"Close network" effect of ZnO Micro/nanoporous array allows high

UV-irradiated NO₂ sensing performances

Xingsong Su,^{ab} Lei Gao, ^a Fei Zhou, ^a Weiping Cai ^a and Guotao Duan^{a*}

^aKey Lab of Materials Physics, Anhui Key Lab of Nanomaterials and Nanotechnology, Institute of Solid State Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Hefei, 230031, PR China

^bUniversity Of Science And Technology Of China, Hefei, 230026, PR China

^{*} Corresponding authors: <u>duangt@issp.ac.cn</u>

Figure S1. Xingsong Su et al



Figure S1 FE-SEM image of Al_2O_3 flat ceramic substrate.

Figure S2. Xingsong Su et al



Figure S2 Cross-sectional FESEM images of the CNPAF and BLPAF. The insets are oblique FEMSEM images.

Figure S3. Xingsong Su et al



Figure S3 (a) Photo of the large-area close-network micro/nanoporous arrays film on silcon wafer using the PS-MCC method. (b) The corresponding FE-SEM image of large-scale close-network micro/nanoporous arrays film.

Figure S4. Xingsong Su et al



Figure S4 Sensitivity versus NO₂ concentration of five samples with different structure at room temperature under UV irradiation: (a) CNPAF; (b) BLPAF.

Figure S5. Xingsong Su et al



Figure S5 Absorbance spectra of ZnO CNPAF. The inset is Tauc's plot, i.e., $(\alpha hv)^2$ vs. photon energy for ZnO CNPAF.

Table S1. Xingsong Su et al

Morphology	Gas concentration (ppm)	Response time (s)	Recovery time (s)	Gas response (R _g /R _a)	Working temperature (°C)
Nanorod ^[1]	0.1~100	~30	~150	2~30	100~250
Pencil-like nanorod ^[2]	40			239.5	400
Needle-like nanorod ^[2]	40			184.5	400
Flower-like nanorod [2]	40			44.8	400
Nanowire ^[3]	1~10	~120		1.1~19.1	200
Nansheet ^[4]	1-5	~6	~320	1.1~1.36	RT
BLPAF	0.1~50	~120	~200	1.1~5	RT
CNPAF	0.1~50	~15	~30	1.1~27	RT

Table 1. Comparison of performances of ZnO-based nanostructured NO_2 gas sensors.