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## 1. Synthesis of $[K([2.2 .2] c r y p t)]_{3}\left[A u @ P b_{12}\right] \cdot 2 P y$

All manipulations and reactions were performed under a nitrogen atmosphere using standard Schlenk or glovebox techniques. Ethylenediamine (en) (Aldrich, 99\%) was freshly distilled by $\mathrm{CaH}_{2}$ prior to use. Toluene (tol) (Aldrich, 99.8\%) was distilled from sodium/benzophenone under dinitrogen and stored under dinitrogen. K (Aldrich, 99\%), Na (Aldrich, 99.7\%), Pb (Aldrich, 99.9\%), $\mathrm{CaH}_{2}$ (Aldrich, 99.99\%), and benzophenone (Aldrich, 99.5\%) were used as received. [2.2.2]crypt (4,7,13,16,21,24-Hexaoxa-1,10diazabicyclo[8.8.8]hexacosane, $\mathrm{TCl}, 98 \%$, ) were dried in a vacuum for $1 \mathrm{~d} . \mathrm{K}_{4} \mathrm{~Pb}_{9}$ and $\mathrm{Au}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right) \mathrm{Ph}$ were prepared according to literatures, respectively. ${ }^{[1-2]}$

Synthesis of $[K([2.2 .2] c r y p t)]_{3}\left[A u @ \mathrm{~Pb}_{12}\right] \cdot 2 \mathrm{Py}$ : In a 10 mL vial, $202 \mathrm{mg}(0.10 \mathrm{mmol})$ of $\mathrm{K}_{4} \mathrm{~Pb}_{9}$ and $100 \mathrm{mg}(0.27 \mathrm{mmol})$ of [2.2.2]crypt were dissolved in pyridine ( 2 mL ). In a second vial, 50 mg ( 0.09 mmol ) $\mathrm{Au}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right) \mathrm{Ph}$ was dissolved in 0.5 mL toluene. The toluene solution was added to ethylenediamine solution dropwise while stirring vigorously. After 4 h at room temperature, the resulting blcak solution was filtered through glass wool and transferred to a test tube, then carefully layered by toluene ( 3 mL ). After 3 days, dark red crystals of
$[\mathrm{K}([2.2 .2] \mathrm{crypt})]_{3}\left[\mathrm{Au@Pb} \mathrm{P}_{12}\right] \cdot 2 \mathrm{Py}(23 \%$ yield based on Au$)$ appeared on the interface of the tube wall.

## 2. X-ray crystal structure determinations

Crystallographic data were collected at 298 K on a Bruker Apex II CCD diffractometer with graphite-monochromated $\mathrm{Mo} \mathrm{K} \alpha$ radiation ( $\lambda=0.71073 \AA$ ) . Data processing was accomplished with the SAINT program. ${ }^{[3]}$ The structures were solved by direct methods and refined on $F^{2}$ by full-matrix least squares using SHELXTL4. The CCDC number of $[K([2.2 .2] c r y p t)]_{3}\left[A u @ \mathrm{~Pb}_{12}\right] \cdot 2 \mathrm{Py}$ is 1492938. These data can be obtained free of charge from The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre via www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data request/cif.


Figure S1. Unit cell of $[K([2.2 .2] c r y p t)]_{3}\left[A u @ \mathrm{~Pb}_{12}\right] \cdot 2 \mathrm{Py}$. Hydrogen atoms have been omitted for clarity.

Table S1. X-ray measurement and structure solutions of $[\mathrm{K}([2.2 .2] c r y p t)]_{3}\left[A u @ \mathrm{~Pb}_{12}\right] \cdot 2 \mathrm{Py}$.

| Compound | $\mathrm{C}_{64} \mathrm{H}_{118} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{36} \mathrm{~K}_{3} \mathrm{AuPb}_{12}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Formula weight | 4376.3155 |
| Crystal system | triclinic |
| Space group | $P-1$ |
| $a / \AA ̊$ | $14.4831(14)$ |
| $b / \AA ̊$ | 14.7999 |
| $c / \AA$ | $27.187(3)$ |
| $a /{ }^{\circ}$ | 95.424 |
| $\beta$ | $93.193(2)$ |
| $\gamma$ | $109.314(2)$ |
| $V$ | $5451.7(9)$ |
| $Z$ | 2 |
| $\rho_{\text {calc }} / \mathrm{g} \cdot \mathrm{cm}^{-3}$ | 2.479 |


| $\mu\left(\mathrm{Mo}_{\mathrm{K} \alpha}\right) / \mathrm{mm}^{-1}$ | 19.956 |
| :--- | :--- |
| $2 \Theta$ range $/{ }^{\circ}$ | $1.68-52.18$ |
| Reflections collected / unique | $56398 / 19229$ |
| Data / restraints / parameters | $19097 / 0 / 905$ |
| Final $R$ indices $(I>2 \sigma(I))^{a}$ | $R_{1}=0.10677 w R_{2}=0.1715$ |
| $R$ indices (all data) | $R_{1}=0.1447, w R_{2}=0.2043$ |
| GooF (all data) ${ }^{b}$ | 0.964 |
| Max. peak/hole $/ \mathrm{e}^{-} \cdot \AA^{-3}$ | $4.17 /-1.81$ |

${ }^{a} R_{1}=\sum| | \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{o}}\left|-\left|\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{c}}\right|\right| / \sum\left|\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{o}}\right| ; w R_{2}=\left\{\sum w\left[\left(\mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{o}}\right)^{2}-\left(\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{c}}\right)^{2}\right]^{2} / \sum w\left[\left(\mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{o}}\right)^{2}\right]^{2}\right\}^{1 / 2}$
${ }^{b}$ GooF $=\left\{\sum w\left[\left(F_{o}\right)^{2}-\left(F_{c}\right)^{2}\right]^{2} /(n-p)\right\}^{1 / 2}$

## 3. ${ }^{207} \mathrm{~Pb}$ NMR spectrum

The 207Pb NMR spectra were recorded on Bruker DRX500 Avance spectrometer operating at 104.5 MHz for a sample of 10 mM solution of single crystals in DMF. The 207Pb chemical shift was externally referenced to $\mathrm{Pb}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}$ in $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{M}, 2961.2 \mathrm{ppm})$ at room temperature. The spectrum shows a single resonance at -785 ppm arising from the 12 chemically equivalent Pb atoms in the structure. The 207Pb NMR chemical shifts of $\left[\mathrm{Au@Pb} \mathrm{D}_{12}\right]^{3-}$ are upfield relative to other known $\left[\mathrm{M} @ \mathrm{~Pb}_{12}\right]^{2-}\left(\left[\mathrm{Pt}_{\mathrm{M}} \mathrm{Pb}_{12}\right]^{2-},+1780 ;\left[\mathrm{Pd}^{2} \mathrm{~Pb}_{12}\right]^{]^{--}},+1520 ;\left[\mathrm{Ni} @ \mathrm{~Pb}_{12}\right]^{2-},+1167\right)$, which may be attributed to the different interstitial atom.


Figure S2: ${ }^{207} \mathrm{~Pb}$ NMR spectrum of $\left[\mathrm{Au@Pb}_{12}\right]^{3-}$ recorded in DMF at room temperature and 83.7 MHz.

## 4. Computational details

All the quantum chemical calculations were carried out using Gaussian 09 package ${ }^{5}$. The geometry optimization was performed using PBEO functional ${ }^{[6-7]}$ with Def2-TZVP basis set. ${ }^{[8]}$ Compensation of the negative charges on the $\left[\mathrm{Au@Pb} b_{12}\right]^{3-}$ cluster was achieved by simulating mirror charges using the SMD model. ${ }^{[9]}$ The natural population analysis (NPA) ${ }^{[10]}$ was conducted based on the optimized structure using the NBO3.1 module as implemented in the Gaussian 09 package. The nucleus-independent chemical shifts (NICS) values ${ }^{[11]}$ were computed with the Amsterdam Density Functional program (ADF 2013.01). ${ }^{[12-13]}$ The scalar relativistic (SR) and spin-orbit coupling (SOC) effects were taken into account by the zero order regular approximation (ZORA). Valence triple- $\zeta$ plus one polarization (TZP) basis sets of Slater type were applied.

To understand the stability, both $\mathrm{Pb}_{12}{ }^{2-}$ and $\mathrm{Pb}_{12}{ }^{4-}$ were optimized at the PBEO/def2-TZVP level of theory. The Cartesian coordinates of the optimized structures and the energy levels of both $\mathrm{Pb}_{12}{ }^{2-}$ and $\mathrm{Pb}_{12}{ }^{4-}$ are given in Table S 2 and Figure S 3 , respectively. Obviously, $\mathrm{Pb}_{12}{ }^{2-}$ keeps the perfect icosahedral ( $I_{\mathrm{h}}$ ) symmetry, whereas, $\mathrm{Pb}_{12}{ }^{4-}$ adopts a less compact prolate shape. Both anionic clusters have no imaginary frequency. The HOMO-LUMO gap of $\mathrm{Pb}_{12}{ }^{4-}$ is much smaller than that of $\mathrm{Pb}_{12}{ }^{2-}(1.90 \mathrm{eV}$ vs 3.26 eV$)$. As for $\mathrm{Pb}_{12}{ }^{4-}$, the extra two electrons fill the LUMO of $\mathrm{Pb}_{12}{ }^{2-}$, and this nearly introduced occupied orbital breaks the otherwise perfect $I_{\mathrm{h}}$ spherical symmetry of the cluster. In addition, the interactions between HOMO of $\mathrm{Pb}_{12}$ and 3d-orbital of Au have been plotted in Figure S4.

Chemical bonding analyses of $\left[\mathrm{Au@Pb} \mathrm{~b}_{12}\right]^{3-}$ was performed via the Adaptive Natural Density Partitioning method at the PBEO/Def2-TZVP level of theory. All the AdNDP calculations were performed using the Gaussian 09 software package and analyzed by the Multiwfn 3.3.9 software package. ${ }^{[14]}$ In total, there are 62 valence electrons (31 pairs) in [Au@ $\left.\mathrm{Pb}_{12}\right]^{3-}$ cluster. AdNDP transformed all these canonical molecular orbitals into the nc-2e bonding elements presented below (Figure S5).

Firstly, it found the following lone pairs (1c-2e) and classical (2c-2e) bonds:

1) Five d-type LPs on Au atom with $\mathrm{ON}=1.97-1.98|\mathrm{e}|$ (Figure S4a)
2) Twelve s-type LPs on each apical Pb atoms with $\mathrm{ON}=1.928$ |e| (Figure S4b)
3) Four $4 \mathrm{c}-2 \mathrm{e} \mathrm{AuPb} b_{3} \sigma$ bonds with $\mathrm{ON}=1.80-1.92|\mathrm{e}|$ (Figure $\mathrm{S4c}$ )
4) Six $12 \mathrm{c}-2 \mathrm{e}$ bonds with $\mathrm{ON}=2.00|\mathrm{e}|$ (Figure S 4 d )
5) Four $13 c-2 e \pi$ bonds with $O N=2.00|e|$ (Figure S4e)

It is note that four $13 \mathrm{c}-2 \mathrm{e} \pi$ bonds are formed by the interaction of 6 p -orbitals of Pb with $6 p$-orbitals of Au. Essentially, these $8 \pi$ electrons satisfy the $2(N+1) 2$ rule with $N=1$, contributing to the strong stabilities of the cluster.


Figure S3. The molecular orbital energy levels of $\left[\mathrm{Pb}_{12}\right]^{2-}$ (left) and $\left[\mathrm{Pb}_{12}\right]^{4-}$ (right).


Figure S4. The interactions between HOMO of $\mathrm{Pb}_{12}$ and 3 d -orbital of Au


Figure S5 The AdNDP bonding pattern for $\left[\mathrm{Au@Pb} b_{12}\right]^{3-}$ grouped into five subsets with the corresponding occupation numbers (ON).

Table S2. Cartesian coordinates of the optimized structures of $\left[\mathrm{Au@Pb} b_{12}\right]^{3-}, \mathrm{Pb}_{12}{ }^{2-}$ and $\mathrm{Pb}_{12}{ }^{4-}$ at the PBEO/Def2-TZVP level of theory.

| $\left[\mathrm{Au@Pb}_{12}\right]^{3-}$ | Pb | 0.00000000 | -2.19576838 | 2.37276826 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $D_{3 d}$ | Pb | -2.69176227 | -1.55408967 |
|  | Pb | 1.90159120 | -1.09788419 | -2.37276826 |
|  | Pb | 2.69176227 | -1.55408967 | 0.85454023 |
|  | Pb | -1.90159120 | -1.09788419 | -2.37276826 |
|  | Pb | 0.00000000 | -3.10817934 | -0.85454023 |
|  | Pb | -1.90159120 | 1.09788419 | 2.37276826 |
|  | Pb | 1.90159120 | 1.09788419 | 2.37276826 |
|  | Pb | 0.00000000 | 2.19576838 | -2.37276826 |
|  | Pb | -2.69176227 | 1.55408967 | -0.85454023 |
|  | Pb | 2.69176227 | 1.55408967 | -0.85454023 |
|  | Pb | 0.00000000 | 3.10817934 | 0.85454023 |
|  | Au | 0.00000000 | -0.00000000 | -0.00000000 |
| $\mathrm{~Pb}_{12}{ }^{2-}$ | Pb | -0.00000000 | -0.00000000 | 3.04990857 |
|  | Pb | -0.00000001 | 2.72792115 | 1.36396058 |
|  | Ib | 2.59440718 | 0.84297401 | 1.36396058 |
|  | Pb | 1.60343184 | -2.20693456 | 1.36396058 |


|  | Pb | 2.59440719 | -0.84297399 | -1.36396058 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Pb | -1.60343181 | -2.20693458 | 1.36396058 |
|  | Pb | -2.59440718 | -0.84297401 | -1.36396058 |
|  | Pb | 1.60343181 | 2.20693458 | -1.36396058 |
|  | Pb | -1.60343184 | 2.20693456 | -1.36396058 |
|  | Pb | -2.59440719 | 0.84297399 | 1.36396058 |
|  | Pb | 0.00000000 | -0.00000000 | -3.04990857 |
|  | Pb | 0.00000001 | -2.72792115 | -1.36396058 |
| $\mathrm{Pb}_{12}{ }^{4-}$ | Pb | -0.19069836 | 0.26185869 | 3.42584636 |
|  | Pb | -0.20558151 | 2.90466567 | 1.83925724 |
| $C_{i}$ | Pb | 2.29239125 | 0.86128319 | 1.41141199 |
|  | Pb | 1.54510232 | -2.13547532 | 1.02579321 |
|  | Pb | 2.69931118 | -1.09266264 | -1.83583166 |
|  | Pb | -1.53413791 | -1.91637077 | 1.41573122 |
|  | Pb | -2.29239125 | -0.86128319 | -1.41141199 |
|  | Pb | 1.53413791 | 1.91637077 | -1.41573122 |
|  | Pb | -1.54510232 | 2.13547532 | -1.02579321 |
|  | Pb | -2.69931118 | 1.09266264 | 1.83583166 |
|  | Pb | 0.19069836 | -0.26185869 | -3.42584636 |
|  | Pb | 0.20558151 | -2.90466567 | -1.83925724 |
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