A Rare Pb₉ Cluster-Organic Framework Constructed from a Flexible

Cyclotriphosphazene-Functionalized Hexacarboxylate Exhibiting

Selective Gas Separation

Dongjie Bai, Fengli Chen, Donghao Jiang, and Yabing He*

College of Chemistry and Life Sciences, Zhejiang Normal University, Jinhua 321004,

China. E-mail: <u>heyabing@zjnu.cn</u>.



Fig. S1 PXRD patterns for as-synthesized **ZJNU-62** (red) and activated **ZJNU-62a** (blue) together with the simulated one (black).



Fig. S2 TGA curve of the as-synthesized ZJNU-62 under $N_{\rm 2}$ atmosphere



Fig. S3 FTIR spectra of the organic ligand (black) and the as-synthesized ZJNU-62 (red)



Fig. S4 N_2 adsorption-desorption isotherms at 77 K of ZJNU-62 after activation under dynamic vacuum at 295 K, 333 K and 373 K. The solid and open symbols represent adsorption and desorption, respectively. STP = standard temperature and pressure.



 $S_{\text{BET}} = \frac{1}{(4.55416 \times 10^{-6} + 0.01062)} + \frac{22414 \times 6.023 \times 10^{23} \times 0.162 \times 10^{-18}}{S_{\text{Langmuir}}} = \frac{(1/0.00915)}{22414 \times 6.023 \times 10^{23} \times 0.162 \times 10^{-18}} = 476 \text{ m}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}$

Fig. S5 BET (a) and Langmuir (b) plots for ZJNU-62a



Fig. S6 Three cycles of C_2H_2 isotherms at 298 K of ZJNU-62a. The reactivation process was not applied between each run. The solid and open symbols represent adsorption and desorption, respectively. STP = standard temperature and pressure.



Fig. S7 Comparison of the pure-component isotherm data for (a) C_2H_2 , (b) C_2H_4 , (c) C_2H_6 , (d) CO_2 , and (e) CH_4 in **ZJNU-62a** with the fitted isotherms (shown by continuous solid lines) at 278 K, 288 K and 298 K.



Fig. S8 Isostere plots for (a) C_2H_2 , (b) C_2H_4 , (c) C_2H_6 , (d) CO_2 and (e) CH_4 adsorption in **ZJNU-62a**.



Fig. S9 The IAST-predicted adsorption selectivities for the equimolar C_2H_2/CH_4 , C_2H_4/CH_4 , C_2H_6/CH_4 and CO_2/CH_4 gas mixtures at 298 K (a), 288 K (b) and 278 K (c).



Fig. S10 ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra of the organic ligand.

Empirical formula	$C_{148}H_{122}N_9O_{78}P_9Pb_9$		
Formula weight	5449.99		
Temperature (K)	150(2)		
Wavelength (Å)	0.71073		
Crystal system	Monoclinic,		
Space group	C2/c		
Unit cell dimensions	a = 31.5688(7) Å b = 30.9664(6) Å c = 22.7830(4) Å $\alpha = 90^{\circ}$ $\beta = 98.981(2)^{\circ}$		
0.2	$\gamma = 90^{\circ}$		
Volume (Å ³)	21999.0(8)		
Z	4		
Calculated density (g cm ⁻³)	1.646		
Absorption coefficient (mm ⁻¹)	7.007		
<i>F</i> (000)	10344		
Crystal size (mm)	$0.14 \times 0.10 \times 0.10$		
θ range for data collection (°)	1.60 to 26.29		
Limiting indices	$-38 \le h \le 32$ $-34 \le k \le 37$ $-28 \le l \le 26$		
Reflections collected / unique	63410 / 21809		
R _{int}	0.0471		
Completeness to $\theta = 27.60$	97.6 %		
Max. and min. transmission	0.5409 and 0.4404		
Refinement method	Full-matrix least-squares on F^2		
Data / restraints / parameters	21809 / 126 / 1048		
Goodness-of-fit on F^2	1.019		
Final <i>R</i> indices $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$	$R_1 = 0.0999, wR_2 = 0.2900$		
<i>R</i> indices (all data)	$R_1 = 0.1073, wR_2 = 0.3133$		
Largest diff. peak and hole $(e \cdot A^{-3})$	18.437 and -8.340		
CCDC	1551676		

 Table S1 Crystal data and structure refinement for ZJNU-62.

Adsorbate	$q_{\rm sat}$ (mmol g ⁻¹)	b_0 (kPa) ^{-ν}	$\frac{E}{(\text{kJ mol}^{-1})}$	V	
C ₂ H ₂	2.7065	1.22039×10 ⁻⁷	29.836	0.89777	
C ₂ H ₄	2.13726	3.97441×10 ⁻⁷	25.636	0.96456	
C ₂ H ₆	1.90692	5.52098×10 ⁻⁷	25.440	1	
CO ₂	3.95295	3.249×10 ⁻⁷	22.619	1	
CH ₄	2.34842	1.38122×10 ⁻⁶	16.107	1	

Table S2 Langmuir-Freundlich parameters for adsorption of C_2H_2 , C_2H_4 , C_2H_6 , CO_2 , and CH_4 in **ZJNU-62a**.

Pb-MOFs	Ligand structure	$S_{\rm BET}/S_{\rm Langmuir}$ (m ² g ⁻¹)	$V_{\rm p}$ (cm ³ g ⁻¹)	CO ₂ uptake (condition)	$Q_{\rm st}({\rm CO}_2)$	Ref.
Pb-L	-0-N+	725/NA ^a	0.47	NA	NA	1
Pb-L		669.3/800.5 ^b	NA	56.9 cm ³ (STP) g ⁻¹ (298 K and 1 bar)	53.9	2
ZJNU-62	$\begin{array}{c} HOOC & COOH \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ HOOC & 0 & 0 \\ HOOC & 0 & 0 \\ HOOC & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ HOOC & 0 & 0$	410/476 ^a	0.171	21.6 cm ³ (STP) g ⁻¹ (298 K and 1 atm)	25.6	This work
Pb-L		374.3/492.3 ^a	0.15	28.4 cm ³ (STP) g ⁻¹ (293 K and 1 atm)	27.5	3
Pb-L	O-N* HN-COOH	NA/NA	NA	16.3 cm ³ (STP) g ⁻¹ (273 K and 0.95 atm)	NA	4

Table S3 Summary of gas adsorption properties of the reported Pb-based MOFs.

^{*a*} based on N₂ isotherm at 77 K; ^{*b*} based on CO₂ isotherm at 195 K; NA = not available

References:

1. Hu, L.; Lin, X.-M.; Mo, J.-T.; Lin, J.; Gan, H.-L.; Yang, X.-L.; Cai, Y.-P., Lead-Based Metal-Organic Framework with Stable Lithium Anodic Performance. *Inorg. Chem.* **2017**, *56*, 4289-4295.

2. Wu, Y.-L.; Qian, J.; Yang, G.-P.; Yang, F.; Liang, Y.-T.; Zhang, W.-Y.; Wang, Y.-Y., High CO₂ Uptake Capacity and Selectivity in a Fascinating Nanotube-Based Metal-Organic Framework. *Inorg. Chem.* **2017**, *56*, 908-913.

3. Li, J.; Yang, G.; Hou, L.; Cui, L.; Li, Y.; Wang, Y.-Y.; Shi, Q.-Z., Three new solvent-directed 3D lead(II)–MOFs displaying the unique properties of luminescence and selective CO₂ sorption. *Dalton Trans.* **2013**, *42*, 13590-13598.

4. Lin, X.-M.; Li, T.-T.; Chen, L.-F.; Zhang, L.; Su, C.-Y., Two ligand-functionalized Pb(II) metal-organic frameworks: structures and catalytic performances. *Dalton Trans.* **2012**, *41*, 10422-10429.