Supplementary Information

Coupling Ag-doping and rich oxygen vacancies in mesoporous NiCoO nanorods supported on nickel foam for highly efficient oxygen evolution

Kai-Li Yan ^a, Jing-Qi Chi ^a, Zi-Zhang Liu ^{a, b}, Bin Dong ^{*a, b}, Shan-Shan Lu ^{a, b},

Xiao Shang ^a, Wen-Kun Gao ^{a, b}, Yong-Ming Chai ^{*a}, Chen-Guang Liu ^a

a State Key Laboratory of Heavy Oil Processing, China University of Petroleum (East

China), Qingdao 266580, PR China

b College of Science, China University of Petroleum (East China), Qingdao 266580,

PR China

^{*} Corresponding author. Email: <u>dongbin@upc.edu.cn (B. Dong)</u>, <u>ymchai@upc.edu.cn (Y.-M. Chai)</u> Tel: +86-532-86981376, Fax: +86-532-86981787

Detailed caculation process of crystalline size:

Scherrer Equation:

 $D=k\lambda/\beta cos\theta$

where:

k is a dimensionless shape factor; k=0.89 in our article.

 λ is the X-ray wavelength; λ =0.15 in our article.

βis the line broadening at half the maximum intensity (FWHM), after subtracting the

instrumental line broadening, in radians.

 θ is the Bragg angle (in degrees).



Fig. S1 TEM mappings of Ni-Co@Ag40/NF



Fig. S2 XPS spectra of Ni-Co@Ag40/NF : (a) survey; (b) Ni 2p; (c) Co 2p; (d) Ag 3d; (e) O 1s.



Fig. S3 XRD patterns of Ag0/NF and Ag40/NF.



Fig. S4 XRD pattern of Ni-Co@Ag40/NF.



Fig. S5 SEM images of NiCoO/NF-Ar.



Fig. S6 SEM images of (a) Ag0/NF; (b) Ag40/NF.



Fig. S7 SEM images of NiCoO@Ag0/NF-Ar



Fig. S8 SEM images of NiCoO@Ag40/NF-Air



Fig. S9 The corresponding SEM image for performing EDX profile



Fig. S10 CVs of the double-layer capacitance measurement for the six different samples in 1 M KOH in the non-Faradaic region of 0.15-0.25 V vs. SCE with different scan rates, varying from 40 mV s⁻¹ to 120 mV s⁻¹:
(a) NiCoO/NF; (b) Ni-Co@Ag0/NF; (c) Ni-Co@Ag40/NF; (d) NiCoO@Ag0/NF-Ar; (e) NiCoO@Ag40/NF-Air; (f) NiCoO@Ag40/NF-Ar.

Crystalline phase	2-Theta	FWHM	Crystalline size/nm
Co based	39.135	0.1224	71
hydroxide hydrate	10, 100	0.004	20.0
CoU	42.423	0.204	39.8
Co ₃ O ₄	36.963	0.2880	28.2

 Table S1 Calculation results of crystalline size based on XRD patterns

Catalyst	J (mA cm ⁻²)	Overpotential (mV)	Electrolyte	Ref.
NiCoO@Ag40	100	350	1 M KOH	This work
/NF-Ar				
Reduced	13.1	420	1 M KOH	S 1
Co ₃ O ₄ NW				
Co_3O_4	10	420	1 M KOH	S2
nanoparticles				
NiCo	10	367	1 M KOH	S3
layered double				
hydroxide				
Ni _x Co _{3-x} O ₄	10	370	1 M KOH	S4
nanowire array				
Ni substituted	10	370	1 M KOH	S5
Co ₃ O ₄				
nanowire				
Cu ₂ -Cu foams	10	350	1 M KOH	S6

Table S2 Comparison of the OER activity for several recently reported highly active

transition metal oxides electrocatalysts.

Catalyst	η at <i>J</i> =100 mA cm ⁻² [mV]	Tafel slope (mV dec ⁻¹)	Cdl Rct (Ω) (mF cm ⁻²)	
NiCoO@Ag40/NF-Ar	350	104	44	0.72
NiCoO@Ag40/NF-Air	370	147	32	1.28
NiCoO@Ag0/NF-Ar	370	128	25	0.89
Ni-Co@Ag40/NF	400	153	18	1.32
Ni-Co@Ag0/NF	400	148	13	1.49
NiCoO/NF-Ar	410	185	6	3.98

 Table S3 Comparison of OER activity data for different electrocatalysts.

References

- S1 Y. Wang, T. Zhou, K. Jiang, P. Da, Z. Peng, J. Tang, B. Kong, W. B. Cai, Z. Yang,
- G. Zheng, Adv. Energy Mater., 2014, 4, 1400696.
- S2 Y. Liang, Y. Li, H. Wang, J. Zhou, J. Wang, T. Regier, H. Dai, *Nat.Mater.*, 2011, 10, 780-786.
- S3 H. Liang, F. Meng, M. Cabán-Acevedo, L. Li, A. Forticaux, L. Xiu, S. Jin, Nano letters, 2015, 15, 1421-1427.
- S4 B. Lu, D. Cao, P. Wang, G. Wang, Y. Gao, *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy* 2011, 36,72-78.
- S5 Y. Zhao, R. Nakamura, K. Kamiya, S. Nakanishi, K. Has himoto, *Nat. Commun.*, 2013, **4**, 2390.
- S6 H. Xu, J. X. Feng, Y. X. Tong, G. R. Li, ACS Catal., 2017, 7, 986-991.