g | 0.541 g | 68 | 0.550 g | 0.700 mL |
| 100 | - | 3.60 g | 59 | 0.910 g | 4.50 mL |

Table S3. $\mathrm{P} 3 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{Z}} \mathrm{Hex}$ series

| Targeted molar content, \% | Polymerization |  |  | Deprotection reaction |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  methacrylamide (1) |  | Yield (\%) | Polymer | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{BBr}_{3} \\ & \text { in } \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \end{aligned}$ |
| 5 | 1.84 g | 0.152 g | 81 | 0.503 g | 0.400 mL |
| 10 | 1.75 g | 0.307 g | 79 | 0.517 g | 0.700 mL |
| 15 | 1.65 g | 0.457 g | 82 | 0.530 g | 1.00 mL |
| 100 | - | 3.04 g | 76 | 0.770 g | 6.50 mL |



Fig. S1. The number-average molecular weight $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{A})$ and molar mass dispersities (B) as a function of polymerization time during synthesis of $\mathrm{P} 2 \mathrm{M}_{10} \mathrm{Hex}, \mathrm{PBrM}_{10} \mathrm{Hex}$, or $\mathrm{P} 3 \mathrm{M}_{10} \mathrm{Hex}$ at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.


Fig. S2. GPC traces of $\mathrm{PHex}(\mathrm{A}), \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{M}_{10} \mathrm{Hex}(\mathrm{B}), \mathrm{PBrM}_{10} \mathrm{Hex}(\mathrm{C})$ for different polymerization times, as well as $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{D})$ and molar mass dispersities (E) as a function of monomer conversion during polymerization of PHex homopolymer and copolymers containing $10 \%$ of phenolic precursor groups at $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.


Fig. S3. FTIR spectra of protected and deprotected $\mathrm{P} 3 \mathrm{M}_{100}$ and $\mathrm{P} 3 \mathrm{H}_{100}$ homopolymers (A), $\mathrm{P} 3 \mathrm{M}_{15} \mathrm{Hex}$ and $\mathrm{P} 3 \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{Hex}$ copolymers $(\mathrm{B}$, top) and PHex control polymer ( B , bottom).


Fig. S4. FTIR spectra of protected and deprotected homopolymers: $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{M}_{100}$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{100}(\mathrm{~A})$, and $\mathrm{PBrM}_{100}$ and $\mathrm{PBrH}_{100}$ (B).


Fig. S5. FTIR spectra of the copolymers of $\mathrm{P} 3 \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{Z}} \mathrm{Hex}$ and $\mathrm{P} 3 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{Z}} \mathrm{Hex}$ series $(\mathrm{A}), \mathrm{P} 2 \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{Z}} \mathrm{Hex}$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{Z}} \mathrm{Hex}$ series (B), as well as $\mathrm{PBrM}_{\mathrm{Z}} \mathrm{Hex}$ and $\mathrm{PBrH}_{\mathrm{Z}} \mathrm{Hex}$ series (C).

TGA analysis. TGA data indicated the overall similar behavior of all polymers in the temperature range below $200^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, i.e. phenol-containing polymers showed an initial small loss of mass upon heating up to $\sim 100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and retained their mass when further heated to $200^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Specifically, for homopolymers, the mass loss due to dehydration was $\sim 2,5,7$ and $10 \%$ for $\mathrm{PHex}, \mathrm{PBrH}_{100}, \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{100}$, and $\mathrm{P} 3 \mathrm{H}_{100}$, respectively. Heating to temperatures significantly higher than $150{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ revealed dramatic differences in the thermal behavior of phenol-containing and phenol-free polymers, as shown in Fig. S6. In particular, phenol-free hexyl homopolymer demonstrated a single wide decomposition step with an onset temperature at $210^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, with the fastest decomposition between
$390^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $410^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. In contrast, all phenolic copolymers showed two-step decomposition profiles. The first step in the temperature range between 250 and $300{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, also seen for phenolic homopolymers, most likely corresponds to crosslinking/decomposition that involves polyphenolic rings. The mass loss of phenolic homopolymers lacked this high-temperature decomposition peak, but instead showed a continuous and gradual mass loss at temperatures between 200 and $500^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, leaving behind high percentage ( $42 \%-55 \%$ ) of solid residues after heating to $500^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.


Fig. S6. TGA analysis of $\mathrm{P} 2 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{Z}} \mathrm{Hex}(\mathrm{A})$ and $\mathrm{P} 3 \mathrm{H}_{Z} \mathrm{Hex}(\mathrm{B})$ polymer series.

