## **Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI)**

# Three-dimensional supramolecular phosphomolybdate architecture derived Mo

## based electrocatalytic system for overall water splitting

Mingliang Mei, Xinxin Xu\*, Yun Wang, Xinjiao Wang\* and Yuqiu Huo\*

Department of Chemistry, College of Science, Northeastern University, Shenyang,

Liaoning, 110819, People's Republic of China

### Catalytic reduction of 4-nitrophenol and RhB

In a typical reaction, freshly prepared NaBH<sub>4</sub> was added in 4-nitrophenol solution. During this process, color of this solution changes from pale yellow to bright yellow. Then, catalyst (2 mg) was added to this solution with stirring. At a given time interval, a certain amount of solution was withdrawn and recorded immediately with UV-vis spectrometer. Apparent rate constant ( $k_{app}$ ) was obtained from first-order rate model with the decay of absorption at 400 nm (Eq. 1). Based on Lambert-Beer law,  $c/c_0 = I/I_0$ , the equation can be converted to  $ln(I/I_0) = -k_{app}t$  at last.

$$ln(c/c_0) = -k_{app}t (Eq. 1)$$

To study the kinetic process of this reduction reaction, a set of apparent rate constants were obtained with constant NaBH<sub>4</sub> concentration (0.02 mol·L<sup>-1</sup>) while varying concentration of 4-nitrophenol. The concentrations of 4-nitrophenol were 2  $\times 10^{-3}$ ,  $4.0 \times 10^{-3}$ ,  $6 \times 10^{-3}$ ,  $8 \times 10^{-3}$ ,  $1 \times 10^{-2}$  and  $1.2 \times 10^{-2}$  mol·L<sup>-1</sup>. Based on above data, the curve of k<sub>app</sub> versus concentration of 4-nitrophenol was drawn. Langmuir-Hinshelwood model (Eq. 2) was employed to fit these curve. In this equation, K<sub>4-NP</sub> and K<sub>NaBH4</sub> are the adsorption constants of 4-nitrophenol and NaBH<sub>4</sub>; k represents the surface rate constant; S is the surface area; n and m are the Freundlich exponents. For 4-nitrophenol and NaBH<sub>4</sub>, these values are 0.6 and 1.0 respectively.

$$k_{app} = k \times S \times K^{n}_{4NP} [4NP]^{n-1} (K_{BH4-} [BH_{4}^{-}])^{m} / \{1 + (K_{4NP} [4NP])^{n} + (K_{BH4-} [BH_{4}^{-}])^{m}\}^{2}$$

#### (Eq. 2)

For RhB, at first, catalyst (2 mg) was added to its solution (1.0 mL, 10 mg·L<sup>-1</sup>) with stirring. Then freshly prepared NaBH<sub>4</sub> (2.0 mL, 0.02 mol·L<sup>-1</sup>) was added. At a given time interval, a certain amount of solution was withdrawn and recorded immediately with UV-vis spectrometer. The apparent rate constant was calculated based on the

decay of absorption at 553 nm.

Table S1 The element contents of MoO<sub>2</sub>@NC and Mo<sub>2</sub>C@NC photocatalysts.

	MoO <sub>2</sub> @NC	Mo₂C@NC(A)	Mo <sub>2</sub> C@NC(B)
Mo(%)	8.2	8.4	8.5
O(%)	16.4	2.1	1.8
C (%)	69.9	84.3	84.6
N (%)	5.5	5.2	5.1
N-Mo	46.5	25.5	30.2
Pyridinic-N	43.2	29.6	40.1
Pyrrolic-N	10.3	12.8	29.7
Graphitic-N	0	32.1	0



Fig. S1 High resolution C1s XPS of (a) MoO<sub>2</sub>@NC; (b) Mo<sub>2</sub>C@NC(A); (c) Mo<sub>2</sub>C@NC(B).



Fig. S2 High resolution N1s XPS of (a) MoO<sub>2</sub>@NC; (b) Mo<sub>2</sub>C@NC(A); (c) Mo<sub>2</sub>C@NC(B).



**Fig. S3** Polarization curves of Pt/C, glass carbon electrode and **MoO<sub>2</sub>@NC** in 1.0 M KOH.



Fig. S4 CV curves in the potential range without redox current peaks of (a)Mo<sub>2</sub>C@NC(A) in 1.0 M KOH; (b) Mo<sub>2</sub>C@NC(B) in 1.0 M KOH.



Fig. S5 (a) Time dependence current density of Mo<sub>2</sub>C@NC(A) for 10 h in 0.5 M H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>;

(b) recycled PXRD of **Mo<sub>2</sub>C@NC(A)** after 10 h.